STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2009-11897

Issue No.: 2006

Case No.: Load No.:

Hearing Date:

September 21, 2009

Wayne County DHS (17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge upon pursuant to MCL 400. 9; MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice a telephone hearing was held on September 21, 2009 claimant was present and testified,

FIM appeare

FIM appeared for the department and testified.

ISSUE

Was the department correct in closing claimant's MA benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds a material fact:

- (1) Claimant was a MA recipient.
- (2) Pursuant to a scheduled review a verification checklist was sent to claimant on November 17, 2008 with a November 30, 2008 due date.
- (3) Claimant failed to provide the requested verifications and did not request an extension.
- (4) On December 17, 2008 the case was put into negative action.

(5) Claimant requested hearing on December 23, 2008 contesting the closure of his MA benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility to provide verification. PAM 130, p. 1. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. <u>Id.</u> The Department can use documents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. <u>Id.</u> The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide should be extended at least once. PAM 130, p.4; PEM 702. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then policy directs that a negative action be issued. PAM 130, p. 4.

In the present case, Claimant testified that he did not receive the yearly review packet until a few days prior to the due date because of issues with the mail at his building. Claimant admitted that he did not request an extension. The Department was correct in closing claimant's case after verifications were not received. Claimant failed to cooperate with Department policy and procedures and did not have good cause for failing to do so.

DECISION AND ORDER

Therefore it is ORDERED that the Department decision is AFFIRMED.

Aaron McClintic

Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Am mileti

Date Signed: <u>09/29/09</u>

Date Mailed: <u>09/29/09</u>

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannon be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

AM/jlg

cc: