

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER:

[REDACTED]

Reg No. 200912942  
Issue No. 2009/4031  
Case No. [REDACTED]  
Load No. [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: May 14, 2009  
Kent County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Jana A. Bachman

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 14, 2009.

**ISSUE**

Whether the department has established that claimant is no longer disabled for State Disability Assistance (SDA) and whether claimant has established disability for Medical Assistance (MA).

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds a material fact:

1. During November 2008, claimant was a recipient of SDA. His assistance was due for medical review in December 2008. On November 20, 2008, claimant applied for MA and SDA.
2. December 9, 2008, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied claimant's MA application and SDA medical review. Department Exhibit A.
3. December 17, 2008, the department sent claimant written notice that his assistance was denied.

4. December 29, 2008, the department received claimant's timely request for hearing.
5. March 3, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant's MA application and SDA medical review. Department Exhibit B.
6. May 14, 2009, the telephone hearing was held.
7. Claimant asserts disability based on impairments caused by a back injury, diabetes, leg ulcers, gout, and depression.
8. Claimant testified at hearing. Claimant is 48 years old, 6'6" tall, and weighs 480 pounds. Claimant completed high school and is able to read, write, and perform basic math. Claimant has a driver's license and is able to drive. Claimant cares for his needs at home.
9. Claimant's past relevant employment has been as a steam fitter.
10. October 23, 2008, claimant underwent an independent physical examination and a narrative report was prepared that indicates in pertinent part: skin has no lesions appreciated, nor is there cyanosis or clubbing. Visual acuity in right eye is 20/20 and left 20/50 without glasses. Neck is supple with no thyroid masses or goiter. No bruits are appreciated over the carotid arteries. There is no lymphatoma. Chest diameter is grossly normal. Lungs are clear to auscultation with any adventitious sounds. Heart has normal S1 and S2. No murmurs or gallops are appreciated. The heart does not appear to be enlarged clinically. The PMI is not displaced. Abdomen is soft and non tender without distention. There are no obvious bony deformities. Range of motion of all joints checked is full. Palpation of the lumbar spine and bilateral at SI joints does not elicit pain. Patient has pain in the mid thoracic region. Negative Babinski. Negative Hoffman. Straight leg raising test was negative. There is no para vertebral spasm. Peripheral pulses are easily palpated and symmetrical. There is no edema and no evidence of varicose veins. Grip strength is intact. Hands have full dexterity. Patient can heel and toe walk without difficulty. Patient did not have any lower extremity pain at this time. Motor strength is 5/5. Sensation is intact throughout without a great deal of evidence of loss of sensation in the lower extremity. Reflexes were 1/4 throughout. Patient is alert and oriented x 3. Doctor's conclusion is that claimant has ten year history of back pain and pain secondary to walking, standing, or lifting. Limited mobility due to ongoing back pain, mid thoracic and not lumbar spine. Subjective complaint of shooting pain down the back of his leg is not present today.

Claimant also has a massive body habitus. Otherwise normal exam. Department Exhibit A, pgs 3-7.

11. January 17, 2008, claimant underwent a neurological exam and a letter was prepared by the neurologist. The letter indicates in pertinent part that claimant has cervical spondylosis with early radiculopathy and myelopathy; occipital neuralgia; thoracic spondylosis with intercostal neuralgia; and lumbar spondylosis with early radiculopathy and neuralgenic claudication. Claimant had mild paraspinal spasm in the cervical and upper thoracic spine. He had mild cervical radicular deficits. Deep tendon reflexes were diminished in the upper extremities. Doctor opined that claimant should avoid repetitive bending and twisting of his neck and lower back. He should refrain from prolonged flexed posture of the cervical spine. He should refrain from prolonged sitting, standing, walking, stooping, or driving for more than one half hour at a time. He should not lift more than 20 pounds at a time. Department Exhibit A, pgs 65-68.
12. At last positive decision in September 2008, claimant was having muscle spasm in the cervical and upper thoracic spine. He had some mild radicular deficits in the cervical spine, as well as tenderness in the thoracic spine. See Finding of Fact 11 above. At SDA review and MA application, claimant's radicular symptoms were much improved. Claimant was able to perform orthopedic maneuvers at exam and range of motion was full in all joints tested. Doctor indicates claimant has limited mobility due to ongoing back pain, mid thoracic, and now lumbar spinal pain. Patient also is obese. See Finding of Fact 10 above.
13. At review, claimant has medical improvement or was not disabled at the time of the last positive decision.
14. Claimant is able to perform sedentary work activities.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months....  
20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impairments do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include –

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include –

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting.  
20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands

associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

If an individual fails to cooperate by appearing for a physical or mental examination by a certain date without good cause, there will not be a finding of disability. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4)(ii).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and so is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence of record indicates that claimant has pain in the lumbar, thoracic, and cervical spine. He has full range of motion in these joints and no neurologic deficits. Finding of Fact 10.

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence of record is not sufficient to establish that claimant has severe impairments that have lasted or are expected to last 12 months or more and prevent employment in a job for 12 months or more. Therefore, claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2.

At Step 3, claimant's impairments do not rise to the level necessary to be specifically disabling by law.

At Step 4, claimant's past relevant employment has been as a steam fitter. See discussion at Step 2 above. Finding of Fact 9-10. This job generally requires heavy lifting and repetitive bending, twisting, and stooping. These maneuvers would be difficult for claimant due to back pain and obesity.

At Step 4, the objective medical evidence of record is sufficient to establish that claimant has functional impairments that prevent claimant for a period of 12 months or more from engaging in a full range of duties required by claimant's past relevant employment. Therefore, claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

At Step 5, see discussion at Steps 2 and 4 above. Finding of Fact 10..

At Step 5, the objective medical evidence of record is sufficient to establish that claimant retains the residual functional capacity to perform at least sedentary work activities. Considering claimant's vocational profile (younger individual, high school graduate, and history of semi-skilled work, skills not transferrable) and relying on Vocational Rule 201.21, claimant is not disabled. Accordingly, claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5.

Claimant does not meet the federal statutory requirements to qualify for disability. Therefore, claimant does not qualify for Medical Assistance based on disability. The department properly denied claimant's application.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2001 PA 82. The Family Independence Agency (FIA or agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Agency policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

2004 PA 344, Sec. 604, establishes the State Disability Assistance program. It reads in part:

Sec. 604 (1) The department shall operate a state disability assistance program. Except as provided in subsection (3), persons eligible for this program shall include needy citizens of the United States or aliens exempt from the Supplemental Security Income citizenship requirement who are at least 18 years of age or emancipated minors meeting one or more of the following requirements:

- (a) Recipient of Supplemental Security Income, Social Security or Medical Assistance due to disability or 65 years of age or older.
- (b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be



90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

- (c) A resident of an adult foster care facility, a home for the aged, a county infirmary, or a substance abuse treatment center.
  - (d) A person receiving 30-day post-residential substance abuse treatment.
  - (e) A person diagnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDs).
  - (f) A person receiving special education services through the local intermediate school district.
  - (g) A caretaker of a disabled person as defined in subdivision (a), (b), (e), or (f) above.
- (2) Applicants for and recipients of the State Disability Assistance program shall be considered needy if they:
- (a) Meet the same asset test as is applied to applicants for the Family Independence Program.
  - (b) Have a monthly budgetable income that is less than the payment standard.
- (3) Except for a person described in subsection (1)(c) or (d), a person is not disabled for purposes of this section if his or her drug addiction or alcoholism is a contributing factor material to the determination of disability. 'Material to the determination of disability' means that, if the person stopped using drugs or alcohol, his or her remaining physical or mental limitations would not be disabling. If his or her remaining physical or mental limitations would be disabling, then the drug addiction or alcoholism is not material to the determination of disability and the person may receive State Disability Assistance. Such a person must

actively participate in a substance abuse treatment program, and the assistance must be paid to a third party or through vendor payments. For purposes of this section, substance abuse treatment includes receipt of inpatient or outpatient services or participation in Alcoholics Anonymous or a similar program. 1995 PA 156, Sec. 605.

- (4) A refugee or asylee who loses his or her eligibility for the federal Supplemental Security Income program by virtue of exceeding the maximum time limit for eligibility as delineated in Section 402 of Title IV of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 U.S.C. 1612, and who otherwise meets the eligibility criteria under this section shall be eligible to receive benefits under the State Disability Assistance program.

**Medical improvement.** Medical improvement is any decrease in the medical severity of your impairment(s) which was present at the time of the most recent favorable medical decision that you were disabled or continued to be disabled. A determination that there has been a decrease in medical severity must be based on changes (improvement) in the symptoms, signs and/or laboratory findings associated with your impairment(s).... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(i).

**Medical improvement not related to ability to do work.** Medical improvement is not related to your ability to work if there has been a decrease in the severity of the impairment(s) as defined in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section, present at the time of the most recent favorable medical decision, but no increase in your functional capacity to do basic work activities as defined in paragraph (b)(1)(iv) of this section. If there has been any medical improvement in your impairment(s), but it is not related to your ability to do work and none of the exceptions applies, your benefits will be continued.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(ii).

**Medical improvement that is related to ability to do work.** Medical improvement is related to your ability to work if there has been a decrease in the severity, as defined in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section, of the impairment(s) present at the time of the most recent favorable medical decision **and** an increase in your functional capacity to do basic work activities as discussed in paragraph (b)(1)(iv) of this section. A determination that medical improvement related to your ability to do work has occurred does not, necessarily, mean that your disability will be found to have ended unless it is also shown that you are currently able to engage in substantial gainful activity as discussed in paragraph (b)(1)(v) of this section.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iii).

**Functional capacity to do basic work activities.** Under the law, disability is defined, in part, as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment(s).... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

...Point of comparison. For purposes of determining whether medical improvement has occurred, we will compare the current medical severity of that impairment(s) which was present at the time of the most recent favorable medical decision that you were disabled or continued to be disabled to the medical severity of that impairment(s) at that time.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(vii).

...If medical improvement has occurred, we will compare your current functional capacity to do basic work activities (i.e., your residual functional capacity) based on the previously existing impairments with your prior residual functional capacity in order to determine whether the medical improvement is related to your ability to do work. The most recent favorable medical decision is the latest decision involving a consideration of the medical evidence and the issue of whether you were disabled or continued to be disabled which became final. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(vi).

...Medical improvement. Medical improvement is any decrease in the medical severity of impairment(s)

present at the time of the most recent favorable medical decision that you were disabled or continued to be disabled and is determined by a comparison of prior and current medical evidence which must show that there have been changes (improvement) in the symptoms, signs or laboratory findings associated with that impairment(s). 20 CFR 416.994(b)(2)(i).

At Step 1, claimant's impairments do not meet or equal any Social Security Listing. Finding of Fact 10-12.

At Step 2, at last positive decision, claimant had cervical radiculopathy, decreased sensation, and limited range of motion. He had pain in his back. At review, claimant has full range of motion in all joints. He has no loss of sensation. He does have limited range of motion due to back pain and obesity. See discussion at Step 2 above for MA. Finding of Fact 10-12.

At Step 3, claimant has medical improvement or was not disabled at time of last positive decision. See discussion at Step 2 above and Step 2 above for MA. Finding of Fact 10-14.

At Step 4, claimant's medical improvement/lack of disability is related to the ability to perform work. See Step 3 above. Finding of Fact 10-14.

At Step 5, the objective medical evidence of record indicates that claimant has pain with movement in the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine. He also is obese. Claimant has no neurologic deficits. Finding of Fact 10-14. Therefore, the objective medical evidence of record is sufficient to establish that claimant does not have current severe impairments that prevent all work for 12 months or more. See discussion at Step 2 above for MA.

At Step 6, claimant's past relevant employment has been as a steam fitter. Finding of Fact 9. The medical evidence of record appears to establish claimant would have difficulty performing the duties of his job. Therefore, the medical evidence of record is sufficient to establish that claimant has impairments that are so severe as to prevent claimant from performing the tasks required by his past relevant employment. See discussion at Step 4 above for MA. Finding of Fact 10-14.

At Step 7, the objective medical evidence of record establishes that claimant has back pain and is obese. Finding of Fact 10-14. Accordingly, the objective medical evidence of record is sufficient to establish that claimant is able to perform at least sedentary work activities. See discussion at Step 5 above for MA. Finding of Fact 10-14.

After careful examination of the record and for reasons discussed above, the Administrative Law Judge decides that claimant does not have severe impairments that prevent work for 90 days or more at medical review. Therefore, claimant no longer qualifies for SDA based on disability.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that claimant has not established that claimant is disabled for Medical Assistance. The department has established that claimant is no longer disabled at review for State Disability Assistance.

Accordingly, the department's action is, hereby, UPHELD.

/s/

Jana A. Bachman  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 29, 2010

Date Mailed: November 30, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JAB/ db

cc:



200912942/jab

