

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED]  
Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-12582  
Issue No: 3002  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Load No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date:  
March 11, 2009  
Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Tyra L. Wright

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on March 16, 2009. The Claimant personally appeared and testified. A program manager represented the Department of Human Services (Department).

ISSUE

Did the Department properly compute the Claimant's Food Assistance (FAP) benefit allotment when he applied for recertification?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant had been a FAP recipient for a group size of four.
- (2) Three members of the FAP group were employed.

- (3) The Department received his application for recertification on or about December 11, 2008. (Exhibit 1).
- (4) The Department completed the Claimant's budget for January 2009 on December 26, 2008. (Exhibit 8).
- (5) Claimant's group adjusted gross income was [REDACTED]. The group's net income was [REDACTED]. (Exhibit 8).
- (6) Claimant's budget resulted in a monthly allotment of zero. (Exhibit 8).
- (7) Claimant did not disagree with any information listed on the budget.
- (8) An Eligibility Notice was mailed to claimant on December 16, 2008.
- (9) Claimant disagreed with the monthly allotment of zero.
- (10) The Department received Claimant's hearing request on January 30, 2009. (Exhibit 10).

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Federal law requires that FAP recipients meet income eligibility standards to receive benefits:

**Income and deductions.**

(a) *Income eligibility standards.* Participation in the Program shall be limited to those households whose incomes are determined to be a substantial limiting factor in permitting them to obtain a more nutritious diet. Households which contain an elderly or disabled member shall meet the net income eligibility standards for the Food Stamp Program. Households which do not contain an elderly or disabled member shall meet both the net income eligibility standards and the gross income eligibility standards for the Food Stamp Program. Households which are categorically eligible as defined in §273.2(j)(2) or 273.2(j)(4) do not have to meet either the gross or net income eligibility standards. The net and gross income eligibility standards shall be based on the Federal income poverty levels established as provided in section 673(2) of the Community Services Block Grant Act (42 U.S.C. 9902(2)). (7 CFR 273.9)

Under PEM 500, all income that is “NOT specifically excluded” must be considered in calculating FAP benefits. (PEM 500, pg.1). The Department correctly included the employment income of the three group members who were employed. Claimant did not allege that there was any incorrect information listed on the budget for January 2009. The undersigned has reviewed the budget for January 2009 and finds it to be correct. Consequently, it is found that Department did not err in computing Claimant’s FAP budget.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly computed Claimant’s FAP benefit allotment.

Accordingly, the Department’s action is AFFIRMED.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/  
Tyra L. Wright  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

2009-12582/TW

Date Signed: 03/20/09

Date Mailed: 03/23/09 dj

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

TW/dj

cc:

[REDACTED]