STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2009-16030

Issue No.: 2009

Case No.: Load No.:

Hearing Date: July 30, 2009

Macomb County DHS (20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Warren, Michigan on Thursday, July 30, 2010. The Claimant appeared, along with and testified.

During the hearing, the Claimant waived the time period for the issuance of this decision in order to allow for the submission of additional medical evidence. No further evidence was received. This matter is now before the undersigned for a final determination.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of Medical Assistance ("MA-P") benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant submitted a public assistance application seeking MA-P retroactive for February 2008 on May 23, 2008.
- 2. On September 12, 2008, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") determined the Claimant was not disabled finding the Claimant capable of performing past relevant work. (Exhibit 1, pp. 4, 5)
- 3. On September 24, 2008, the Department sent the Claimant an eligibility notice informing the Claimant he was not eligible for MA-P. (Exhibit 1, p. 3)
- 4. On December 23, 2008, the Department received the Claimant's Request for Hearing protesting the determination that the Claimant was not disabled. (Exhibit 1, p. 2)
- 5. On April 30, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team ("SHRT") found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 2)
- 6. The Claimant's alleged physical disabling impairments due to weakness, arthritis, pleurisy, congestive heart failure, hypertension, right orbital cyst, hernia, and alcholism.
- 7. The Claimant has not alleged any mental disabling impairments.
- 8. The Claimant is 51 years old; is 5' 9"in height; and weighs approximately 198 pounds.
- 9. The Claimant is a high school graduate with a work history providing general labor.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services ("DHS"), formally known as the Family Independence Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Manual ("BRM").

Disability is defined as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905(a) The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-relate activities or ability to reason and make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is alleged. 20 CRF 413.913 An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of themselves, sufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.929(a) Similarly, conclusory statements by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind, absent supporting medical evidence, is insufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927

When determining disability, the federal regulations require several factors to be considered including: (1) the location/duration/frequency/intensity of an applicant's pain; (2) the type/dosage/effectiveness/side effects of any medication the applicants takes to relieve pain; (3) any treatment other than pain medication that the applicant has received to relieve pain; and (4) the effect of the applicant's pain on his or her ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(3) The applicant's pain must be assessed to determine the extent of his or her functional limitation(s) in light of the objective medical evidence presented. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(2)

In order to determine whether or not an individual is disabled, federal regulations require a five-step sequential evaluation process be utilized. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(1) The five-step analysis requires the trier of fact to consider an individual's current work activity; the severity of

the impairment(s) both in duration and whether it meets or equals a listed impairment in Appendix 1; residual functional capacity to determine whether an individual can perform past relevant work; and residual functional capacity along with vocational factors (i.e. age, education, and work experience) to determine if an individual can adjust to other work. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945

If an individual is found disabled, or not disabled, at any step, a determination or decision is made with no need evaluate subsequent steps. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4) If a determination cannot be made that an individual is disabled, or not disabled, at a particular step, the next step is required. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4) If an impairment does not meet or equal a listed impairment, an individual's residual functional capacity is assessed before moving from step three to step four. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945 Residual functional capacity is the most an individual can do despite the limitations based on all relevant evidence. 20 CFR 945(a)(1) An individual's residual functional capacity assessment is evaluated at both steps four and five. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4) In determining disability, an individual's functional capacity to perform basic work activities is evaluated and if found that the individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitation, disability will not be found. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv)

In general, the individual has the responsibility to prove disability. 20 CFR 416.912(a) An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit an individual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a) As outlined above, the first step looks at the individual's current work activity. An individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, age, education, and work experience, if the individual is working and the work is a substantial, gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4)(i) The individual has the responsibility to provide evidence of prior work experience; efforts to

work; and any other factor showing how the impairment affects the ability to work. 20 CFR 416.912(c)(3)(5)(6)

As previously stated, the first step looks at the individual's current work activity. An individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, age, education, and work experience, if the individual is working and the work is a substantial, gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4)(i) In the record presented, the Claimant is not involved in substantial gainful activity thus is not disqualified from receipt of disability benefits under Step 1.

The severity of the Claimant's alleged impairment(s) is considered under Step 2. The Claimant bears the burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to substantiate the alleged disabling impairments. In order to be considered disabled for MA purposes, the impairment must be severe. 20 CFR 916.920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(b) An impairment, or combination of impairments, is severe if it significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities regardless of age, education and work experience. 20 CFR 916.920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(c) Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. 20 CFR 916.921(b) Examples include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting.

Id. The second step allows for dismissal of a disability claim obviously lacking in medical merit. Higgs v Bowen, 880 F2d 860, 862 (CA 6, 1988). The severity requirement may still be employed as an administrative convenience to screen out claims that are totally groundless solely from a medical standpoint. Id. at 863 citing Farris v Sec of Health and Human Services, 773 F2d 85, 90 n.1 (CA 6, 1985) An impairment qualifies as severe only if, regardless of a claimant's age, education, or work experience, the impairment would not affect the claimant's ability to work. Salmi v Sec of Health and Human Services, 774 F2d 685, 692 (CA 6, 1985)

In the present case, the Claimant alleges disability based on weakness, arthritis, pleurisy, congestive heart failure, hypertension, right orbital cyst, hernia, and alcholism.

On _____, an echocardiogram revealed an ejection fraction of 65%. The left atrium was moderately dilated with no intracardiac evidence of mass or thrombus.

on the Claimant prestned to the hospital with severe shortness of breath. The Claimant had significant edema in the lower extremities and congestion in his chest. On the Claimant had significant edema in the lower extremities and congestion in his chest. On the Claimant had significant edema in the lower extremities and congestion in his chest. On the Claimant had significant edema in the lower extremities and congestion in his chest. On the Claimant had significant edema had neuron behalf of the Claimant. The current diagnoses were alcoholism, alcoholic liver disease, respiratory failure, bilateral pneumonia, severe ascitis, and congestive heart failure. The Claimant's condition was found to be improving however he was limited to the equivalent of less than sedentary activity. The Claimant needed a walker for ambulation and had neuropathy weakness. The Claimant was discharged on the the condition was found to be improving however he was limited to the equivalent of less than sedentary activity. The Claimant needed a walker for ambulation and had neuropathy weakness. The Claimant was discharged on the the condition was found to the equivalent of less than sedentary activity. The Claimant needed a walker for ambulation and had neuropathy weakness. The Claimant was discharged on the the condition was found to the equivalent of less than sedentary activity. The Claimant proving however he was limited to the equivalent of less than sedentary activity. The Claimant proving however he was limited to the equivalent of less than sedentary activity. The Claimant proving however he was limited to the equivalent of less than sedentary activity.

On the Claimant attended a consultative evaluation. The physical examination found no evidence of congestive heart failure or liver failure. The Claimant's knee pain was noted however the Claimant was able to squat, walk with flexion limited to 120 degrees. There was no deformity or swelling.

On _____, the Claimant treated at a clinic for pain complaints. The Claimant ws prescribed motrin (pain) and hydrochlorothiazid (high blood pressure).

On the Claimant was treated for an abdominal hernia.

On the Claimant's treating physician authored a letter providing that the Claimant receives treatment for generalized arthritis, alcohol use, history of pleurisy, congretive heart failure, right orbital cyst, umbilical hernia, and hypertension. Additional testing is needed however the Claimant has no insurance to cover the costs of the studies/referrals.

As previously noted, the Claimant bears the burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to substantiate the alleged disabling impairment(s). As summarized above, the Claimant has presented some objective medical evidence establishing that he does have physical limitations on his ability to perform basic work activities. Accordingly, the Claimant has an impairment, or combination thereof, that has more than a *de minimis* effect on the Claimant's basic work activities. Further, the impairments have lasted continuously for twelve months therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified from receipt of MA-P benefits under Step 2.

In the third step of the sequential analysis of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment, or combination of impairments, is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. The Claimant asserts physical disabling impairment(s) due to weakness, arthritis, pleurisy, congestive heart failure, hypertension, right orbital cyst, hernia, and alcholism.

Listing 1.00 (musculoskeletal system), Listing 3.00 (respiratory system), Listing 4.00 (cardiovascular system), Listing 5.00 (digestive system) and Listing 12.00 (mental disorders, specifically 12.09) were considered in light of the objective findings. Ultimately, it is found that the Claimant's impairment(s) do not meet the required intent and severity requirement of a listed impairment therefore the Claimant cannot be found disabled, or not disabled, under a listed impairment. Accordingly, the Claimant's eligibility under Step 4 is considered. 20 CFR 416.905(a)

The fourth step in analyzing a disability claim requires an assessment of the Claimant's residual functional capacity ("RFC") and past relevant employment. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4)(iv) An individual is not disabled if he/she can perform past relevant work. *Id.*; 20 CFR 416.960(b)(3) Past relevant work is work that has been performed within the past 15 years that was a substantial gainful activity and that lasted long enough for the individual to learn the position. 20 CFR 416.960(b)(1) Vocational factors of age, education, and work experience, and whether the past relevant employment exists in significant numbers in the national economy is not considered. 20 CFR 416.960(b)(3) RFC is assessed based on impairment(s), and any related symptoms, such as pain, which may cause physical and mental limitations that affect what can be done in a work setting. RFC is the most that can be done, despite the limitations.

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, jobs are classified as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. 20 CFR 416.967 Sedentary work involves lifting of no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. 20 CFR 416.967(a) Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. *Id.* Jobs are sedentary if walking and

standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying objects weighing up to 10 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(b) Even though weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. *Id.* To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, an individual must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. Id. An individual capable of light work is also capable of sedentary work, unless there are additionally limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. Id. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(c) An individual capable of performing medium work is also capable of light and sedentary work. Id. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(d) An individual capable of heavy work is also capable of medium, light, and sedentary work. Id. Finally, very heavy work involves lifting objects weighing more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying objects weighing 50 pounds or more. 20 CFR 416.967(e) An individual capable of very heavy work is able to perform work under all categories. *Id*.

Over the past 15 years, the Claimant worked as a general laborer. In light of the Claimant's testimony and in consideration of the Occupational Code, the Claimant's prior work is classified as unskilled, medium/heavy work.

The Claimant testified that he can lift/carry approximately 17 pounds; can sit/stand for short periods; can walk slowly; and is able to bend but not squat. The objective evidence finds the Claimant's range of motion normal with the exception of knee flexion. If the impairment or

combination of impairments does not limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. 20 CFR 416.920 In consideration of the Claimant's testimony, medical records, and current limitations, it is found that the Claimant is not able to return to past relevant work providing medium/heavy general labor thus the fifth-step in the sequential evaluation process is required.

In Step 5, an assessment of the individual's residual functional capacity and age, education, and work experience is considered to determine whether an adjustment to other work can be made. 20 CFR 416.920(4)(v) At the time of hearing, the Claimant, a high school graduate, was 50 years old thus considered to be closely approaching advanced age for MA-P purposes. Disability is found if an individual is unable to adjust to other work. *Id.* At this point in the analysis, the burden shifts from the Claimant to the Department to present proof that the Claimant has the residual capacity to substantial gainful employment. 20 CFR 416.960(2); Richardson v Sec of Health and Human Services, 735 F2d 962, 964 (CA 6, 1984). While a vocational expert is not required, a finding supported by substantial evidence that the individual has the vocational qualifications to perform specific jobs is needed to meet the burden. O'Banner v Sec of Health and Human Services, 587 F2d 321, 323 (CA 6, 1978). Medical-Vocational guidelines found at 20 CFR Subpart P, Appendix II, may be used to satisfy the burden of proving that the individual can perform specific jobs in the national economy. Heckler v Campbell, 461 US 458, 467 (1983); Kirk v Secretary, 667 F2d 524, 529 (CA 6, 1981) cert den 461 US 957 (1983). Transferability of skills is most probable and meaningful among jobs in which the same or a lesser degree of skill is required; the same or similar tools and machines are used; and the same or similar raw materials, products, processes, or services are involved. 20 CFR 416.968(d)(2)

In the record presented, the Claimant's residual functional capacity for work activities on

a regular and continuing basis does include the ability to meet at least the physical and mental

demands required to perform light work as defined in 20 CFR 416.967(b). As noted above, light

work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying objects

weighing up to 10 pounds sitting and lifting no more than 10 pounds at time with occasional

walking and standing to carry out the job duties. After review of the entire record and using the

Medical-Vocational Guidelines [20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix II] as a guide, specifically

Rule 202.13, it is found that the Claimant is not disabled for purposes of the MA-P program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above finds of facts and conclusions of

law, finds the Claimant not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance program.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

The Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

Collein M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Ishmael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: _5/28/2010____

Date Mailed: 5/28/2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to the Circuit within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

11

CMM/jlg

