STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2009-10877

Issue No.: 2009/4031

Case No.:

Load No.:

Hearing Date: April 23, 2009

Genesee County DHS (2)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on April 23, 2009. The Claimant appeared, along with ________, and testified. The Claimant was represented by ________ of _______. appeared on behalf of the Department. At the Claimant's request, the record was extended to allow for the submission of additional medical records.

Additional records were received, reviewed, and submitted to the State Hearing Review Team ("SHRT") for consideration. On April 29, 2009, the SHRT found the Claimant not disabled and capable of performing light work. This matter is now before the undersigned for a final decision.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of Medical Assistance ("MA-P") program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant submitted a public assistance application seeking MA-P benefits on December 18, 2007. (Exhibit 1)
- 2. On April 4, 2008 and June 19, 2008, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") deferred the disability determination in order for the Department to schedule physical and psychological evaluations.
- 3. On September 15, 2008, the MRT determined the Claimant was not disabled finding the Claimant capable of performing other work for MA-P purposes. (Exhibit 2)
- 4. On September 17, 2008, the Department sent the Claimant an eligiblity notice informing the Claimant that his MA-P benefits were denied. (Exhibit 3)
- 5. On December 12, 2008, the Department received the Claimant's Request for Hearing protesting the determination that he was not disabled.
- 6. On February 4, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team ("SHRT") found the Claimant not disabled based upon insufficient evidence. (Exhibit 4)
- 7. The Claimant's alleged physical disabling impairments are due to chronic shoulder and elbow pain, carpal tunnel syndrome affecting both wrists, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease ("COPD"), and reduced colon capacity.
- 8. The Claimant's alleged mental disabling impairments are due to Bipolar and Schizoaffective disorders.
- 9. At the time of hearing, the Claimant was 50 years old with a date; was 5' 7" and weighed 119 pounds.

- 10. The Claimant completed through the 11th grade and subsequently obtained his GED under a special education program.
- 11. The Claimant's employment history as a general laborer.
- 12. The Claimant's impairment(s) have lasted, or expected to last, continuously for a period of 12-months or longer.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services ("DHS"), formally known as the Family Independence Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual ("PAM"), the Program Eligibility Manual ("PEM"), and the Program Reference Manual ("PRM").

Disability is defined as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905(a) The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-relate activities or ability to reason and make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is alleged. 20 CRF 413.913 An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of themselves, sufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.929(a) Similarly, conclusory statements by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind, absent supporting

medical evidence, is insufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927 Unless an impairment(s) is expected to result in death, the impairment(s) must have lasted, or must be expected to last, for a continuous period of at least twelve months. 20 CFR 416.909

When determining disability, the federal regulations require several factors to be considered including: (1) the location/duration/frequency/intensity of an applicant's pain; (2) the type/dosage/effectiveness/side effects of any medication the applicants takes to relieve pain; (3) any treatment other than pain medication that the applicant has received to relieve pain; and (4) the effect of the applicant's pain on his or her ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(3) The applicant's pain must be assessed to determine the extent of his or her functional limitation(s) in light of the objective medical evidence presented. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(2)

In order to determine whether or not an individual is disabled, federal regulations require a five-step sequential evaluation process be utilized. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(1) The five-step analysis requires the trier of fact to consider an individual's current work activity; the severity of the impairment(s) both in duration and whether it meets or equals a listed impairment in Appendix 1; residual functional capacity to determine whether an individual can perform past relevant work; and residual functional capacity along with vocational factors (i.e. age, education, and work experience) to determine if an individual can adjust to other work. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945

If an individual is found disabled, or not disabled, at any step, a determination or decision is made with no need evaluate subsequent steps. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4) If a determination cannot be made that an individual is disabled, or not disabled, at a particular step, the next step is required. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4) If an impairment does not meet or equal a listed impairment, an

individual's residual functional capacity is assessed before moving from step three to step four. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945 Residual functional capacity is the most an individual can do despite the limitations based on all relevant evidence. 20 CFR 945(a)(1) An individual's residual functional capacity assessment is evaluated at both steps four and five. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4) In determining disability, an individual's functional capacity to perform basic work activities is evaluated and if found that the individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitation, disability will not be found. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv)

In general, the individual has the responsibility to prove disability. 20 CFR 416.912(a) An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit an individual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a) An individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, age, education, and work experience, if the individual is working and the work is a substantial, gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4)(i) The individual has the responsibility to provide evidence of prior work experience; efforts to work; and any other factor showing how the impairment affects the ability to work. 20 CFR 416.912(c)(3)(5)(6)

In addition to the above, when evaluating mental impairments, a special technique is utilized. 20 CFR 416.920a(a) First, an individual's pertinent symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings are evaluated to determine whether a medically determinable mental impairment exists. 20 CFR 416.920a(b)(1) When a medically determinable mental impairment is established, the symptoms, signs and laboratory findings that substantiate the impairment are documented to include the individual's significant history, laboratory findings, and functional limitations. 20 CFR 416.920a(e)(2) Functional limitation(s) is assessed based upon the extent to which the impairment(s) interferes with an individual's ability to function independently, appropriately,

effectively, and on a sustained basis. *Id.*; 20 CFR 416.920a(c)(2) Chronic mental disorders, structured settings, medication, and other treatment and the effect on the overall degree of functionality is considered. 20 CFR 416.920a(c)(1) In addition, four broad functional areas (activities of daily living; social functioning; concentration, persistence or pace; and episodes of decompensation) are considered when determining an individual's degree of functional limitation. 20 CFR 416.920a(c)(3) The degree of limitation for the first three functional areas is rated by a five point scale: none, mild, moderate, marked, and extreme. 20 CFR 416.920a(c)(4) A four point scale (none, one or two, three, four or more) is used to rate the degree of limitation in the fourth functional area. *Id.* The last point on each scale represents a degree of limitation that is incompatible with the ability to do any gainful activity. *Id.*

After the degree of functional limitation is determined, the severity of the mental impairment is determined. 20 CFR 416.920a(d) If severe, a determination of whether the impairment meets or is the equivalent of a listed mental disorder. 20 CFR 416.920a(d)(2) If the severe mental impairment does not meet (or equal) a listed impairment, an individual's residual functional capacity is assessed. 20 CFR 416.920a(d)(3)

As outlined above, the first step looks at the individual's current work activity. An individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, age, education, and work experience, if the individual is working and the work is a substantial, gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4)(i) In the record presented, the Claimant is not involved in substantial gainful activity and last worked in 2004. The Claimant is not ineligible for disability under Step 1.

The severity of the Claimant's alleged impairment(s) is considered under Step 2. The Claimant bears the burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to substantiate the alleged disabling impairments. In order to be considered disabled for MA purposes, the

impairment must be severe. 20 CFR 916.920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(b) An impairment, or combination of impairments, is severe if it significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to do basic work activities regardless of age, education and work experience. 20 CFR 916.920(a)(4)(ii); 20 CFR 916.920(c) Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. 20 CFR 916.921(b) Examples include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting.

Id. The second step allows for dismissal of a disability claim obviously lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v Bowen*, 880 F2d 860, 862 (CA 6, 1988). The severity requirement may still be employed as an administrative convenience to screen out claims that are totally groundless solely from a medical standpoint. *Id.* at 863 *citing Farris v Sec of Health and Human Services*, 773 F2d 85, 90 n.1 (CA 6, 1985) An impairment qualifies as severe only if, regardless of a claimant's age, education, or work experience, the impairment would not affect the claimant's ability to work. *Salmi v Sec of Health and Human Services*, 774 F2d 685, 692 (CA 6, 1985)

In the present case, the Claimant asserts physical and mental disabling impairments due chronic shoulder, elbow, and wrist pain, COPD, bipolar disorder, and schizoaffective disorder.

In support of his claim, some older records () were submitted which

document the Claimant's treatment for osteoarthritis, carpal tunnel syndrome, and psychological impairments.

On the Claimant attended a neurological follow-up appointment after experiencing pain/numbness in his hands associated with elbow pain. Trigger point injection over the median nerve was performed without complication.

On _____, the Claimant's neuropathy was evaluated. The Claimant was diagnosed with osteoarthritis and cellulitis and prescribed OxyContin, Vicodin ES, Lyrica, and Keflex.

On the Claimant attended a neurological follow-up appointment after experiencing pain/numbness in his hands associated with nocturnal symptoms and positive flick sign on the left. Trigger point injection over the median nerves bilaterally was performed without complication.

On the Claimant's arthritic pains in his elbow and low back was evaluated. The physical examination documented tenderness of the elbows bilaterally and crepitation in the knee joints and low back pain with flexion or extension. Ultimately, the Claimant was diagnosed with osteoarthritis and his Vicodin, OxyContin, and Tramadol prescriptions were renewed.

On the Claimant was admitted to a hospital after complaints of abdominal pain. A CT of the abdomen and pelvis documented possible small bowel obstruction.

The Claimant was treated and discharged on the Claimant was treated.

On _____, a Medical Examination Report was completed on behalf of the Claimant which restricted the Claimant to occasionally lifting 10 pounds with no pushing or pulling with either hand/arm.

On the Claimant participated in Department ordered psychological testing. The Claimant was diagnosed with bipolar disorder with probable paranoid schizophrenia, with a Global Assessment Functioning ("GAF") of 46. Assistance in managing any benefits assigned was recommended as well as outpatient psychiatric treatment and psychotropic medication.

On the Claimant attended a Department ordered evaluation regarding his small bowel obstruction, arthritis, and shortness of breath. An EMG was reviewed which revealed moderately severe carpal tunnel syndrome on the left side. The internist's examination found the Claimant with abdominal pain secondary to adhesions, COPD moderately severe secondary to cigarette smoke, major depression, probable bipolar disorder with some associated psychosis, carpal tunnel syndrome left sided with a reduced grip strength, and probable rotator cuff pathology of the left shoulder.

On the Claimant attended a neurological follow-up appointment after experiencing tingling/numbness in his hands. Trigger point injection over the median nerves was performed without complication.

On the Claimant attended a Department ordered evaluation regarding the Claimant's COPD. The results of the pulmonary function test were normal. The Claimant's grip strength was 3/5. Ultimately, the Claimant was found to have mild to moderate COPD secondary to cigarette smoking (emphysema), left carpal tunnel syndrome, abdominal pain secondary to previous surgical adhesions, painful left shoulder, and a history of bipolar disorder and major depression.

On _____, the Claimant was examined by an internist. The physical examination documented tender thoracolumbosacral spine with slightly diminished movements, left shoulder and elbow tenderness with pain associated with passive movements, bilateral carpal

tunnel syndrome with grip in both hands reduced, and paresthesia of the left middle, index, and thumb. The Claimant's range of motion of his lumbar spine, left shoulder and elbow was slightly reduced. In addition, the Claimant's range of motion of both wrists was reduced. Ultimately, the Claimant was diagnosed with bipolar disorder, paranoid schizophrenia with a history of left elbow pain, constant pain in left shoulder and elbow, and carpal tunnel syndrome.

On the Claimant attended a neurological follow-up appointment after experiencing pain/numbness in his hands associated with nocturnal symptoms. Trigger point injection over the median nerves was performed without complication. A repeat EMG and possible carpal tunnel surgery was discussed.

An undated Medical Examination Report was completed on behalf of the Claimant. The current diagnosis was listed as osteoarthritis of the left elbow with severe limitations. The Claimant's condition was deteriorating and he was restricted to occasionally lifting/carrying 10 pounds; standing and/or walking at least 2 hours in an 8-hour workday without the need for an assistive device. The Claimant was able to perform repetitive actions with his right upper extremity and both lower extremities but was only able to perform simple grasping with his left hand/arm. No mental limitations were noted.

On the Claimant attended a neurological follow-up appointment due to continued bilateral hand numbness/tingling with elbow pain on the left. Trigger point injection over the median nerves bilaterally was performed without complication. An EMG revealed persistent bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome.

As previously noted, the Claimant bears the burden to present sufficient objective medical evidence to substantiate the alleged disabling impairment(s). As summarized above, the Claimant has presented some medical evidence establishing that he does have some physical and

mental limitations on his ability to perform basic work activities. The medical evidence has established that the Claimant has an impairment, or combination thereof, that has more than a *de minimis* effect on the Claimant's basic work activities. Further, the impairments have lasted, or expected to last, continuously for twelve months; therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified from receipt of MA-P benefits under Step 2.

In the third step of the sequential analysis of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment, or combination of impairments, is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. The Claimant has alleged disabling impairments due to chronic shoulder, elbow, and wrist pain, COPD, bipolar and schizoaffective disorders. Appendix I, Listing of Impairments, discusses the analysis and criteria necessary to support a finding of a listed impairment.

Listing 1.00 defines musculoskeletal system impairments. Disorders of the musculoskeletal system may result from hereditary, congenital, or acquired pathologic processes.

1.00A Impairments may result from infectious, inflammatory, or degenerative processes, traumatic or developmental events, or neoplastic, vascular, or toxic/metabolic diseases. 1.00A Regardless of the cause(s) of a musculoskeletal impairment, functional loss for purposes of these listings is defined as the inability to ambulate effectively on a sustained basis for any reason, including pain associated with the underlying musculoskeletal impairment, or the inability to perform fine and gross movements effectively on a sustained basis for any reason, including pain associated with the underlying musculoskeletal impairment. Inability to ambulate effectively means an extreme limitation of the ability to walk; i.e., an impairment(s) that interferes very seriously with the individual's ability to independently initiate, sustain, or complete activities.

1.00B2b(1) Ineffective ambulation is defined generally as having insufficient lower extremity

2009-10877/CMM

function to permit independent ambulation without the use of a hand-held assistive device(s) that limits the functioning of both upper extremities. (Listing 1.05C is an exception to this general definition because the individual has the use of only one upper extremity due to amputation of a hand.) *Id.* To ambulate effectively, individuals must be capable of sustaining a reasonable walking pace over a sufficient distance to be able to carry out activities of daily living. 1.00B2b(2) They must have the ability to travel without companion assistance to and from a place of employment or school. . . . *Id.*

Major joints refer to the major peripheral joints. 1.00F The ankle and foot are considered separately in evaluating weight bearing. *Id.* When an individual's impairment involves a lower extremity uses a hand-held assistive device, such as a cane, crutch or walker, the medical basis for use of the device should be documented. 1.00J4 The requirement to use a hand-held assistive device may also impact an individual's functional capacity by virtue of the fact that one or both upper extremities are not available for such activities as lifting, carrying, pushing, and pulling. *Id.*

Categories of Musculoskeletal include:

- 1.02 Major dysfunction of a joint(s) due to any cause: Characterized by gross anatomical deformity (e.g. subluxation, contracture, bony or fibrous ankylosis, instability) and chronic joint pain and stiffness with signs of limitation of motion or other abnormal motion of the affected joint(s), and findings on appropriate medically acceptable imaging of joint space narrowing, bony destruction, or ankylosis of the affected joint(s). With:
 - A. Involvement of one major peripheral weight-bearing joint (i.e., hip, knee, or ankle), resulting in inability to ambulate effectively as defined in 1.00B2b; or
 - B. Involvement of one major peripheral joint in each upper extremity (i.e., shoulder, elbow, wrist, hand), resulting in inability to perform fine and gross movements effectively a defined in 1.00B2c

* * *

1.04

Disorders of the spine (e.g., herniated nucleus pulposus, spinal arachnoiditis, spinal stenosis, osteoarthritis, degenerative disc disease, facet arthritis, vertebral fracture), resulting in compromise of a nerve root (including the cauda equine) or spinal cord. With:

- A. Evidence of nerve root compression characterized by neuro-anatomic distribution of pain, limitation of motion of the spine, motor loss (atrophy with associated muscle weakness or muscle weakness) accompanied by sensory or reflex loss and, if there is involvement of the lower back, positive straightleg raising test (sitting and supine); or
- B. Spinal arachnoiditis, confirmed by an operative note or pathology report of tissue biopsy, or by appropriate medically acceptable imaging, manifested by severe burning or painful dysesthesia, resulting in the need for changes in position or posture more than once every 2 hours; or
- C. Lumbar spinal stenosis resulting in pseudoclaudication, established by findings on appropriate medically acceptable imaging, manifested by chronic nonradicular pain and weakness, and resulting in inability to ambulate effectively, as defined in 1.00B2b. (see above definition)

As stated, the Claimant asserts impairments due, in part, to chronic shoulder, elbow, and wrist pain. The medical evidence presented establishes that the Claimant has carpal tunnel syndrome with continued pain, numbness, and tingling despite trigger point injections. The objective findings also document that the Claimant suffers from osteoarthritis, tender thoracolumbosacral spine with reduced range of motion, and crepitation in the knee joints. Ultimately, the records presented are insufficient to meet the intent and severity requirement of a listed impairment within Listing 1.00 as detailed above. Accordingly, the Claimant cannot be found disabled, or not disabled, under this listing.

The Claimant asserts physically disabling impairments due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease ("COPD"). Listing 3.00 defines respiratory system impairments. Respiratory

disorders, along with any associated impairment(s), must be established by medical evidence sufficient enough in detail to evaluate the severity of the impairment. 3.00A Evidence must be provided in sufficient detail to permit an independent reviewer to evaluate the severity of the impairment. *Id.* A major criteria for determining the level of respiratory impairments that are episodic in nature, is the frequency and intensity of episodes that occur despite prescribed treatment. 3.00C

Listing 3.02A defines chronic obstructive pulmonary disease due to any cause, with the FEV₁ equal to or less than the values specified in table I corresponding to the person's height without shoes, which in this case based on the Claimant's height of 5'7" is 1.35.

In this case the objective medical findings establish that the Claimant has COPD, moderately severe, secondary to cigarette smoke however the pulmonary function test results were normal. Further, the episodic nature of the impairment despite treatment was not supported. Ultimately, there was insufficient medical documentation to support a finding of a listed impairment within Listing 3.00 thus the Claimant cannot be found disabled under this listing.

The Claimant asserts mental disabling impairments due to depressive and schizoaffective disorder.

Listing 12.00 encompasses adult mental disorders. The evaluation of disability on the basis of mental disorders requires documentation of a medically determinable impairment(s) and consideration of the degree in which the impairment limits the individual's ability to work, and whether these limitations have lasted or are expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. 12.00A The existence of a medically determinable impairment(s) of the required duration must be established through medical evidence consisting of symptoms, signs, and

2009-10877/CMM

laboratory findings, to include psychological test findings. 12.00B The evaluation of disability on the basis of a mental disorder requires sufficient evidence to (1) establish the presence of a medically determinable mental impairment(s), (2) assess the degree of functional limitation the impairment(s) imposes, and (3) project the probable duration of the impairment(s). 12.00D The evaluation of disability on the basis of mental disorders requires documentation of a medically determinable impairment(s) and consideration of the degree in which the impairment limits the individual's ability to work consideration, and whether these limitations have lasted or are expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. 12.00A

Schizophrenic, paranoid, and other psychotic disorders are characterized by the onset of psychotic features with deterioration from a previous level of functioning and are defined in Listing 12.03 The required level of severity for these disorders is met when the requirements in both A and B are satisfied, or when the requirements of C are satisfied.

- A. Medically documented persistence, either continuous or intermittent, of one or more of the following:
 - 1. Delusions or hallucinations; or
 - 2. Catatonic or other grossly disorganized behavior; or;
 - 3. Incoherence, loosening of associations, illogical thinking, or poverty of content of speech if associated with one of the following:
 - a. Blunt Affect; or
 - b. Flat Affect; or
 - c. Inappropriate affect;

or

4. Emotional withdrawal and/or isolation:

AND

B. Resulting in a least two of the following:

- 1. Marked restriction of activities of dialing living; or
- 2. Marked difficulties in maintaining social functioning; or
- 3. Marked difficulties in maintaining concentration, persistence, or pace; or
- 4. Repeated episodes of decompensation, each of extended durations

OR

- C. Medically documented history of a chronic schizophrenic, paranoid, or other psychotic disorder of at least 2 years' duration that has caused more than a minimal limitation of ability to do basic work activities, with symptoms or signs currently attenuated by medication or psychosocial support, and one of the following:
 - 1. Repeated episodes of decompensation, each of extended duration; or
 - 2. A residual disease process that has resulted in such marginal adjustment that even a minimal increase in mental demands or changed in the environment would be predicted to cause the individual to decompensate; or
 - 3. Current history of 1 or more years' inability to function outside a highly supportive living arrangement, with an indication of continued need for such an arrangement.

Listing 12.04 defines affective disorders as being characterized by a disturbance of mood, accompanied by a full or partial manic or depressive syndrome. Generally, affective disorders involve either depression or elation. The required level of severity for these disorders is met when the requirements of both A and B are satisfied, or when the requirements in C are satisfied.

- A. Medically documented persistence, either continuous or intermittent, of one of the following:
 - 1. Depressive syndrome characterized by at least four of the following:
 - a. Anhedonia or pervasive loss of interest in almost all activities; or
 - b. Appetite disturbance with change in weight; or
 - c. Sleep disturbance; or

- d. Psychomotor agitation or retardation; or
- e. Decreased energy; or
- f. Feelings of guilt or worthlessness; or
- g. Difficulty concentrating or thinking; or
- h. Thoughts of suicide; or
- i. Hallucinations, delusions, or paranoid thinking; or
- 2. Manic syndrome characterized by at least three of the following:
 - a. Hyperactivity; or
 - b. Pressure of speech; or
 - c. Flight of ideas; or
 - d. Inflated self-esteem; or
 - e. Decreased need for sleep; or
 - f. Easy distractability; or
 - g. Involvement in activities that have a high probability of painful consequences which are not recognized; or
 - h. Hallucinations, delusions, or paranoid thinking; or
- 3. Bipolar syndrome with a history of episodic periods manifested by the full symptomatic picture of both manic and depressive syndromes (and currently characterized by either or both syndromes)'

AND

- B. Resulting in at least two of the following:
 - 1. Marked restriction on activities of daily living; or
 - 2. Marked difficulties in maintaining social functioning; or
 - 3. Marked difficulties in maintaining concentration, persistence, or pace; or
 - 4. Repeated episodes of decompensation, each of extended duration;

OR

C. Medically documented history of chronic affective disorder of at least 2 years' duration that has caused more than a minimal limitation of ability to do basic work activities, with symptoms or signs currently attenuated by medication or psychosocial support, and one of the following:

- 1. Repeated episodes of decompensation, each of extended duration; or
- 2. A residual disease process that has resulted in such marginal adjustment that even minimal increase in mental demands or change in the environment would be predicted to cause the individual to decompensate; or
- 3. Current history of 1 or more years' inability to function outside a highly supportive living arrangement, with an indication of continued need for such an arrangement.

In the record presented, the objective records (May and August 2008) establish that the Claimant suffers from bipolar disorder with probable paranoid schizophrenia, psychosis, and major depression. The GAF was 46 which represents serious symptoms or any serious impairment in social, occupational, or school functioning. Ultimately, the Claimant's mental impairments may meet a listing within 12.00 as detailed above however the record was insufficient to meet the intent and/or severity requirement. Accordingly, the Claimant cannot be found disabled under this listing therefore the Claimant's eligibility under Step 4 is considered. 20 CFR 416.905(a)

The fourth step in analyzing a disability claim requires an assessment of the Claimant's residual functional capacity ("RFC") and past relevant employment. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4)(iv) An individual is not disabled if he/she can perform past relevant work. *Id.*; 20 CFR 416.960(b)(3) Past relevant work is work that has been performed within the past 15 years that was a substantial gainful activity and that lasted long enough for the individual to learn the position. 20 CFR 416.960(b)(1) Vocational factors of age, education, and work experience, and whether the past relevant employment exists in significant numbers in the national economy is not considered. 20 CFR 416.960(b)(3) RFC is assessed based on impairment(s), and any related

symptoms, such as pain, which may cause physical and mental limitations that affect what can be done in a work setting. RFC is the most that can be done, despite the limitations.

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, jobs are classified as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. 20 CFR 416.967 Sedentary work involves lifting of no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. 20 CFR 416.967(a) Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Id. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying objects weighing up to 10 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(b) Even though weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. *Id.* To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, an individual must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. Id. An individual capable of light work is also capable of sedentary work, unless there are additionally limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. Id. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(c) An individual capable of performing medium work is also capable of light and sedentary work. Id. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(d) An individual capable of heavy work is also capable of medium, light, and sedentary work. Id. Finally, very heavy work involves lifting objects weighing more than 100 pounds at a time with

frequent lifting or carrying objects weighing 50 pounds or more. 20 CFR 416.967(e) An individual capable of very heavy work is able to perform work under all categories. *Id*.

Limitations or restrictions which affect the ability to meet the demands of jobs other than strength demands (exertional requirements, i.e. sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, or pulling) are considered nonexertional. 20 CFR 416.969a(a) In considering whether an individual can perform past relevant work, a comparison of the individual's residual functional capacity with the demands of past relevant work. Id. If an individual can no longer do past relevant work the same residual functional capacity assessment along with an individual's age, education, and work experience is considered to determine whether an individual can adjust to other work which exists in the national economy. Id. Examples of nonexertional limitations or restrictions include difficulty function due to nervousness, anxiousness, or depression; difficulty maintaining attention or concentration; difficulty understanding or remembering detailed instructions; difficulty in seeing or hearing; difficulty tolerating some physical feature(s) of certain work settings (i.e. can't tolerate dust or fumes); or difficulty performing the manipulative or postural functions of some work such as reaching, handling, stooping, climbing, crawling, or crouching. 20 CFR 416.969a(c)(1)(i) - (vi) impairment(s) and related symptoms, such as pain, only affect the ability to perform the nonexertional aspects of work-related activities, the rules in Appendix 2 do not direct factual conclusions of disabled or not disabled. 20 CFR 416.969a(c)(2) The determination of whether disability exists is based upon the principles in the appropriate sections of the regulations, giving consideration to the rules for specific case situations in Appendix 2. *Id.*

Over the past 15 years, the Claimant worked as a general labor for a mechanic and general contractor (construction). Given these facts, the Claimant's past work history is classified as unskilled, medium/heavy work.

The Claimant testified that he can lift/carry approximately 10 pounds; sit for a ½ hour; walk unassisted for ½ block; experiences pain/difficulty manipulating object with his hand/arms; and experiences pain when bending. The medical record's restrictions limit the Claimant to occasionally lift/carry 10 pounds with no pushing/pulling with either upper extremity. The Claimant was, however, found able to stand and/or walk at least 2 hours in an 8 hour workday. If the impairment or combination of impairments does not limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. 20 CFR 416.920 In consideration of the Claimant's testimony, medical records, and current limitations, it is found that the Claimant is not able to return to past relevant work therefore the fifth-step in the sequential evaluation process is required.

In Step 5, an assessment of the individual's residual functional capacity and age, education, and work experience is considered to determine whether an adjustment to other work can be made. 20 CFR 416.920(4)(v) At the time of hearing, the Claimant was 50 years old thus considered to be closely approaching advanced age for MA-P purposes. The Claimant has a limited education and a history of unskilled work. Disability is found disabled if an individual is unable to adjust to other work. *Id.* At this point in the analysis, the burden shifts from the Claimant to the Department to present proof that the Claimant has the residual capacity to substantial gainful employment. 20 CFR 416.960(2); *Richardson v Sec of Health and Human Services*, 735 F2d 962, 964 (CA 6, 1984). While a vocational expert is not required, a finding supported by substantial evidence that the individual has the vocational qualifications to perform

specific jobs is needed to meet the burden. *O'Banner v Sec of Health and Human Services*, 587 F2d 321, 323 (CA 6, 1978). Medical-Vocational guidelines found at 20 CFR Subpart P, Appendix II, may be used to satisfy the burden of proving that the individual can perform specific jobs in the national economy. *Heckler v Campbell*, 461 US 458, 467 (1983); *Kirk v Secretary*, 667 F2d 524, 529 (CA 6, 1981) *cert den* 461 US 957 (1983). Where an individual has an impairment or combination of impairments that results in both strength limitations and non-exertional limitations, the rules in Subpart P are considered in determining whether a finding of disabled may be possible based on the strength limitations alone, and if not, the rule(s) reflecting the individual's maximum residual strength capabilities, age, education, and work experience, provide the framework for consideration of how much an individual's work capability is further diminished in terms of any type of jobs that would contradict the nonexertional limitations. Full consideration must be given to all relevant facts of a case in accordance with the definitions of each factor to provide adjudicative weight for each factor.

In the record presented, the Claimant's residual functional capacity for work activities on a regular and continuing basis does include the ability to meet at least the physical and mental demands required to perform sedentary work. As noted above, sedentary work involves sitting and lifting no more than 10 pounds at time with occasional walking and standing to carry out the job duties. After review of the entire record finding no contradiction in the Claimant's nonexertional limitations, and using the Medical-Vocational Guidelines [20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix II] as a guide, specifically Rule 201.09, it is found that the Claimant is disabled for purposes of the MA-P program.

The State Disability Assistance ("SDA") program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. DHS administers the SDA program

2009-10877/CMM

purusant to MCL 400.10 et seq. and Michigan Administrative Code ("MAC R") 400.3151 -

400.3180. Department policies are found in PAM, PEM, and PRM. A person is considered

disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a physical or mental impariment which meets

federal SSI disability standards for at least ninety days. PEM 261, p. 1 Receipt of SSI or RSDI

benefits based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or

blindness (MA-P) automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA

program. PEM 261, pp 1-2

In this case, since the Claimant was found disabled for the purposes of the MA program

therefore, the Claimant is found disabled for SDA purposes.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the findings of fact and conclusions of law,

finds the Claimant disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance program and the State

Disability Assistance program.

It is ORDERED:

1. The Department's determination is REVERSED.

2. The Department shall initiate review of the December 18, 2007

application to determine if all other non-medical criteria are met and inform the Claimant of the determination.

3. The Department shall supplement for any lost benefits the

Claimant was entitled to receive if otherwise eligible and qualified

in accordance with department policy.

4. The Department shall review the Claimant's continued eligibility

in January of 2011 in accordance with department policy.

Collin M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka

Administrative Law Judge For Ishmael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

2009-10877/CMM

Date Signed: <u>12/17/09</u>

Date Mailed: <u>12/17/09</u>

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to the Circuit within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the recip date of the rehearing decision.

CMM/jlg

