# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

## ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-15247

Issue No: 2009; 4031

Case No:

Load No: Hearing Date:

June 4, 2009

Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ivona Rairigh

#### HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 4, 2009. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Also appearing and testifying on claimant's behalf was

#### **ISSUE**

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

On November 14, 2008, claimant filed an application for State Disability
 Assistance benefits alleging disability.

- (2) On January 8, 2009, the Medical Review Team denied claimant's application stating claimant had a non-exertional impairment and could perform unskilled work.
- (3) On January 13, 2009, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that his application was denied.
- (4) On February 3, 2009, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (5) On March 24, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating he was capable of performing other work, namely unskilled work per 20 CFR 416.968(a), and noting that Drug and Alcohol Abuse is material per 20 CFR 416.935.
- (6) Claimant stated at the hearing that he had additional medical documentation to submit for review. Claimant however never did so, and department advised by e-mail on January 22, 2010 that no additional documentation had been received from him.
- (7) Claimant is a 60 year old man whose birth date is August 28, 1950. Claimant is 5' 11" tall and weighs 165 pounds. Claimant attended the 8<sup>th</sup> grade in \_\_\_\_\_\_, can read and write very little in English, and cannot do basic math. Claimant came to the U.S. from \_\_\_\_\_\_.
- (8) Claimant states that he last worked in 2002 at an oil change business for 1 year, job he was laid off from due to lack of work. Claimant also worked in a factory in 1998 for 8-9 months, another job he was laid off from, and as a parking attendant for 7 months.
- (9) Claimant is living in an apartment provided by the housing commission with the help of and receives food stamps.
- (10) Claimant alleges as disabling impairments high blood pressure, depression, schizophrenia, and chronic back pain.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

A person is considered disabled for purposes of SDA if the person has a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards for at least 90 days. Receipt of SSI or RSDI benefits based upon disability or blindness or the receipt of MA benefits based upon disability or blindness (MA-P) automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program. Other specific financial and non-financial eligibility criteria are found in BEM Item 261.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impairments does not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

- ... Medical reports should include -
- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;

- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and testified that he has not worked since year 2002. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

At Step 2, claimant has the burden of proof of establishing that he has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment or a combination of impairments that is "severe". An impairment or combination of impairments is "severe" within the meaning of the regulations if it significantly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work activities. An impairment or combination of impairments is "not severe" when medical and other evidence establish only a slight abnormality or a combination of slight abnormalities that would have no more than a

Rulings (SSRs) 85-28, 96-3p, and 96-4p. The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit.

1. As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The court used the severity requirement as a "de minimus hurdle" in the disability determination. The de minimus standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

Psychosocial Assessment of November 1, 2007 indicating that the claimant became a client with the team after an intensive engagement period. Claimant has a depressive disorder (not otherwise specified) and alcohol dependence diagnosis. Claimant reported being currently depressed and that he frequently hears voices even though there is nobody there. Claimant stated he worries everyday about his immigration status and fears having to go back to the claimant stated. Claimant also stated that he drinks liquor to go to sleep, but that he no longer drinks every day since he moved into his apartment. Claimant was noted to currently carry a diagnosis of alcohol induced psychosis, and that he reports hearing voices for the past year due to chronic alcohol consumption.

Claimant's record also includes a Psychiatric/Psychological Examination Report dated December 3, 2008. Claimant presented himself dressed appropriately and oriented x3, and despite language barrier he was cognizant to the conversation. Claimant reported hearing a female voice in his head, was paranoid, but had no history of hospitalizations. Claimant was currently receiving weekly counseling sessions and was prescribed anti psychotic medication. Claimant used alcohol daily with some periods of detox which include visual hallucinations and

shakes. Claimant has the ability to function and live independently but has struggles with follow through and misses appointments usually when alcohol use increases. Claimant's diagnosis is that of alcohol-induced psychosis and social stressors.

Medical Examination Report for exam of December 1, 2008 indicates as claimant's diagnosis hypertension, GERD and lower back pain. Claimant was 5'11" tall and weighed 187 lbs., and his blood pressure was 116/90. Claimant was noted to have no physical limitations except that he would have some back stiffness with repetitive work.

Claimant has not provided any additional medical records even though he was given over 6 months from the date of the hearing to do so. Claimant's hearing testimony is that he can cook and grocery shop, that he lives alone, that he attends meetings twice per week and makes contact every week with staff, and that he attends to his bathing and dressing without assistance. Claimant further testified that he can sit for 2 hours, stand for 1 hour, and walk 2-4 city blocks without stopping. testified that the claimant is doing better but a "mentally complicated person".

There is no objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the medical record combined with claimant's own hearing testimony about his physical condition is insufficient to establish that claimant has a severely restrictive physical impairment.

There is no evidence in the record indicating that claimant suffers mental limitation.

Claimant does have mental issues, but documentation provided indicates they are caused by claimant's long history of severe alcohol abuse. Claimant testified that he quit drinking a year ago, and is a recovering alcoholic. The evidentiary record is insufficient to find claimant suffers a severely restrictive mental impairment. For these reasons, this Administrative Law Judge finds

that claimant has failed to meet his burden of proof at Step 2. Claimant must be denied benefits at this step based upon his failure to meet the evidentiary burden.

If claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

At Step 4, if claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, the Administrative Law Judge would have to deny him again based upon his ability to perform past relevant work. Claimant's past relevant work was doing simple labor jobs (i.e. oil change, factory work, parking attendant) for which he would not need extensive education he lacks, and for which there would be no language barrier issues. Finding that the claimant is unable to perform work which he has engaged in in the past cannot therefore be reached and the claimant is denied from receiving disability at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform other jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the

national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls....

20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

Claimant has submitted insufficient objective medical evidence that he lacks the residual functional capacity to perform tasks from his prior employment, or that he is physically unable to do at least medium work if demanded of him. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does not establish that claimant has no residual functional capacity to perform other work. Claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that he has not established by objective medical evidence that he cannot perform light, sedentary and medium work, or possibly even heavy work. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, an individual of advanced age (claimant is age 60), with limited education and an unskilled work history who can perform medium work is not considered disabled pursuant to Medical-Vocational Rule 203.11.

The Federal Regulations at 20 CFR 404.1535 speak to the determination of whether is material to a person's disability and when benefits will or will not be approved. The regulations require the disability analysis be completed prior to a determination of whether a person's drug and alcohol use is material. It is only when a person meets the disability criterion, as set forth in the regulations, that the issue of materiality becomes relevant. In such cases, the regulations require a sixth step to determine the materiality of to a person's disability.

When the record contains evidence of a determination must be made whether or not the person would continue to be disabled if the individual stopped using drugs or alcohol. The trier of fact must determine what, if any, of the physical or mental limitations would remain if the person were to stop the use of the drugs or alcohol and whether any of these remaining limitations would be disabling.

In claimant's case it is apparent from the information provided that the claimant's mental issues are largely caused by his long term severe abuse of alcohol. Claimant testified that he had quit drinking a year ago, however he provided no updated information about his mental status during his alleged period of sobriety. Therefore, it cannot be determined that claimant's depression, hearing voices, etc. continued while he allegedly abstained from alcohol abuse.

The claimant has not presented the required competent, material, and substantial evidence which would support a finding that the claimant has an impairment or combination of impairments which would significantly limit the physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.920(c). Although the claimant has cited medical problems, the clinical documentation submitted by the claimant is not sufficient to establish a finding that the claimant is disabled. There is no objective medical evidence to substantiate the claimant's claim that the alleged impairment(s) are severe enough to reach the criteria and definition of disabled.

The department's Bridges Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assistance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person, age 65 or older, or receiving other special services such as be a client of Michigan Vocational Rehabilitation services. BEM, Item 261, page 1. Because the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied claimant's application for State Disability

2009-15247/IR

Assistance benefits. The claimant should be able to perform a wide range of light, sedentary and medium work even with his alleged impairments. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED, and it is SO ORDERED.

/s

Ivona Rairigh Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 19, 2010

Date Mailed: April 19, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.



