# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

# ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2009-13278

Issue No.: 2009

Case No.:

Load No.:

Hearing Date: May 20, 2009

Macomb County DHS (36)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Linda Steadley Schwarb

### HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on May 20, 2009. The claimant appeared and testified. Following the hearing, the record was kept open for receipt of additional medical evidence. Additional documents were received and reviewed.

#### **ISSUE**

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS or department) properly determine that claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA-P) program?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On August 27, 2008, claimant filed an application for MA-P benefits. Claimant requested MA-P retroactive to July 2008.

- (2) On December 3, 2008, the department denied claimant's application for benefits based upon the belief that claimant did not meet the requisite disability criteria.
- (3) On January 13, 2009, claimant filed a hearing request to protest the department's determination.
- (4) Claimant, age 44, has a high school education and some college.
- (5) Claimant last worked in September 2005 as a stock clerk. Claimant has performed relevant work as a patient transporter, shipping & receiving clerk, bartender, caterer, and waitress. Claimant's relevant work history consists exclusively of unskilled work activities.
- (6) Claimant has a history of tarsal tunnel syndrome with a May 2006 left tarsal tunnel release and left partial proximal plantar fasciectomy.
- (7) Claimant suffers from carpel tunnel syndrome; tarsal tunnel syndrome; depression secondary to medical condition, mild; adjustment reaction with disturbance of mood; and anxiety.
- (8) Claimant has severe limitations upon her ability to walk or stand for prolonged periods of time as well as lifting heavy objects. Claimant's limitations have lasted for 12 months or more.
- (9) At the time of the hearing, claimant was actively participating with Michigan Rehabilitative Services. At the hearing, claimant testified that Michigan Rehabilitative Services was going to assist her with retraining and help finding a sit down job.
- (10) Claimant's complaints and allegations concerning her impairments and limitations, when considered in light of all objective medical evidence, as well as the record as a whole,

reflect an individual who has the physical and mental capacity to engage in simple, unskilled sedentary work activities on a regular and continuing basis.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In general, the claimant has the responsibility to prove that she is disabled.

Claimant's impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. A physical or mental impairment must be established by medical evidence consisting of signs, symptoms, and laboratory findings, not only claimant's statement of symptoms. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.927. Proof must be in the form of medical evidence showing that the claimant has an impairment and the nature and extent of

its severity. 20 CFR 416.912. Information must be sufficient to enable a determination as to the nature and limiting effects of the impairment for the period in question, the probable duration of the impairment and the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, claimant is not working. Therefore, claimant may not be disqualified for MA at this step in the sequential evaluation process.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;

- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a "*de minimus* hurdle" in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

In this case, claimant has presented the required medical data and evidence necessary to support a finding that claimant has significant physical limitations upon claimant's ability to perform basic work activities such as walking and standing for prolonged periods of time and lifting heavy objects. Medical evidence has clearly established that claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

In the fourth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing past relevant work. 20 CFR 416.920(e). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings, that claimant is not capable of the prolonged walking and standing and/or heavy lifting required by her past employment. Claimant has presented the required medical data and evidence necessary to support a finding that she is not, at this point, capable of performing such work.

In the fifth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing other work.

20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the claimant's:

- (1) residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite you limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- (2) age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-.965; and
- (3) the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite his/her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

See Felton v DSS 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987).

This Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant's residual functional capacity for work activities on a regular and continuing basis does include the ability to meet the physical and mental demands required to perform simple, unskilled, sedentary work activities. Sedentary work is defined as follows:

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are

sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

There is insufficient objective medical evidence, signs, and symptoms to support a determination that claimant is incapable of performing the physical and mental activities necessary for a wide range of sedentary work. On the department examined claimant and provided an impression of carpel tunnel syndrome and tarsal tunnel syndrome. The consultant stated as follows:

Based upon the exam today, the examinee is able to occasionally lift and carry about 15 to 20 lbs. She is able to stand or walk about 4 hours in an 8 hour work day alternating with sitting and having frequent breaks. She is able to sit about 6 hours in an 8 hour work day. She is able to use her upper extremities for simple grasping, reaching, pushing, pulling, and fine manipulation. She is able to operate foot or leg controls on the right more so than the left.

Claimant was seen by a consulting psychologist for the Disability Determination Service on

The consultant opined that claimant suffered with depression secondary to medical condition, mild; adjustment reaction with disturbance of mood; and anxiety. The psychologist opined that claimant is capable of managing her own funds. The psychologist opined that "... There is no evidence to suggest that she would be unable to do simple sedentary work with adequate pain management." On claimant's treating physician opined that claimant suffered from chronic pain syndrome, peripheral neuropathy, ovarian cysts, and fibromyalgia. The physician opined that claimant is capable of frequently lifting up to 10 lbs and occasionally lifting up to 20 lbs. The physician indicated that claimant was capable of repetitive activities with the bilateral lower extremities and had no mental limitations. Upon review of claimant's medical records, a report from claimant's treating physician, and evaluations by various consultants, claimant has failed to establish limitations which would compromise her ability to perform a wide range of sedentary work activities on a regular and continuing basis.

2009-13278/LSS

See Social Security Rulings 83-10 and 96-9p. The record fails to support the position that

claimant is incapable of sedentary work activities.

Considering that claimant, at age 44, is a younger individual, has a high school education,

has an unskilled work history, and has a sustained work capacity for sedentary work. This

Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant's impairments do not prevent her from doing other

work. As a guide, see 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Table 1, Rule 201.27.

Accordingly, the undersigned must find that claimant is not presently disabled for purposes of

the MA program.

**DECISION AND ORDER** 

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of

law, decides that the Department of Human Services properly determined that claimant is not

"disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance Program.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is HEREBY, AFFIRMED.

luce Fracting Schward

Linda Steadley Schwarb Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: \_\_1/11/2010\_\_

Date Mailed: \_\_1/11/2010\_\_\_

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

### 2009-13278/LSS

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to the Circuit within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the recip date of the rehearing decision.

## LSS/jlg



