

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████

Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-14272

Issue No: 2012

Case No: ██████████

Load No: ██████████

Hearing Date:

February 11, 2010

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on February 11, 2010. The Claimant's representative appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (Department) fail to process Claimant's application for Medicaid (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as a material fact:

1. On November 25, 2008, the Claimant's representative faxed a letter indicating they were inquiring about an alleged application dated September 26, 2008.
2. On December 4, 2008, the Department received a hearing request from the Claimant's representative, ██████████ regarding an alleged September 26, 2008 application for MA and SDA.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In the present case, the Claimant's representative alleges an application was submitted by [REDACTED] on September 26, 2008. Claimant failed to present evidence that an application was submitted on September 26, 2008. The copy of the application produced by Claimant failed to show it was submitted to a district office. Claimant failed to provide direct testimony or other evidence that would establish that the application was submitted. The person who allegedly submitted the application did not testify and was not indentified. The Department testified there is no record of any application being submitted for the Claimant on September 26, 2008.

The relevant policy can be found in PAM Item 105, p.1 and p. 11:

All Programs

Clients have rights and responsibilities as specified in this item.

The local office must do all of the following:

- Determine eligibility.
- Calculate the level of benefits.
- Protect client rights.

On the same day a person comes to the local office, he has the right to file an application and get local office help to provide the minimum information for filing.

An application or DHS-1171-F, Filing Document, must be registered if it contains at least the following information:

- Name of the applicant.
- Birth date of the applicant.
- Address of the applicant.
- Signature of the applicant/authorized representative.

Determine eligibility and benefit amounts for all requested programs. A DHS-1171 application for cash assistance (FIP/SDA) is an application for medical assistance (MA/AMP), even if medical assistance is **not** checked as a program being applied for on page 1 of the application.

SSI recipients, Title IV-E recipients, special needs adoption assistance recipients and Department wards are automatically eligible for current MA.

Review the effect on eligibility whenever the client reports a change in circumstances. Actions must be completed within the time period specified in PAM 220.

At application and redetermination, thoroughly review all eligibility factors in the case.

At application, redetermination, semi-annual contact and mid-cert contact, **all** available automated systems matches to see if income has started, stopped or changed (e.g. Consolidated Inquiry (CI), SOLQ, etc.).

Do not check automated systems matches for Healthy Kids.

Application and redeterminations must be completed within the standards of promptness.


Document each determination of eligibility or ineligibility on the DHS-1171-C, Eligibility Determination and Certification, and inform the client of the decision.

This Administrative Law Judge finds the Claimant's representative has failed to demonstrate an application was in fact submitted on September 26, 2008.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department of Human Services was acting in compliance with Department policy.

Accordingly, the Department is AFFIRMED.



Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 03/31/10

Date Mailed: 03/31/10

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JWO/dj

cc:

