STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-15299 Issue No: 3002; 3003

Case No:

Load No: Hearing Date:

March 31, 2009

Jackson County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on March 31, 2009.

ISSUE

Was the claimant's FAP application denied correctly?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant applied for FAP assistance on 12-4-08.
- (2) Claimant's income was determined to be above the gross income limit, and her FAP application was denied.

(3) Claimant filed for hearing on 2-25-09, alleging that DHS incorrectly computed her budget, and therefore, improperly denied her FAP application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

When determining eligibility for FAP benefits, the household's total income must be evaluated. All earned and unearned income of each household member must be included unless specifically excluded. PEM, Item 500. A standard deduction from income of \$135 is allowed for each household. Certain non-reimbursable medical expenses above \$35 a month may be deducted for senior/disabled/veteran group members. Another deduction from income is provided if monthly shelter costs are in excess of 50% of the household's income after all of the other deductions have been allowed, up to a maximum of \$300 for non-senior/disabled/veteran households. PEM, Items 500 and 554; RFT 255; 7 CFR 273.2.

In this case, the Administrative Law Judge has reviewed the FAP budget and finds that the department properly computed the claimant's gross income. The gross child support benefit amount must be counted as unearned income, which is \$135 in the current case. PEM 500. These amounts were verified by Child Support Payment Report, presented as Department Exhibit 5. Claimant is receiving earned income. The average gross income at the time the budget

2009-15299/RJC

was ran came out to a monthly total of \$3,132, for a total gross income of \$3,267. The federal

regulations at 7 CFR 273.10 provide standards for the amount of a household's benefits. The

department in compliance with the federal regulations has prepared issuance tables which are set

forth at Program Reference Manual, Table 260. The issuance table provides that a household

with household size and gross income of the claimant is not eligible for an FAP allotment. The

Administrative Law Judge has reviewed the budget and found no errors. Claimant herself was

unable to point out specifically what parts of the budget she felt were in error. Therefore, the

undersigned finds that the FAP allotment was computed correctly.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions

of law, decides that the Department's decision to deny the claimant's FAP application was

correct.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Robert J. Chavez

Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed. Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 6, 2009_

Date Mailed: April 7, 2009

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the

original request.

3

2009-15299/RJC

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

RJC/cv



