STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No:2009-10465Issue No:2009; 4031Case No:Image: Comparison of the second second

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 14, 2009.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was physical disability medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds the below material/undisputed facts regarding the claimant:

 Medicaid retroactive to June 1, 2008/SDA application on September 2, 2008 was denied on December 2, 2008 per PEM 260/261.

(2) Vocational factors: age 49, 8th grade education, and past unskilled work as a hi-lo driver in an automotive plant; skilled computer set-up man for machinery; unskilled press operator; unskilled plastic injection molding worker; unskilled construction worker. (3) Disabling symptoms/complaints: able to perform basic physical work activities

except for chronic nausea and diarrhea.

- (4) Substantial gainful work: Last worked in December 2008.
- (5) Medical reports of examinations:

[Physical Impairment Only]

- (a) May 20, 2008 states claimant's condition is stable; that his physical limitations are not expected to last more than 90 days, that out of an 8-hour workday he can stand and/or walk less than 2 hours and sit less than 6 hours; that he needs no assistive device for ambulation; that he can use his extremities on a repetitive basis; and that he can lift/carry occasionally less than 10 pounds (Medical Packet, page 94).
- (b) October 13, 2008 states claimant can sit about 1 hours, stand about 30 minutes, walk about ½ a mile, and can lift about 10 pounds without pain; that grip strength is decreased bilaterally; that dexterity is unimpaired; that he could pick up a coin, button clothing, and open a door; that he had no difficulty getting on and off the examination table, mild difficulty heel and toe walking, mild difficulty squatting, and mild difficulty hopping; that straight leg raising was negative; and that range of motion of dorsolumbar spine flexion, extension, right lateral flexion, and left lateral flexion are normal (Medical Packet, pages 101 and 102).
- (c) SHRT report dated January 23, 2009 states the claimant's impairment(s) does not meet/equal a Social Security Listing(s) (Medical Packet, page 107).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for

disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or

department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R

400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual

(PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security

Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department

of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10,

et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative

Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual

(PRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

DISABILITY

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he:

- . receives other specified disability-related benefits or services, or
- . resides in a qualified Special Living Arrangement facility, or
- . is certified as unable to work due to mental or physical disability for at least 90 days from the onset of the disability.
- is diagnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS).

Note: If the client's circumstances change so that the basis of his/her disability is no longer valid, determine if he/she meets any of the other disability criteria. Do NOT simply initiate case closure. PEM, Item 261, p. 1.

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

Basic work activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations

be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next

step is <u>not</u> required. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the

review, we do not review further. 20 CFR 416.920(a). These steps are:

- Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

The burden of proof is on the claimant to establish by a preponderance of the medical

evidence that he has established Medicaid/SDA disability, as defined above. PEM 260/261.

Claimant claims he is unable to work because of chronic nausea/diarrhea.

Step 1 has been established because claimant was not working on date of application nor

currently.

Step 2 has not been established. This step determines whether the claimant, on date of

application, had a severe physical impairment as defined above, which had lasted or was

expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months (90 days for SDA). 20 CFR

416.916(a)(b). A de minimus standard is applied in determining severity---any ambiguities are

determined in the claimant's favor.

The above medicals do not establish that the claimant is significantly limited in performing basic physical work activities, as defined above, nor support his disabling symptoms/complaints stated above.

Let's assume a severe physical impairment had been established. Then, the remaining question is whether, on date of application, the duration requirement was established. The

2009-10465/WAS

medicals above do not establish this requirement. Therefore, the severity/duration requirement has not been established.

Step 3 has not been established. This step determines whether the claimant, on date of application, meets/equals a Social Security listed impairment(s), and the duration requirement. Claimant introduced no medical evidence by a physician regarding any Social Security listing(s). And SHRT determined the claimant not disabled under the Social Security listings mentioned above.

Step 4 has not been established. This step determines whether the claimant, on date of application, was without a residual functional capacity for any of his past jobs during the last 15 years, despite a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

The medicals stated above do not establish the claimant's inability to perform any of his past work, as stated above.

Step 5 has not been established. This step determines whether the claimant, on date of application, was without a residual functional capacity for any other work despite a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

The medicals stated above do not establish the claimant's inability to perform sedentary type work, as defined above.

Applicants with a residual functional capacity limited to sedentary type work as a result of a severe medically determinable physical impairment(s), and the claimant's vocational factors stated above are not considered disabled. Medical-Vocational Rule 201.18.

Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge is not persuaded that disability has been established by the preponderance of the medical evidence.

6

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions

of law, decides that physical disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, Medicaid/SDA denial is UPHELD.

<u>/s/</u>

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 14, 2009

Date Mailed: July 15, 2009

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/cv

