STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No:2009-15254Issue No:2009; 4031Case No:1Load No:1Hearing Date:1May 27, 20091Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 27, 2009. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's

application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On November 15, 2008, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.

(2) On December 22, 2008, the Medical Review Team denied claimant's application stating that claimant's impairments were non-exertional and that claimant could perform other work.

(3) On January 5, 2009, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that her application was denied.

(4) On February 11, 2009, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

(5) On March 13, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating that claimant is capable of performing other work in the form of unskilled work per 20 CFR 416.968(a) pursuant to Medical-Vocational Rule 204.00(H) and stated in its comments that claimant has a history of substance abuse/dependence. In October 2008 the claimant reported no current use and her mental status was unremarkable.

(6) Claimant is a 49-year-old woman whose birth date is contact. Claimant is 5' 3" tall and weighs 250 pounds. Claimant attended the 10th grade and has no GED. Claimant is able to read and write and is able to add, subtract and count money.

(10) Claimant last worked two years ago for a temporary agency cleaning hotels.Claimant has also worked washing dishes and braiding hair in a beauty shop.

(11) Claimant alleges as disabling impairments: a bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, right fingers numb and cold, hand and arm cramps, bad knees, painful back and residual damage from a motor vehicle accident two years before the hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or

department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impairments do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples

of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;

- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed

by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the

listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social

functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands

associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other

functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked for approximately two years. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

The objective medical evidence on the record indicates that a Medical Examination Report indicates that claimant was an obese female with an altered gait who had deafness in the right ear and long-term smoking with chronic cough as well as hypertension Stage I. Obesity and distended heavy abdomen and degenerative arthritis of the knees and pain on prolonged standing or weightbearing and a bipolar disorder but she was normal in the neurological areas. As of

, claimant was 5' 4" tall and weighed 292-1/2 pounds and her blood pressure was 140/90. Claimant was able to occasionally lift less than 10 pounds, but never lift 10 pounds

or more. Claimant could stand or walk less than two hours in an eight hour workday but could sit about six hours in an eight hour workday. Claimant did not medically require an assistive device for ambulation and she was able to do simple grasping and fine manipulating with her upper extremities but not reaching and pushing/pulling. Claimant was not able to operate foot and leg controls with either feet or legs and the medical findings that support the physical limitations were morbid obesity limits prolonged standing and ambulation and degenerative arthritis on the bilateral knees limits the claimant as above. Hearing loss in the right hear is complete since childhood. Claimant also had some memory, sustained concentration, social interaction problems and a history of a bipolar disorder and ongoing mental health treatment. (Pages 7-8)

An psychiatric/psychological examination report at page 9 indicated claimant came alone to her interview and was on time. Her clothing and hygiene were appropriate. Claimant had previously worked in a nursing home and that was about 10 years ago. She only completed the 10th grade. Claimant's presenting complaints of sadness, loss of interest and low energy. Claimant will see the doctor once a month and she is instructed to take her medications and attend therapy sessions. Claimant was oriented x3. She had difficulty following directions. Her memory was very limited. Claimant was diagnosed with major depressive disorder, severe with psychotic features and polysubstance abuse as well as a borderline personality disorder, hypertension and economic problems and her GAF was 52. (Pages 9-10)

At Step 2, claimant has the burden of proof of establishing that she has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months. There is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. Claimant has reports of pain in multiple areas of her body; however, there are no corresponding clinical findings that

support the reports of symptoms and limitations made by the claimant. The 49 indicates that claimant is obese; however, she stated on the record that she had lost approximately 50 pounds if she weighs 250 pounds as of the hearing date and in October she was weighing 292 pounds. There are no laboratory or x-ray findings listed in the file. The DHS-49 form indicates that assistive devices are not medically needed or required for ambulation and have given her at least sedentary restrictions. The clinical impression is that claimant is stable. There is no medical finding that claimant has any muscle atrophy or trauma, abnormality or injury that is consistent with a deteriorating condition. In short, claimant has restricted herself from tasks associated with occupational functioning based upon her reports of pain (symptoms) rather than medical findings. Reported symptoms are an insufficient basis upon which a finding that claimant has met the evidentiary burden of proof can be made. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the medical record is insufficient to establish that claimant has a severely restrictive physical impairment.

There is insufficient objective medical/psychiatric evidence in the record that indicates that claimant suffers mental limitations resulting from her reportedly depressed or bipolar state. There is no mental residual functional capacity assessment in the record. Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during her interview with the psychiatrist and also was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing. Claimant was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. There is insufficient medical/psychiatric evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it would prevent claimant from working at any job. In addition, based upon claimant's history, claimant did have substance abuse problems which would have contributed to her physical and any alleged mental problems.

For these reasons, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant has failed to meet her burden of proof at Step 2. Claimant must be denied benefits at this step based upon her failure to meet the evidentiary burden.

If claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidence of claimant's condition does not give rise to a finding that she would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

If claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny her again at Step 4 based upon her ability to perform her past work as a hotel cleaning person or as a dishwasher or as a braider. There is insufficient objective medical evidence upon which this Administrative Law Judge could base a finding that claimant is unable to perform any of the work in which she has engaged in, in the past. Thus, if claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, she would be denied again at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her prior jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

In this case, claimant has submitted insufficient objective medical evidence that she lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her prior employment or that she is physically unable to do at least light or sedentary tasks if demanded of her. Claimant's activities of daily living do not appear to be very limited and she should be able to perform light or sedentary work even with her impairments. Claimant testified that she is single and lives with her uncle and has no children under 18 who live with her. Claimant does

not have a driver's license and she gets rides or catches the bus and a friend brought her to the hearing. Claimant testified that she warms food up in the microwave and that she grocery shops and her friend takes her once a month. Claimant testified that she does clean her home by making up the bed, cleaning the bathroom, doing laundry and doing dishes. Claimant testified that she can walk a half a block before her knees hurt, stand for 5-10 minutes a time and she sat through the entire hearing which was approximately 30 minutes long. Claimant testified that she can shower and dress herself, but cannot squat and cannot bend at the waist very well. She cannot tie her shoes or touch her toes. Claimant testified that the heaviest weight she can carry is a gallon of milk and that she is right handed and that her hands are numb and her fingers cramp. Claimant's level of pain on a scale from 1 to 10 without medication is a 10 and with medication is a 5-6. Claimant testified that she used to drink quite a bit of beer or pints of liquor. She quit smoking last year and stopped drinking two years ago and stopped doing marijuana and cocaine about 2-1/2 years before the hearing. Claimant testified that in a typical day she visits with her girlfriend's mother and watches television and talks and takes a bath and stated that she could not do any braiding anymore because of her hands.

Claimant's complaints of pain, while profound and credible, are out of proportion to the objective medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to claimant's ability to perform work. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does not establish that claimant has no residual functional capacity. Claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that she has not established by objective medical evidence that she cannot perform light or sedentary work even with her impairments.

The department's Program Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assistance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person or age 65 or older. PEM, Item 261, page 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits either.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied claimant's application for Medical Assistance, retroactive Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits. The claimant should be able to perform a wide range of light or sedentary work even with her impairments. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

<u>/s/</u>_

Landis Y. Lain Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed:___June 30, 2009 __

Date Mailed: June 30, 2009

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/vmc

