STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2009-16230

Issue No.: 2009/4031

Case No.:

Load No.:

Hearing Date: June 8, 2009

Wayne County DHS (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on June 8, 2009. The Claimant appeared and testified.

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.9 are described. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on June 8, 2009. The Claimant appeared and testified.

The property of the Claimant appeared and appeared on behalf of the Department. At the Claimant's request, the record was extended to allow for the submission of additional medical documentation.

The additional records were received, reviewed, and entered into the record. This matter is now before the undersigned for a final decision.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P") and State Disability Assistance ("SDA") programs?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant submitted an application for public assistance seeking Medical Assistance ("MA-P") and State Disability Assistance ("SDA") benefits on December 17, 2008.
- 2. On January 2, 2009, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") determined the Claimant was not disabled. (Exhibit 1, pp. 3, 4)
- 3. On January 7, 2009, the Department sent an Eligibility Notice to the Claimant informing him that he was found not disabled for purposes of the MA-P and SDA programs. (Exhibit 1, p. 2)
- 4. On January 29, 2009, the Department received the Claimant's written Request for Hearing. (Exhibit 1, p. 1)
- 5. On April 3, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team ("SHRT") determined the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 2)
- 6. The Claimant's alleged physical disabling impairment(s) are due to leg pain/swelling, deep vein thrombosis, and Hepatitis C.
- 7. The Claimant's alleged mental disabling impairment(s) are due to bipolar disorder.
- 8. The Claimant received treatment for substance abuse.
- 9. At the time of hearing, the Claimant was 46 years old with a birth date; was 5'8" in height; and weighed 165 pounds.
- 10. The Claimant is a high school graduate with an employment history as a general laborer.
- 11. The Claimant's impairment(s) have lasted, or are expected to last, continuously for a period of 12-months or longer.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of

Human Services ("DHS"), formally known as the Family Independence Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual ("PAM"), the Program Eligibility Manual ("PEM"), and the Program Reference Manual ("PRM").

Disability is defined as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905(a) The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-relate activities or ability to reason and make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is alleged. 20 CRF 413.913 An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of themselves, sufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.929(a) Similarly, conclusory statements by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind, absent supporting medical evidence, is insufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.929(a)

When determining disability, the federal regulations require several factors to be considered including: (1) the location/duration/frequency/intensity of an applicant's pain; (2) the type/dosage/effectiveness/side effects of any medication the applicants takes to relieve pain; (3) any treatment other than pain medication that the applicant has received to relieve pain; and (4) the effect of the applicant's pain on his or her ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(3) The applicant's pain must be assessed to determine the extent of his or her

functional limitation(s) in light of the objective medical evidence presented. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(2)

In order to determine whether or not an individual is disabled, federal regulations require a five-step sequential evaluation process be utilized. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(1) The five-step analysis requires the trier of fact to consider an individual's current work activity; the severity of the impairment(s) both in duration and whether it meets or equals a listed impairment in Appendix 1; residual functional capacity to determine whether an individual can perform past relevant work; and residual functional capacity along with vocational factors (i.e. age, education, and work experience) to determine if an individual can adjust to other work. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945

If an individual is found disabled, or not disabled, at any step, a determination or decision is made with no need evaluate subsequent steps. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4) If a determination cannot be made that an individual is disabled, or not disabled, at a particular step, the next step is required. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4) If an impairment does not meet or equal a listed impairment, an individual's residual functional capacity is assessed before moving from step three to step four. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945 Residual functional capacity is the most an individual can do despite the limitations based on all relevant evidence. 20 CFR 945(a)(1) An individual's residual functional capacity assessment is evaluated at both steps four and five. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4) In determining disability, an individual's functional capacity to perform basic work activities is evaluated and if found that the individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitation, disability will not be found. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv) In general, the individual has the responsibility to prove disability. 20 CFR 416.912(a) An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit an

individual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a) The individual has the responsibility to provide evidence of prior work experience; efforts to work; and any other factor showing how the impairment affects the ability to work. 20 CFR 416.912(c)(3)(5)(6)

In addition to the above, when evaluating mental impairments, a special technique is utilized. 20 CFR 416.920a(a) First, an individual's pertinent symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings are evaluated to determine whether a medically determinable mental impairment exists. 20 CFR 416.920a(b)(1) When a medically determinable mental impairment is established, the symptoms, signs and laboratory findings that substantiate the impairment are documented to include the individual's significant history, laboratory findings, and functional limitations. 20 CFR 416.920a(e)(2) Functional limitation(s) is assessed based upon the extent to which the impairment(s) interferes with an individual's ability to function independently, appropriately, effectively, and on a sustained basis. Id.; 20 CFR 416.920a(c)(2) Chronic mental disorders, structured settings, medication, and other treatment and the effect on the overall degree of functionality is considered. 20 CFR 416.920a(c)(1) In addition, four broad functional areas (activities of daily living; social functioning; concentration, persistence or pace; and episodes of decompensation) are considered when determining an individual's degree of functional limitation. 20 CFR 416.920a(c)(3) The degree of limitation for the first three functional areas is rated by a five point scale: none, mild, moderate, marked, and extreme. 20 CFR 416.920a(c)(4) A four point scale (none, one or two, three, four or more) is used to rate the degree of limitation in the fourth functional area. *Id.* The last point on each scale represents a degree of limitation that is incompatible with the ability to do any gainful activity. *Id.*

After the degree of functional limitation is determined, the severity of the mental impairment is determined. 20 CFR 416.920a(d) If severe, a determination of whether the impairment meets or is the equivalent of a listed mental disorder. 20 CFR 416.920a(d)(2) If the severe mental impairment does not meet (or equal) a listed impairment, an individual's residual functional capacity is assessed. 20 CFR 416.920a(d)(3)

As outlined above, the first step looks at the individual's current work activity. An individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, age, education, and work experience, if the individual is working and the work is a substantial, gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4)(i) In the record presented, the Claimant is not involved in substantial gainful activity therefore the Claimant is not ineligible for disability under Step 1.

The severity of the Claimant's alleged impairment(s) is considered under Step 2. The Claimant bears the burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to substantiate the alleged disabling impairments. In order to be considered disabled for MA purposes, the impairment must be severe. 20 CFR 916.920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(b) An impairment, or combination of impairments, is severe if it significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities regardless of age, education and work experience. 20 CFR 916.920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(c) Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. 20 CFR 916.921(b) Examples include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;

- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting.

Id. The second step allows for dismissal of a disability claim obviously lacking in medical merit. Higgs v Bowen, 880 F2d 860, 862 (CA 6, 1988). The severity requirement may still be employed as an administrative convenience to screen out claims that are totally groundless solely from a medical standpoint. Id. at 863 citing Farris v Sec of Health and Human Services, 773 F2d 85, 90 n.1 (CA 6, 1985) An impairment qualifies as non-severe only if, regardless of a claimant's age, education, or work experience, the impairment would not affect the claimant's ability to work. Salmi v Sec of Health and Human Services, 774 F2d 685, 692 (CA 6, 1985)

In the present case, the Claimant alleges disability due to leg pain/swelling, Hepatitis C, deep vein thrombosis, and bipolar disorder.

On the Claimant presented to the hospital with complaints of suicidal ideations noting he was withdrawing from heroin. The Claimant was found to be at high risk for suicide with severe anger and hostility. The Claimant was monitored and treated and released the following day to a psychiatric care facility with the discharge diagnosis of depression/anxiety with substance abuse. The Claimant's Global Assessment Functioning ("GAF") was 20.

On _____, the Claimant received emergency room treatment for leg pain. The Claimant's multiple lesions were noted. Ultimately, the Claimant was discharged back to police custody (came from jail) with a bilateral lower extremity leg cramps diagnoses noting possible cellulites.

On the Claimant was brought to the hospital from jail by the police department (via petition) for a psychiatric evaluation for depression and suicidal ideations. The physical examination documented multiple healed lesions to the upper and lower extremities due

to skin popping. The Claimant's long-term heroin abuse was documented. The Claimant was discharged the following day with the primary diagnosis of suicidal ideation and polysubstance abuse with a GAF of 38.

On the Claimant presented to the emergency room with complaints of depression and suicidal ideations (via petition). The Claimant was treated and discharged on with the discharge diagnoses of recurrent, major depression with polysubstance abuse with a Global Assessment Functioning ("GAF") of 15.

On the Claimant attended a department scheduled examination with an internist. The physical examination revealed a 2+ edema with a local component but no cyanosis on the lower extremities with bilateral tenderness over the calf muscles. Multiple needle tract marks over the right and left jugular, trachea, brachial areas, and groin were documented. The Claimant's poor concentration and periods of anxiety were noted. The Claimant was found to have chronic bilateral lymph edema; chronic bilateral deep vein thrombosis; major depression; anxiety; and polysubstance abuse to cocaine and heroin.

On Report was completed on behalf of the Claimant. The current diagnoses were listed chronic bilateral lymph edema, chronic bilateral deep vein thrombosis, major depression, and polysubstance abuse. The physical examination documented the Claimant's multiple need tracts. The Claimant's condition was deteriorating limiting him to occasionally carrying/lifting 20 pounds with standing and/or walking less than 2 hours in an 8 hour workday. The Claimant's sitting was limited to less than 6 hours during this same time period. The Claimant was able to perform repetitive actions with both upper/lower extremities with the exception of pushing/pulling. Mental limitations in comprehension, sustained concentration, and social interaction were also noted.

On ______, a Psychiatric/Psychological Examination Report was completed on behalf of the Claimant. The Claimant was diagnosed with bipolar disorder with polysubstance dependence with a Global Assessment Functioning ("GAF") of 50. A Mental Residual Functional Capacity Assessment was also completed on behalf of the Claimant. The Claimant was found to be markedly limited in 17 of the 20 factors.

As previously noted, the Claimant bears the burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to substantiate the alleged disabling impairment(s). As summarized above, the Claimant has presented some medical evidence establishing that he does have some physical and mental limitations on his ability to perform basic work activities. The medical evidence has established that the Claimant has an impairment, or combination thereof, that has more than a *de minimis* effect on the Claimant's basic work activities. Further, the impairments have lasted continuously for twelve months; therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified from receipt of MA-P benefits under Step 2.

In the third step of the sequential analysis of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment, or combination of impairments, is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. The Claimant has alleged physical and mental disabling impairments due to leg pain/swelling, deep vein thrombosis, Hepatitis C, and bipolar disorder.

Listing 1.00 defines musculoskeletal system impairments. Disorders of the musculoskeletal system may result from hereditary, congenital, or acquired pathologic processes.

1.00A Impairments may result from infectious, inflammatory, or degenerative processes, traumatic or developmental events, or neoplastic, vascular, or toxic/metabolic diseases. 1.00A Regardless of the cause(s) of a musculoskeletal impairment, functional loss for purposes of these listings is defined as the inability to ambulate effectively on a sustained basis for any reason,

including pain associated with the underlying musculoskeletal impairment, or the inability to perform fine and gross movements effectively on a sustained basis for any reason, including pain associated with the underlying musculoskeletal impairment. Inability to ambulate effectively means an extreme limitation of the ability to walk; i.e., an impairment(s) that interferes very seriously with the individual's ability to independently initiate, sustain, or complete activities. 1.00B2b(1) Ineffective ambulation is defined generally as having insufficient lower extremity function to permit independent ambulation without the use of a hand-held assistive device(s) that limits the functioning of both upper extremities. (Listing 1.05C is an exception to this general definition because the individual has the use of only one upper extremity due to amputation of a hand.) Id. To ambulate effectively, individuals must be capable of sustaining a reasonable walking pace over a sufficient distance to be able to carry out activities of daily living. 1.00B2b(2) They must have the ability to travel without companion assistance to and from a place of employment or school. . . . Id. When an individual's impairment involves a lower extremity uses a hand-held assistive device, such as a cane, crutch or walker, the medical basis for use of the device should be documented. 1.00J4 The requirement to use a hand-held assistive device may also impact an individual's functional capacity by virtue of the fact that one or both upper extremities are not available for such activities as lifting, carrying, pushing, and pulling. Id.

Categories of Musculoskeletal include:

1.02 Major dysfunction of a joint(s) due to any cause: Characterized by gross anatomical deformity (e.g. subluxation, contracture, bony or fibrous ankylosis, instability) and chronic joint pain and stiffness with signs of limitation of motion or other abnormal motion of the affected joint(s), and findings on appropriate medically acceptable imaging of joint space narrowing, bony destruction, or ankylosis of the affected joint(s). With:

- A. Involvement of one major peripheral weight-bearing joint (i.e., hip, knee, or ankle), resulting in inability to ambulate effectively as defined in 1.00B2b; or
- B. Involvement of one major peripheral joint in each upper extremity (i.e., shoulder, elbow, wrist, hand), resulting in inability to perform fine and gross movements effectively a defined in 1.00B2c

In order to meet a musculoskeletal listing, the impairment must present a major dysfunction resulting in the inability to ambulate effectively. The Claimant's bilateral leg pain is supported by medical documentation however the records are insufficient to meet the intent and severity requirement of a listed impairment within 1.00 as detailed above therefore the Claimant cannot be found disabled, or not disabled, under this listing.

The Claimant testified to be diagnosed with Hepatitis C diagnoses. Listing 5.00 discusses adult digestive system impairments. Disorders of the digestive system include gastrointestinal hemorrhage, hepatic (liver) dysfunction, inflammatory bowel disease, short bowel syndrome, and malnutrition. 5.00A Medical documentation necessary to meet the listing must record the severity and duration of the impairment. 5.00B The severity and duration of the impairment is considered within the context of the prescribed treatment. 5.00C1 Side effects of prescribed treatment is also evaluated. 5.00C2, 3 Chronic viral hepatitis infections are evaluated under 5.05 or any listing in an affected body system. 5.00D4a(ii)

In the record presented, and in consideration of 5.00, specifically 5.05, the Claimant's medical documentation is fails to support a diagnosis and/or meet the intent and severity requirement of this listing. Accordingly, the Claimant cannot be found disabled (or not disable) under this listing.

Listing 8.00 defines skin disorders. In evaluating the severity of a skin disorder within Listing 8.00, the onset, duration, frequency of flare-ups, and prognosis of the skin disorder is

needed as well as the location, size, and appearance of any lesions. 8.00B Extensive skin lesion involve multiple body sites or critical body areas involving two extremities that may interfere with an individual's range of motion. 8.00C1a Symptoms, including pain, are also considered. 8.00C3 Skin lesions that do not meet the requirements of a Listing within 8.00 may be found to be the medical equivalent of a Listing when the severity, frequency, and resolution are considered.

The Claimant's multiple lesions are medically documented and attributed to the Claimant's drug use. The most recent evaluation on June 19, 2009, notes the areas as healed. The objective medical findings are insufficient to support a finding of disabled, or not disabled, under a listed impairment within 8.00.

The Claimant asserts mental disabling impairments due to bipolar disorder. Listing 12.00 encompasses adult mental disorders. The evaluation of disability on the basis of mental disorders requires documentation of a medically determinable impairment(s) and consideration of the degree in which the impairment limits the individual's ability to work, and whether these limitations have lasted or are expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. 12.00A The existence of a medically determinable impairment(s) of the required duration must be established through medical evidence consisting of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings, to include psychological test findings. 12.00B The evaluation of disability on the basis of a mental disorder requires sufficient evidence to (1) establish the presence of a medically determinable mental impairment(s), (2) assess the degree of functional limitation the impairment(s) imposes, and (3) project the probable duration of the impairment(s). 12.00D The evaluation of disability on the basis of mental disorders requires documentation of a medically determinable impairment(s) and consideration of the degree in which the impairment limits the

individual's ability to work consideration, and whether these limitations have lasted or are expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. 12.00A The severity requirement is measured according to the functional limitations imposed by the medically determinable mental impairment. 12.00C Functional limitations are assessed in consideration of an individual's activities of daily living; social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and episodes of decompensation. *Id*.

Listing 12.04 defines affective disorders as being characterized by a disturbance of mood, accompanied by a full or partial manic or depressive syndrome. Generally, affective disorders involve either depression or elation. The required level of severity for these disorders are met when the requirements of both A and B are satisfied, or when the requirements in C are satisfied.

- A. Medically documented persistence, either continuous or intermittent, of one of the following:
 - 1. Depressive syndrome characterized by at least four of the following:
 - a. Anhedonia or pervasive loss of interest in almost all activities; or
 - b. Appetite disturbance with change in weight; or
 - c. Sleep disturbance; or
 - d. Psychomotor agitation or retardation; or
 - e. Decreased energy; or
 - f. Feelings of guilt or worthlessness; or
 - g. Difficulty concentrating or thinking; or
 - h. Thoughts of suicide; or
 - i. Hallucinations, delusions, or paranoid thinking; or
 - 2. Manic syndrome characterized by at least three of the following:
 - a. Hyperactivity; or
 - b. Pressure of speech; or
 - c. Flight of ideas; or
 - d. Inflated self-esteem; or

- e. Decreased need for sleep; or
- f. Easy distractibility; or
- g. Involvement in activities that have a high probability of painful consequences which are not recognized; or
- h. Hallucinations, delusions, or paranoid thinking; or
- 3. Bipolar syndrome with a history of episodic periods manifested by the full symptomatic picture of both manic and depressive syndromes (and currently characterized by either or both syndromes)

AND

- B. Resulting in at least two of the following:
 - 1. Marked restriction on activities of daily living; or
 - 2. Marked difficulties in maintaining social functioning; or
 - 3. Marked difficulties in maintaining concentration, persistence, or pace; or
 - 4. Repeated episodes of decompensation, each of extended duration;

OR

- C. Medically documented history of chronic affective disorder of at least 2 years' duration that has caused more than a minimal limitation of ability to do basic work activities, with symptoms or signs currently attenuated by medication or psychosocial support, and one of the following:
 - 1. Repeated episodes of decompensation, each of extended duration; or
 - 2. A residual disease process that has resulted in such marginal adjustment that even minimal increase in mental demands or change in the environment would be predicted to cause the individual to decompensate; or
 - 3. Current history of 1 or more years' inability to function outside a highly supportive living arrangement, with an indication of continued need for such an arrangement.

In this case, medical evidence shows that the Claimant received treatment for anxiety/depression, bipolar disorder, and was hospitalized for suicidal ideations. The Claimant's GAF ranged from 15 to 50 and he was found markedly limited 17 of the 20 factors listed on the

Mental Residual Functional Capacity Assessment. The record also documents the Claimant's history of polysubstance abuse. The Claimant has been clean for several months yet recent medical records establish the Claimant's mental impairments are still at issue. In consideration of the Claimant's mental impairment(s) as detailed above, it is found that the Claimant's functional limitations would remain independent of the abuse. 20 CFR 416.935 Ultimately, in consideration of the Claimant's objective medical records, it is found that the Claimant's mental impairment(s) meets, or is the equivalent thereof, of a Listing within 12.00, specifically, 12.04. Accordingly, the Claimant is found disabled at Step 3 with no further analysis required.

The State Disability Assistance ("SDA") program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. DHS administers the SDA program purusant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Michigan Administrative Code ("MAC R") 400.3151 – 400.3180. Department policies are found in PAM, PEM, and PRM. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets federal SSI disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI or RSDI benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness (MA-P) automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

In this case, because the Claimant was found disabled for the purposes of the MA program, the Claimant is found disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds the Claimant disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance programs.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's determination is REVERSED.
- 2. The Department shall initiate review of the December 30, 2008 application to determine if all other non-medical criteria are met and inform the Claimant and his representative of the determination.
- The Department shall supplement the Claimant any lost benefits he
 was entitled to receive if otherwise eligible and qualified in
 accordance with department policy.
- 4. The Department shall review the Claimant's continued eligibility in October of 2011 in accordance with department policy.

Collein M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Ishmael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>09/23/09</u>

Date Mailed: <u>09/23/09</u>

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to the Circuit within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the recip date of the rehearing decision.

CMM/jlg

