

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED]

Claimant

Reg. No: 2008-23485

Issue No: 2009

Case No: [REDACTED]

Load No: [REDACTED]

Hearing Date:

September 3, 2008

Genesee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Marlene B. Magyar

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on September 3, 2008. Claimant was represented by [REDACTED]

Did the department properly determine claimant was not eligible for retroactive Medicaid (retro-MA) from October through December, 2007?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) On March 31, 2008, the department approved claimant eligible for MA/SDA starting January 1, 2008, but not earlier (Department Exhibit #3, pgs 1 and 2).

(2) At the time of approval, claimant was in tenuous remission from an extensive alcohol/polysubstance abuse history.

(3) Claimant is a never married, 36-year-old homeless male with an 11th grade Special Education history and sporadic, unskilled work experience, but he has not been employed since October 2007 (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 293, 344 and 348).

(4) Claimant stands approximately 6'5" tall and weighs approximately 175 pounds (Department Exhibit #1, pg 127).

(5) When the department approved claimant eligible for MA effective January 2008 they did so based on a finding he met Listing 12.04 and 12.08 (Department Exhibit #3, pgs 1 and 2).

(6) Claimant's medical records document longstanding Bipolar Disorder, Personality Disorder and Major Depressive Disorder (recurrent), and also recurrent suicidal ideation both before and after the department's January 2008 MA approval (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 1-354).

(7) Claimant was hospitalized in October and December 2007 for significant exacerbations of his mental disorders.

(8) The symptoms claimant exhibited then (racing thoughts, mood swings, auditory hallucinations, uncontrolled anger, depression, poor judgment, manipulative behaviors, etc.) are identical to the symptoms he has exhibited since his Listing level mental illness disability allowance as of January 2008.

(9) Claimant's hospital admission global assessment function (GAF) in October 2007 (one of the disputed retro-MA denial months) was 25; at discharge, it was 30 and his prognosis remained very poor (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 125-128).

(10) In December 2007 (one of the disputed retro-MA denial months) during another of claimant's recurrent suicidal ideation hospitalizations he was characterized as having as chronicity of illness complicated by poor compliance with aftercare; his discharge GAF at that time was 35 (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 102-106).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged, 20 CFR 416.913. An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of themselves, sufficient to establish

disability. 20 CFR 416.908 and 20 CFR 416.929. By the same token, a conclusory statement by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind is not sufficient without supporting medical evidence to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.929.

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena that indicate specific psychological abnormalities, e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception, as described by an appropriate medical source. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(B).

Symptoms and signs generally cluster together to constitute recognizable mental disorders described in the listings. The symptoms and signs may be intermittent or continuous depending on the nature of the disorder. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(B).

We measure severity according to the functional limitations imposed by your medically determinable mental impairment(s). We assess functional limitations using the four criteria in paragraph B of the listings: activities of daily living; social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and episodes of decompensation. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(B).

...Where "marked" is used as a standard for measuring the degree of limitation it means more than moderate, but less than extreme. A marked limitation may arise when several activities or functions are impaired or even when only one is impaired, so long as the degree of limitation is such as to seriously interfere with the ability to function independently, appropriately and effectively, and on a sustained basis. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

We do not define "marked" by a specific number of activities of daily living in which functioning is impaired, but by the nature and overall degree of interference with function. For example, if you do a wide range of activities of daily living, we may still find that you have a marked limitation in your daily activities if you have serious difficulty performing them without direct supervision, or in a suitable manner, or on a consistent, useful, routine basis, or without undue interruptions or distractions. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(1).

We do not define "marked" by a specific number of different behaviors in which social functioning is impaired, but by the nature and overall degree of interference with function. For example, if you are highly antagonistic, uncooperative or hostile but are tolerated by local storekeepers, we may nevertheless find that you have a marked limitation in social functioning because that behavior is not acceptable in other social contexts. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(2).

...The context of the individual's overall situation, the quality of these activities is judged by their independence, appropriateness, effectiveness, and sustainability. It is necessary to define the extent to which the individual is capable of initiating and participating in activities independent of supervision or direction. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(1).

...**Social functioning** refers to an individual's capacity to interact independently, appropriately, effectively, and on a sustained basis with other individuals. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(2).

Social functioning includes the ability to get along with others, such as family members, friends, neighbors, grocery clerks, landlords, or bus drivers. You may demonstrate impaired social functioning by, for example, a history of altercations, evictions, firings, fear of strangers, avoidance of interpersonal relationships, or social isolation. You may exhibit strength in social functioning by such things as your ability to initiate social contacts with others, communicate clearly with others, or interact and actively participate in group activities. We also need to consider cooperative behaviors, consideration for others, awareness of others' feelings, and social maturity. Social functioning in work situations may involve interactions with the public, responding appropriately to persons in authority (e.g., supervisors), or cooperative behaviors involving coworkers. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(2).

...Concentration, persistence or pace refers to the ability to sustain focused attention and concentration sufficiently long to permit the timely and appropriate completion of tasks commonly found in work settings. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(3).

Limitations in concentration, persistence, or pace are best observed in work settings, but may also be reflected by limitations in other settings. In addition, major limitations in this area can often be assessed through clinical examination or psychological testing. Wherever possible, however, a mental status examination or psychological test data should be supplemented by other available evidence. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(3).

The evaluation of disability on the basis of a mental disorder requires sufficient evidence to: (1) establish the presence of a medically determinable mental impairment(s); (2) assess the degree of functional limitation the impairment(s) imposes; and (3) project the probable duration of the impairment(s). Medical evidence must be sufficiently complete and detailed as to symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings to permit an independent determination. In addition, we will consider information from other sources when we determine how the established impairment(s) affects your ability to function. We will consider all relevant evidence in your case record. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(D).

The records in this case are clear. They establish claimant's demonstrated mental, emotional and cognitive impairments/symptoms were identical and existed prior to, as well as after his polysubstance abuse reportedly went into remission. This remission is the only factor which separated claimant's MA approval from his MA denial during the retro period in dispute (10/07-12/07).

This Administrative Law Judge finds claimant's psychological/psychiatric treatment history establishes deeply engrained, marked limitations in normal social functioning and extensive maladaptive behaviors that would prevent any success in the competitive work force without extensive, continuing treatment. In fact, claimant's documented constellation of symptoms did meet Listing 12.04 and 12.08 in all three disputed retro-MA months. As such, the department's denial of retro-MA during that period simply cannot be upheld.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the department erred in determining claimant was not eligible for retro-MA from October through December, 2007.

Accordingly, the department's decision is REVERSED, and it is Ordered that:

- (1) The department shall process claimant's disputed retro-MA application and award him all the benefits he is entitled to receive thereunder.
- (2) The department shall review claimant's mental/emotional condition for improvement in September 2011.
- (3) The department shall obtain all current treatment notes, progress reports, hospitalizations, etc. at the time of review.

(4) **CLAIMANT SHOULD BE AWARE THAT FAILURE TO FOLLOW HIS TREATMENT PLAN/MEDICATION SCHEDULE MAY RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF CONTINUED BENEFITS AT REVIEW.**

/s/ _____
Marlene B. Magyar
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 14, 2009

Date Mailed: September 14, 2009

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MBM/db

cc:

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the names of the recipients listed in the 'cc:' field.