

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],
Claimant

Reg. No: 2008-16268
Issue No: 2009/4031
Case No: [REDACTED]
Load No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date:
June 19, 2008
Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Marlene B. Magyar

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 19, 2008. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the department properly determine claimant is not disabled by Medicaid (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) eligibility standards?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) Claimant is a 50-year-old high school graduate who resides with her significant other in his rental unit in [REDACTED]

(2) Claimant's past relevant work history is in factory press operation; she has not been employed since November 1, 2007 (Department Exhibit #1, pg 7).

(3) Claimant started having a recurrence of severe headaches in October, 2009 (Department Exhibit #1, pg 8).

(4) Claimant's October 29, 2007 outpatient magnetic resonance angiography detected a nonruptured right supraclinoid internal carotid aneurysm without accompanying mass effect, edema or ischemia (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 13 and 14).

(5) In November, 2007 claimant was hospitalized for surgical correction of this aneurysm (i. e., coiling) which had been causing her chronic headaches and blurred vision (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 10 and 11).

(6) The neurosurgeon's follow-up examination report, dated November 26, 2007, says claimant had no post-surgical mental limitations but she might need help with heavy household chores (Department Exhibit #1, pg 11).

(7) [REDACTED] were prescribed for continued headache pain management (Department Exhibit #1, pg 11).

(8) Claimant stands approximately 5'6" tall and weighs approximately 125 pounds; she is right hand dominant (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 6 and 8).

(9) Claimant has a valid driver's license and access to a roadworthy vehicle although she sometimes refrains from driving when her headaches get too bad.

(10) Four months post-surgery, in March, 2008, claimant underwent another surgical correction for a left-sided aneurysm discovered during the first surgery; no complications from the second surgery are noted in the medical records submitted to date (Department Exhibit #1, pg 8)(See also Finding of Fact #5 above).

(11) At claimant's hearing on June 19, 2008, she reported continued headache pain and right arm/leg pain; a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory () was added to claimant's pain medications (See also Finding of Fact #7 above).

(12) A psychiatric report submitted post-hearing indicates claimant was in self-initiated counseling for depression between July and December, 2008, secondary to a sense of hopelessness and worry about her medical problems; anti-depressants were prescribed () (See Report, pg 1).

(13) The degree of claimant's impairment was listed as mild (GAF: 55-60) and the treating agency opined she was not mentally disabled (not unable to engage in any type of full-time employment) (See Report, pgs 1 and 2).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Statutory authority for the SDA program states in part:

Sec. 604 (1) The department shall operate a state disability assistance program. Except as provided in subsection (3), persons eligible for this program shall include needy citizens of the United States or aliens exempt from the Supplemental Security Income citizenship requirement who are at least 18 years of age or emancipated minors meeting one or more of the following requirements:

- (b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

Michigan administers the federal MA program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan defers to the federal guidelines. These guidelines are also used in SDA cases. These guidelines state in part:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not

have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

...If you have an impairment(s) which meets the duration requirement and is listed in Appendix 1 or is equal to a listed impairment(s), we will find you disabled without considering your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

...If we cannot make a decision on your current work activities or medical facts alone and you have a severe impairment, we will then review your residual functional capacity and the physical and mental demands of the work you have done in the past. If you can still do this kind of work, we will find that you are not disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

If you cannot do any work you have done in the past because you have a severe impairment(s), we will consider your residual functional capacity and your age, education, and past work experience to see if you can do other work. If you cannot, we will find you disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(f)(1).

At application, claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to the following section:

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

The federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required for claimant to establish disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical reports consistent with an applicant's reported symptoms, or with his/her treating doctor's statements regarding disability or the lack thereof. These regulations state in part:

...Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);

- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms)... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analysis herein, claimant remains eligible at the first step since she stopped working when she first went for corrective aneurysm surgery in November, 2007. She has remained unemployed since then. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis assesses the severity of all documented impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de minimus* standard. Ruling any ambiguities in claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge finds severity is met. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the listed impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analysis continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ability of the applicant to return to his or her past relevant work. This step examines the physical and mental demands done by the applicant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(e). The record reveals claimant has no mental impairments which would prevent employability; however, the excessive standing, reaching, carrying, etc. associated with her past, medium exertional level factory work might be precluded due to her self-reported arm/leg pain. Consequently, the analysis must continue.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applies the biographical data of each applicant (age, education, past work experience) to the Medical-Vocational Grid Rules to determine the

functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(f). After a careful review of the credible medical evidence presented, this Administrative Law Judge finds Medical-Vocational Rule 202.13 directs a finding of not disabled. She finds insufficient documentation to indicate claimant's documented impairments, standing alone or combined, would interfere with her ability to engage in other work, specifically, light unskilled work. This opinion is consistent with claimant's treating neurosurgeon's opinion during the relevant period, dated November 26, 2007 (See Finding of Fact #6 above). Consequently, when taken as a whole, the evidence in this case fails to meet the regulatory requirements necessary to qualify for disability MA or SDA.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the department properly denied claimant's November 22, 2007 MA/SDA application based upon a finding she does not meet the rules necessary to qualify for either program.

Accordingly, the department's action is AFFIRMED.

/s/ _____
Marlene B. Magyar
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 30, 2009

Date Mailed: July 31, 2009

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MBM/db

cc:

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the names of the individuals listed in the 'cc:' field. The redaction is complete, obscuring all text underneath.