STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No:2009-12982Issue No:2009-4031Case No:1000-4031Load No:1000-4031Hearing Date:1000-4031May 20, 20091000-4031Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on May 20, 2009. Claimant appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Services (Department) properly determined that the

Claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA) program and State

Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as a material fact:

- 1. On March 17, 2008, the Claimant applied for MA-P and SDA.
- 2. MRT denied Claimant's request.
- On January 8, 2009, the Claimant filed a request for hearing regarding the Department's denial of benefits.

- 4. The Claimant is 38 years old.
- 5. The Claimant has a 9th grade education.
- 6. The Claimant suffers from Chronic low back syndrome, radiculopathy, Stenosis.
- 7. The Claimant testified he suffers with the following symptoms: severe lower back pain, goes down both legs, pain in testicles, numbress in both legs, weakness in ankles, balance problems and has fallen, can't sit more than 15 minutes, can't stand more than 10 minutes, problems sleeping due to pain, need to lay down to relieve the pain, sitting makes legs lock up
- 8. On September 26, 2008, the Claimant's treating physician indicated the following: limited him to occasionally lifting up to 10lbs, standing or walking 2 hours or less in an 8 hour shift and sitting less than 6 hours in an 8 hour shift, recommended use of a cane, no limitations on hands or arms in regards to repetitive movements but restricted his legs and feet in regards to foot and leg operations. This same physician indicated the Claimant may be able to do a sedentary position.
- 9. On February 27, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team found the Claimant was not disabled and was capable of sedentary work.
- 10. On June 4, 2009, the Claimant was sent for an MRI. On June 17, 2009, a consulting physician compared the results of the MRI completed on June 2, 2004 to the newly completed MRI. In June 2004, the Claimant had a nerve root impingement noted at the L4-L5 and L5-S1 impinging. The consultant determined based upon the new MRI the following: "shows at L3-L4 there is normal disc hydration, no arthrosis, or foraminal narrowing at L4-L5, normal inter-vertebral neuroforamina. At L5-S1, there is no evidence of spondylosis.

There is a midline disc protrusion with non-compressive bilateral neuroforaminal narrowing. No evidence of any ongoing radiculopathy."

- 11. On August 24, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team reviewed the new medical evidence and found him capable of light work stating "the treating physician has given less than sedentary work restrictions based upon the Claimant's physical impairments. However, this medical source opinion is inconsistent with the great weight of objective medical evidence and per 20 CFR 416.927c (2)(3)(4) and 20 CFR 416.927d (3)(4)(5), will not be given controlling weight. The collective objective medical evidence shows that the Claimant is capable of performing at least light work."
- 12. The Claimant's limitations have lasted for 12 months or more.
- 13. The Claimant has significant limitations on physical activities involving sitting, standing, walking, bending, lifting, and stooping.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disability or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in Title XVI of the Social Security Act (20 R 416.901). The Department, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is

known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses.

The law defines disability as the inability to do substantial gainful activity (SGA) by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. (20 CFR 416.905).

Because disability must be determined on the basis of medical evidence, Federal regulations have delineated a set order entailing a step sequential process for evaluating physical or mental impairments. When claimant is found either disabled or not disabled at any point in the process, the claimant is not considered further.

Addressing the following factors:

The first sequential step to be consider is whether the Claimant can perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA) defined in 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, the Claimant is not currently working.

The second step to be determined in considering whether the Claimant is considered disabled is whether the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching carrying or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;

- Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In this case, the Claimant's medical evidence of record supports a finding that Claimant had significant physical and mental limitations upon Claimant's ability to perform basic work activities such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling; Medical evidence has clearly established that the Claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on the Claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings: 85-28,

88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant's medical record does not support a finding that the Claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A.

The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CRF 416.913. A conclusory statement by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind is not sufficient, without supporting medical evidence, to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

The fourth step of the analysis to be considered is whether the Claimant has the ability to perform work previously performed by the Claimant within the past 15 years. The trier of fact

must determine whether the impairment(s) presented prevent the Claimant from doing past relevant work. In the present case, the Claimant's past employment was as a truck driver and forklift driver. Based upon the medical records being considered the Claimant would be able to perform the duties of his past employment. However, this Administrative Law Judge will continue with the step process.

In the final step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine: if the Claimant's impairment(s) prevent the Claimant form doing other work. 20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the Claimant's:

- residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite your limitations? 20 CFR 416.945;
- 2. age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-965; and
- 3. the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing

2009-12982/JWO

is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little; a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

See *Felton v DSS* 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987). Once the Claimant makes it to the final step of the analysis, the Claimant has already established a prima facie case of disability. *Richardson v Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 732 Fd2 962 (6th Cir, 1984). Moving forward the burden of proof rests with the state to prove by substantial evidence that the Claimant has the residual function capacity for substantial gainful activity.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 1939 PA 280, as amended. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.1 et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

A person is considered disabled for purposes of SDA if the person has a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI or RSDI benefits based on disability or blindness or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness (MA-P) automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program. Other specific financial and non-financial eligibility criteria are found in PEM 261.

After careful review of the medical evidence presented and Claimant's statements, and considering the Claimant in the most restrictive circumstances, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant would be able to perform work, at least work on the sedentary level. The Claimant is an individual of younger age. 20 CFR 416.963. Claimant's previous work has been unskilled. Federal Rule 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2 contains specific profiles for determining disability based on residual functional capacity and vocational profiles. Under Table 1, Rule 201.18 the claimant is not disabled for the purposes of MA and/or SDA.

This Administrative Law Judge agrees with the State Hearing and Review Team's finding that the treating physician has given less than sedentary work restrictions based upon the Claimant's physical impairments. However, this medical source opinion is inconsistent with the great weight of objective medical evidence and per 20 CFR 416.927c (2)(3)(4) and 20 CFR 416.927d (3)(4)(5), will not be given controlling weight. The collective objective medical evidence shows that the Claimant is capable of performing at least light work.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Claimant is not disabled.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby UPHELD.

Jorathan W. Owens Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 02/02/10

Date Mailed: 02/02/10

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JWO/dj

cc:

