## STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF:



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jeanne VanderHeide

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was conducted from Inkster, Michigan on August 12, 2010. The Claimant was not present, but was represented by the second se

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined the Claimant's Medical Assistance ("MA") deductible amount and properly processed incurred medical costs to determine whether Claimant met her spend down from 6/1/09 through the present?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds a material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an active MA recipient with full coverage until 5/31/09.
- 2. On 3/1/10, the Department recalculated Claimant's MA budget with the in inclusion of unearned income and determined that Claimant would qualify for benefits after meeting a spend down of \$550.00 per month. (Exhibit 4).

#### 200918495/JV

- 3. Again on 8/1/09, the Department recalculated Claimant's MA budget and determined that Claimant would qualify for benefits after meeting a spend down of \$550.00 per month. (Exhibit 3).
- 4. The Claimant's representative testified that incurred medical bills in excess of Claimant's deductible were submitted to the Department on 6/9/09. Claimant did not receive MA nor did the Department provide any explanation why Claimant did not receive MA for the subject month.
- 5. Claimant's representative testified that he attempted to discuss this matter on various occasions with the Department, to no avail.
- 6. At the hearing the Department questioned various components of the submitted incurred bills as being medically necessary.
- 7. Claimant originally requested a hearing on March 9, 2009 contesting the department's determination that Claimant is required to pay a deductible and the amount of the deductible. Claimant further contested the Department's processing of the spend down from June, 2009 forward.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations ('CFR"). The Department of Human Services, formally known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program/Bridges Administrative Manual ("PAM/BAM"), the Program/Bridges Eligibility Manual ("PEM/BEM"), and the Reference Tables ("RFT").

The goal of the Medicaid program is to ensure that essential health care services are made available to those who otherwise could not afford them. PEM/BEM 105, p. 1. Medicaid is also known as Medical Assistance ("MA"). *Id.* The Medicaid program is comprised of several categories; one category is for FIP recipients while another is for SSI recipients. *Id.* Programs for individuals not receiving FIP or SSI are based on eligibility factors in either the FIP or SSI program thus are categorized as either FIP related or SSI related. *Id.* To receive MA under an SSI related category, the person must be aged (65 or older), blind, disabled, entitled to Medicare or formally blind or disabled. *Id.* Families with dependent children, caretaker relatives of dependent children, persons under age 21 and pregnant, or recently pregnant women, receive MA under FIP related categories. *Id.* 

#### 200918495/JV

There are various SSI related categories under which one can qualify for MA benefits. PEM/BEM 150-174. The MA regulations also divide MA recipients into Group 1 and Group 2 which relate to financial eligibility factors. Financial eligibility for Group 1 exists when countable income minus allowable expenses equals or is below certain income limits. PEM/BEM 105, p. 1. The income limits vary by category and are for non-medical needs such as food and shelter. *Id.* Medical expenses are not used when determining eligibility for FIP and SSI related Group 1 categories. *Id.* For Group 2, eligibility is possible even when net income exceeds the income limit. This is because incurred medical expenses are used when determining eligibility for FIP-related and SSI-related Group 2 categories. *Id.* 

To determine whether an individual is eligible for Group 1 or Group 2 MA, the individual's protected income level (PPI) must be determined. The PPI is a set allowance for non-medical need items such as shelter, food and incidental expenses. RFT 240 lists the Group 2 MA protected income levels based on shelter area and fiscal group size. PEM/BEM 544. If fiscal group has net income that is the same or less that the PPI, RFT 240, then it will qualify for MA. If the net income is over the PPI, then the fiscal group may become eligible for assistance under the deductible program. A deductible is a process which allows a client with excess income to be eligible for MA if sufficient allowable medical expenses are incurred. Each calendar month is a separate deductible period. The fiscal group's monthly excess income is called the deductible Meeting a deductible means reporting and verifying allowable medical amount. expenses that equal or exceed the deductible amount for the calendar month. The MA group must report expenses by the last day of the third month following the month it wants medical coverage. PEM/BEM 545; 42 CRF 435.831. If old bills equal or exceed a group's excess income, the group may delay having a deductible and MA may be authorized for up to six months. BEM 545, p.8.

The monthly protected income level for a Medical Assistance group of one living in Wayne County is \$375.00 per month. RFT 240, RFT 200. In determining net income a standard deduction of \$20 is deducted for SSI related Medical Assistance recipients. Health insurance premiums for the disabled individual can be added to the PPI to determine the Claimant's deductible.

In the present case, claimant's net income on 3/1/09 of \$1042 exceeds the monthly protected income level (\$375 + \$96.40 health insurance) by \$550.00 per month. Claimant is consequently ineligible to receive Medical Assistance. However, under the deductible program, if Claimant incurs medical expenses in excess of \$550.00 during the month, she may then be eligible for Medical assistance. Accordingly, the undersigned finds that the Department has acted in accordance with department policy and law on 3/1/10 in setting a deductible. However, the Department did not add Claimant's insurance premiums to the PPI in the 8/1/10 budget. Accordingly, the Department's 8/1/10 deductible determination is REVERSED.

It is apparent from the testimony in this case that Claimant had a difficult time getting any explanation from the Department regarding why the submitted expenditures did not meet the spend down amount. It was not until the date of the hearing that any part of the incurred costs were specifically questioned. A simple phone call from the Department would have alerted Claimant to what was questioned, or needed explanation. Instead the Department waited over a year until the date of the hearing to offer any explanation. Accordingly, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department did not properly process the Claimant's submitted incurred expenditures from 6/1/09 forward to determine whether Claimant met the spend down and qualified for Medicaid. The Department will reopen Claimant's MA case and reprocess Claimant's incurred medical bills including any explanations provided by Claimant from medical providers as to why the services were medically necessary. The Department should then also look at whether Claimant's past bills would allow for the deductible to be delayed and MA to be activated up to six months in the future based on the old bills.

Accordingly, based upon the foregoing facts and relevant law, it is found that the Department's determination is AFFIRMED in part and REVERSED in part.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds the Department acted in accordance with department policy when it calculated the Claimant's MA benefits effective 3/1/09. However, the Department did not act in accordance with department policy when it calculated the Claimant's MA benefits effective 8/1/09. Furthermore, the Department did not properly process the Claimant's submitted incurred medical costs from 6/1/09 through the present.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's 3/1/09 calculated MA spend down is AFFIRMED.
- 2. The Department's 8/1/09 calculated MA spend down is REVERSED.
- 3. The Department shall reopen Claimant's MA case from 6/1/09 and evaluate all incurred medical bills, including physician explanations to be submitted by Claimant regarding the necessity of the services, from 6/1/09 through the present to determine if Claimant met the spend down for each month.
- 4. The Department will evaluate the submitted incurred medical costs and doctor explanations and evaluate according to policy whether Claimant's MA can be activated up to six months into the future.

#### 200918495/JV

5. The Department shall supplement Claimant with any lost benefits she was otherwise entitled to receive.

/s/

Jeanne VanderHeide Administrative Law Judge For Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 24, 2010

Date Mailed: August 24, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JV/hw

cc: