

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED]

Claimant

Reg. No: 2008-5935

Issue No: 2009

Case No: [REDACTED]

Load No: [REDACTED]

Hearing Date:

February 28, 2008

Ionia County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jana A. Bachman

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 28, 2008.

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Services (department) properly determined that claimant has not established disability for purposes of Medical Assistance (MA).

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) On May 25, 2007, claimant applied for MA and State Disability Assistance (SDA). Claimant submitted medical records for department consideration.

(2) July 12, 2007, the Medical Review Team (MRT) approved claimant's SDA application and denied claimant's MA application. Department Exhibit A.

(3) July 25, 2007, the department sent claimant written notice that his MA application was denied.

(4) October 9, 2007, the department received claimant's timely request for hearing.

(5) January 25, 2008, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant's application. Department Exhibit B.

(6) February 28, 2008, the telephone hearing was held. Prior to the close of the record, claimant submitted additional medical evidence. Claimant waived the right to a timely hearing decision. March 18, 2008, after review of all medical evidence, the SHRT again denied claimant's application. SHRT Decision, 3-18-08.

(7) Claimant asserts disability based on impairments caused by a stroke.

(8) Claimant testified at hearing. Claimant is 52 years old, 5'10" tall, and weighs 230 pounds. Claimant completed ninth grade and is able to read, write, and perform basic math. Claimant has a driver's license and is able to drive. Claimant cares for his needs at home.

(9) Claimant's past relevant employment has been as a carpenter and apartment maintenance person.

(10) May 15, 2007, claimant presented to emergency room complaining of vertigo and lightheadedness. Hospital treatment records indicate that claimant is status post CVA, was seen and discharged on the 10th. Claimant has history of type 2 diabetes and hypertension, both of which are uncontrolled. As well, he has a history of tobacco abuse. Physical examination revealed claimant has right-sided facial droop. He has difficulty puffing out his cheeks, unable to hold his lips fully together. Positive carotid upstrokes bilaterally equal. No evidence of bruits on auscultation. No JVD or HJR. Lungs are clear to auscultation bilaterally without wheezes, rales, rhonchi, or rub. Heart has regular rate and rhythm with no murmur. Extremities have good range

of motion and equal grip strengths bilaterally. No cyanosis, no clubbing, no edema. Patient has decreased sensation in bilateral upper extremities, good sensation and intact distally in bilateral lower extremities. Cranial nerves 1-12 are assessed intact. His finger to nose was intact on the right, was unable to perform on the left. Romberg is positive. He had difficulty maintaining his balance. Motor strength is 5/5 throughout except for a left leg where it is 4/5. DTR's are 2/4 throughout with absent bilateral patellar reflexes. Babinski is downgoing bilaterally. Department Exhibit A, pgs 223-225. June 2, 2007, claimant was admitted to the hospital. Objective medical testing was performed that revealed claimant had acute left CVA diagnosed by MRI. Claimant was discharged on 6-4-07 with diagnoses of acute nonhemorrhagic infarct involving the left medulla and inferior cerebellar hemisphere; diplopia secondary to CVA; non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus; hypertension; and ambulatory dysfunction secondary to CVA. Department Exhibit A, pgs 11-12. August 3, 2007, claimant underwent CT angiography of the neck and a report was prepared that states claimant has a high grade stenosis at the origin of the left vertebral artery; there is complete occlusion of the left vertebral artery prior to its entering the calvarian at the skull base; there is high grade stenosis in the left vertebral artery and the origin of the left posterior inferior cerebellar artery which is being supply retrograde from the right vertebral artery. Department Exhibit A, pg 5. Claimant underwent a CT scan of the head that revealed normal and pre and post contrast CT of the head. Department Exhibit A, pg 6. Claimant underwent a CT scan of the chest that revealed COPD most apparent in the apices; no evidence of a superior sulcus tumor; minimal nodularity along the right major fissure; right adrenal mass, non specific. However, it is a relatively low density for a contrast enhanced study. Further evaluation could be achieved with a dedicated CT of the adrenals to confirm a suspected benign etiology. Department Exhibit A, pg 8. Claimant underwent an MRI of the brain that revealed no

acute intracranial process; evidence of resolving prior infarct in the left aspect of the left posterior fossa. Department Exhibit A, pgs 9-10. Claimant underwent a CT scan of the abdomen that revealed right adrenal adenoma. Incidental note is made of mild atherosclerotic disease of the aorta. Department Exhibit A, pg 4.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impairments do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include –

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;

- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

If an individual fails to cooperate by appearing for a physical or mental examination by a certain date without good cause, there will not be a finding of disability. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4)(ii).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and so is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence of record indicates that claimant suffered a CVA during May 2007. This event resulted in right-sided facial droop, decreased sensation in the upper extremities, and difficulties maintaining balance. During June 2007, objective medical testing revealed that claimant had an acute nonhemorrhagic infarct involving the left medulla and inferior cerebellar hemisphere. Further objective testing revealed claimant has high grade stenosis at the origin of the left vertebral artery and there is complete occlusion of the left

vertebral artery prior to its entering the calvarian at the skull base. There is also high grade stenosis in the left vertebral artery and the origin of the left posterior inferior cerebellar artery. Objective medical testing of the abdomen revealed right adrenal adenoma and mild atherosclerotic of the aorta. Claimant has diplopia secondary to CVA. Finding of Fact 10.

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence of record is not sufficient to establish that claimant has severe impairments that have lasted or are expected to last 12 months or more and prevent employment at any job for 12 months or more. Therefore, claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2.

At Step 3, claimant's impairments do not rise to the level necessary to be specifically disabling by law.

At Step 4, claimant's past relevant employment has been as a carpenter and apartment maintenance person. See discussion at Step 2. Finding of Fact 9-10.

At Step 4, the objective medical evidence of record is sufficient to establish that claimant has functional impairments that prevent claimant for a period of 12 months or more, from engaging in a full range of duties required by claimant's past relevant employment. Therefore, claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same

meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

At Step 5, see discussion at Step 2 above. Finding of Fact 10.

At Step 5, the objective medical evidence of record is sufficient to establish that claimant retains the residual functional capacity to perform simple, sedentary work activities that do not require visual acuity. Considering claimant's Vocational Profile (closely approaching advanced

age, limited education, and history of unskilled work) and relying on Vocational Rule 201.09, claimant is disabled. Therefore, claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5.

Claimant meets the federal statutory requirements to qualify for disability. Therefore, claimant meets the disability requirements for Medical Assistance based on disability.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that claimant has established disability for Medical Assistance.

Accordingly, the department's action is, hereby, REVERSED. The department is to initiate a determination of claimant's eligibility for Medical Assistance beginning with the earliest appropriate retroactive month prior to application date of May 2007. If otherwise eligible, medical review date is set for December 2011.

/s/ _____
Jana A. Bachman
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 10, 2009

Date Mailed: December 11, 2009

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

2008-5935/jab

JAB/db

cc:

