STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2009-15477

Issue No.: 1030/3052

Case No.: Load No.:

Hearing Date:

April 2, 2009

Wayne County DHS (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; MSA 16.409 and MCL 400.37; MSA 16.437 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on April 2, 2009. The Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Is the Department properly recouping overpaid benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The department is recouping FAP and FIP benefits from the claimant due to overpayment.
- 2. The claimant's FIP and FAP now include a child not in the previous FIP and FAP groups.
- 3. On February 10, 2009, the Claimant filed a request for a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The **Automated Recoupment System** (**ARS**) is the part of CIMS that tracks all FIP, SDA and FAP OIs and repayments, issues automated collection notices and triggers automated benefit reductions for active FIP, SDA and FAP programs. (PAM 725, p. 1).

And:

Section F; Benefit Calculation

FIP and SDA Only

Enter the amount from line D3.

Enter the amount of administrative recoupment.

Subtract the amount on line 2 from the amount on line 1 and enter the result. (PEM 520, p.3).

2009-15477/MJB

In the instant case, the Claimant does not dispute the amount being recouped but argues

that since she has become the legal guardian of a child the recoupment being withheld should

cease so as to not harm her ward.

This ALJ can find no policy that would allow the department to adjust the amounts being

recouped from the claimant's FAP and FIP due to a change in her FAP and FIP group.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of

law, AFFIRMS the Department's action in the instant case.

/s/

Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 04/30/09

Date Mailed: _05/01/09_____

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MJB/jlg

3

2009-15477/MJB

