STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-14681

Issue No: 1038

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: May 11, 2009

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on May 11, 2009. Claimant appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (Department) properly sanction

Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) for failure to complete work related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as a material fact:

1. The Claimant failed to receive a notice of referral for Work-First/JET.

- On January 27, 2009 the Department issued a non-compliance letter to the Claimant and scheduled a TRIAGE for February 3, 2009.
- 3. On February 3, 2009 the Claimant filed a hearing request.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Relevant policy section PEM 233A, p. 1:

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELF-SUFFICIENCY-RELATED ACTIVITIES

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. **Noncompliance** of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing **any** of the following **without** good cause:

Failing or refusing to:

- Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.
- Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
- Develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).

- Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or PRPFC.
- Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting.
- Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.
- Accept a job referral.
- Complete a job application.
- Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/ or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.

In the present case, the Claimant's FIP case was closed due to failure to attend Work-First/JET. The Claimant testified she failed to receive any letter indicating she needed to attend Work-First/JET. The Department presented a notice purported to have been sent to the Claimant. However this notice failed to indicate the address for which it was purported to have been sent. The Department could not show any documents relating to this appointment notice indicating where the notice had been mailed. The Claimant's testimony was found to be credible and the Department failed to present any documents to support their assertion that the notice was in fact mailed to the Claimant.

Therefore the Department improperly sanctioned the Claimant's case for failure to attend a Work-First/JET appointment since they failed to send a notice of this appointment to the Claimant.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department of Human Services was not acting in compliance with Department policy.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED and the Department shall remove the negative action and supplement the Claimant for any loss in benefits.

/s/

Jonathan W. Owens Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>6/11/09</u>

Date Mailed:_6/16/09_____

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JWO/at

