# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

## ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2008-7803 Issue No: 2009; 4031

Case No:

Load No: Hearing Date: March 25, 2008

Jackson County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice Spodarek

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was held on March 25, 2008.

#### **ISSUE**

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) properly deny claimant's Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On 9/7/07, claimant applied for MA-P and SDA with the Michigan DHS.
- (2) Claimant did not apply for retro MA.
- (3) On 9/12/07, the MRT denied.

- (4) On 9/27/07, the DHS issued notice.
- (5) On 11/8/07, claimant filed a hearing request.
- (6) In November, 2007, claimant was denied SSI by SSA. An SOLQ inquiry run on 5/12/2009 shows no timely appeal.
- (7) On 2/15/07, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant. Pursuant to claimant's request to hold the record open for the submission of new and additional medical documentation, on 5/8/2008 SHRT once again denied claimant.
- (8) The undersigned Administrative Law Judge was on a scheduled, extended leave of absence from 8/1/2008, returning full time 2/1/2009.
- (9) As of the date of application, claimant was a 32-year-old female standing 5' 7" tall and weighing 200 pounds. Claimant's BMI Index is 31.3, placing her in the obese category.
- (10) Claimant does not have an alcohol/drug abuse problem or history. Claimant does not smoke.
  - (11) Claimant does not have a driver's license and does not drive.
- (12) Claimant is currently working. Claimant is a substitute teacher for . There is no evidence that claimant's work is indicative of SGA as defined under statutory law.
  - (13) Claimant alleges disability on the basis of a bipolar disorder.
- (14) The SHRT findings and conclusions of its 2/15/07 and subsequent decision of 5/5/08 denying claimant are adopted and incorporated by reference herein. Claimant was denied in the subsequent decision pursuant to Medical Vocational Grid Rule 203.29 as a guide.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department

of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Statutory authority for the SDA program states in part:

(b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

Prior to any substantive review, jurisdiction is paramount. Applicable to the case herein, policy states:

#### **Final SSI Disability Determination**

SSA's determination that disability or blindness does **not** exist for SSI purposes is **final** for MA if:

- . The determination was made after 1/1/90, and
- . No further appeals may be made at SSA, or
- The client failed to file an appeal at any step within SSA's 60-day limit, **and**
- . The client is **not** claiming:
  - .. A totally different disabling condition than the condition SSA based its determination on, **or**
  - .. An additional impairment(s) or change or deterioration in his condition that SSA has **not** made a determination on.

Eligibility for MA based on disability or blindness does **not** exist once SSA's determination is **final**. PEM, Item 260, pp. 2-3.

Relevant federal regulations are found at 42 CFR Part 435. These regulations provide: "An SSA disability determination is binding on an agency until the determination is changed by the SSA." 42 CFR 435.541(a)(b)(i). These regulations further provide: "If the SSA determination is changed, the new determination is also binding on the agency." 42 CFR 435.541(a)(b)(ii).

In this case, there is apparently no dispute relative to the facts. Claimant's claim was considered by SSA and benefits denied. The determination was final. Claimant is alleging the same impairments. None of the exceptions apply.

For these reasons, under the above-cited policy and federal law, this Administrative Law Judge has no jurisdiction to proceed with a substantive review. The department's denial must be upheld.

As noted above, should the SSA change its determination, then the new determination would also be binding on the DHS.

It is noted in the alternative that should the sequential analysis be applied, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge agrees with the SHRT decisions found in the 2/15/07 and subsequent decision of 5/5/08 in conformance with the great bulk of the medical evidence and concurs with the denial on the basis of Medical Vocational Grid Rule 203.29 as a guide.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department's actions were correct.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is UPHELD.

s/

Janice Spodarek
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>May 18, 2009</u>

Date Mailed: May 19, 2009

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

JS/cv

cc:

