

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED]

Claimant

Reg. No: 2008-5704

Issue No: 2009

Case No: [REDACTED]

Load No: [REDACTED]

Hearing Date:

June 25, 2008

Clare County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on Wednesday, June 25, 2008. The claimant personally appeared and testified with her authorized representative [REDACTED].

ISSUE

Did the department properly deny the claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and retroactive Medical Assistance?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) On May 22, 2007, the claimant applied for MA-P with retroactive MA-P to February 2007.

(2) On July 16, 2007, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied the claimant's application for MA-P and retroactive MA-P stating that the claimant was capable of past relevant work per 20 CFR 416.920(E).

(3) On July 17, 2007, the department caseworker sent the claimant a notice that her application was denied.

(4) On October 4, 2007, the department received a hearing request from the claimant, contesting the department's negative action.

(5) On January 17, 2008, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) considered the submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of MA-P and retroactive MA-P eligibility for the claimant. The SHRT report reads in part:

The claimant is alleging disability due to Crohn's disease, hypertension, and irritable bowel syndrome. The claimant is 49 years old and has a limited education with a history of unskilled work.

The objective medical evidence presented does not establish a disability at the listing or equivalence level. The collective medical evidence shows that the claimant is capable of performing a wide range of medium work.

The claimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the claimant retains the capacity to perform a wide range of medium work. Therefore, based on the claimant's vocational profile (younger individual, limited education, and an unskilled work history), MA-P is denied using Vocational Rule 203.25 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also denied.

(6) During the hearing on June 25, 2008, the claimant requested permission to submit additional medical information that needed to be reviewed by SHRT. Additional medical information was received from the local office on and October 28, 2008 forwarded to SHRT for review on November 21, 2008.

(7) On December 2, 2008, the SHRT considered the newly submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of MA-P and retroactive MA-P. The SHRT report reads in part:

The claimant is alleging disability due to Crohn's disease, hypertension, and irritable bowel syndrome. She is 50 years old and has a limited education with a history of unskilled work.

The objective medical evidence presented does not establish a disability at the listing or equivalence level. The claimant has a history of partial resection of the distal left colon with a colostomy. She is 192 pounds and there is no evidence of significant weight loss. She has a heart murmur, but an echo did not show significant disease. She had tenderness over her back, but neurological findings were intact. The claimant has a diagnosis and history of polysubstance dependence. Her most recent mental status showed her thoughts were clear and her mood was even.

P.L. 104-121 is cited due to the materiality of drug and alcohol abuse. The claimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the claimant retains the capacity to perform a wide range of simple, unskilled, medium work. Therefore, based on the claimant's vocational profile (closely approached advanced age at 50, limited education, and an unskilled work history), MA-P is denied using Vocational Rule 203.18 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also denied.

(8) The claimant is a 51 year-old woman whose date of birth is [REDACTED].

The claimant is 5' 6" tall and weighs 182 pounds. The claimant completed the 8th grade of school. The claimant stated that she can read and write, but can't do basic math. The claimant has no pertinent work history.

(9) The claimant's alleged impairments are Crohn's disease, high blood pressure, irritable bowel syndrome, a heart murmur, left leg is 1" shorter than the right leg, and migraines.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

... [The record must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

...Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.

- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

...Evidence that you submit or that we obtain may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of your impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what you can still do despite impairment(s), and your physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

...In deciding whether you are disabled, we will always consider the medical opinions in your case record together with the rest of the relevant evidence we receive. 20 CFR 416.927(b).

After we review all of the evidence relevant to your claim, including medical opinions, we make findings about what the evidence shows. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

...If all of the evidence we receive, including all medical opinion(s), is consistent, and there is sufficient evidence for us to decide whether you are disabled, we will make our determination or decision based on that evidence. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(1).

...If any of the evidence in your case record, including any medical opinion(s), is inconsistent with other evidence or is internally inconsistent, we will weigh all of the evidence and see whether we can decide whether you are disabled based on the evidence we have. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(2).

[As Judge]...We are responsible for making the determination or decision about whether you meet the statutory definition of disability. In so doing, we review all of the medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement that you are disabled.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...If you have an impairment(s) which meets the duration requirement and is listed in Appendix 1 or is equal to a listed impairment(s), we will find you disabled without considering your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

...If we cannot make a decision on your current work activities or medical facts alone and you have a severe impairment, we will then review your residual functional capacity and the physical and mental demands of the work you have done in the past. If you can still do this kind of work, we will find that you are not disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

If you cannot do any work you have done in the past because you have a severe impairment(s), we will consider your residual functional capacity and your age, education, and past work experience to see if you can do other work. If you cannot, we will find you disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(f)(1).

...Your residual functional capacity is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will

consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...This assessment of your remaining capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled, but is used as the basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment(s).... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...In determining whether you are disabled, we will consider all of your symptoms, including pain, and the extent to which your symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with objective medical evidence, and other evidence.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...In evaluating the intensity and persistence of your symptoms, including pain, we will consider all of the available evidence, including your medical history, the medical signs and laboratory findings and statements about how your symptoms affect you... We will then determine the extent to which your alleged functional limitations or restrictions due to pain or other symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the medical signs and laboratory findings and other evidence to decide how your symptoms affect your ability to work.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairments of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based upon all of the relevant evidence. This assessment of your capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled but is used as a basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment. 20 CFR 416.945.

...When we assess your physical abilities, we first assess the nature and extent of your physical limitations and then determine your residual functional capacity for work activity on a regular and continuing basis. A limited ability to perform certain physical demands of work activity, such as sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, pulling, or other physical functions (including manipulative or postural functions, such as reaching, handling, stooping or crouching), may reduce your ability to do past work and other work. 20 CFR 416.945(b).

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for “disabled” as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

“Disability” is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months
... 20 CFR 416.905

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). At Step 1, the claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has no pertinent work history. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which significantly limits an individual’s physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6th Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are “totally groundless” solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a “*de minimus* hurdle” in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

The objective medical evidence on the record further substantiates the following:

On [REDACTED], the claimant’s treating physician submitted a Medical Examination Report, DHS-49, on behalf of the claimant. The claimant was first examined on [REDACTED] and last examined on [REDACTED]. The claimant had a history of impairment and chief complaint of high blood pressure, chronic low back pain, migraines, abdominal pain, bipolar disorder, Crohn’s disease, insomnia, and gastrointestinal problems. The claimant had a current diagnosis of arthritis, high blood pressure, migraines, dyslipidemia, and bipolar disorder. The claimant’s physical examination showed obesity, decrease of air entry, systolic heart murmur, colostomy bag, and tenderness over back. (Department Exhibit C)

The claimant's treating physician's clinical impression was that the claimant had limitations of limited walking. She could occasionally lift up to 10 pounds. There were no assistive devices medically required or needed for ambulation. The claimant could use both hands/arms for repetitive action. The medical findings that support the above physical limitations was the claimant was limited activities of daily living with gait secondary to back pain. The claimant was mentally limited in sustained concentration. The finding that supports the above mental limitations was bipolar disorder. The claimant could meet her needs in the home.

(Department Exhibit D)

On [REDACTED], the claimant underwent a CT of the abdomen and pelvis with contrast at [REDACTED]. The radiologist's impression was status post partial resection distal left colon with a colostomy, interval development of non-obstructing stones in the upper aspects of both kidneys, and stable dilatation of the extrahepatic common bile duct that may be related to prior cholecystectomy. (Department Exhibit E, F)

On [REDACTED], the claimant was seen by her psychiatrist at [REDACTED]. The claimant was diagnosed with major depression, chronic; post traumatic disorder; polysubstance dependence including alcohol, street drugs, and three classes of habit forming medications. The claimant sat without restlessness. She had fair eye contact. Psychomotor activity was a little slowed. The claimant's speech was somewhat monotone. She answered questions appropriately. The claimant's thoughts were clear. The claimant showed a little more affect of response and was a little more interactive than at previous appointments. The claimant's mood was even. She did not show a lot of emotional expression one way or another. The claimant was not anxious or distressed. (Department Exhibit G, H)

On [REDACTED] the claimant had a heart study done at [REDACTED]. The radiologist's impression was normal right and left ventricular ejection fraction, mild aortic, mitral, and tricuspid regurgitations, enlarged left atrial and left ventricle, and moderate concentric LVH. (Department Exhibit I)

On [REDACTED], the claimant saw her treating psychiatrist at [REDACTED]. The claimant was last seen on [REDACTED]. She cancelled her appointment of [REDACTED]. The claimant was diagnosed with major depression, chronic; post traumatic stress disorder; polysubstance dependence including alcohol, street drugs, and three classes of medication. The claimant sat without restlessness and had good eye contact. She was interactive where her thoughts were clear and coherent. The claimant smiled and was friendly. She was spontaneous with a broad affect. The claimant was in a good mood, not anxious or distressed. (Department Exhibit J, K)

On [REDACTED], the claimant was admitted to [REDACTED]. The claimant's discharge diagnosis was small bowel obstruction, fecal stasis, abdominal pain, Crohn's disease, status post colectomy with ileostomy, hypertension, tobacco abuse and dependence, and volume depletion. The claimant presented with acute weight loss, increase in abdominal pain, vomiting, no acute distress, with a history of Crohn's disease. The claimant underwent a CT scan in Clare that showed no obvious mass, but did show fecal stasis. The claimant was given some Lactulose. Her x-rays of her abdomen on [REDACTED] looked improved, small bowel loops in the pelvis, and increased air in colon. The claimant continued to improve with the colon becoming cleaned out. The claimant had some increased nausea and vomiting, but felt that this was related to some manipulation for radiographic studies. The

claimant was discharged in good and stable condition on February 20, 2007. (Department Exhibit M, N)

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence in the record indicates that the claimant has established that she has a severe impairment. The claimant is currently being treated for depression and post traumatic stress disorder where she is receiving therapy and medications. The claimant had an incident with her intestines that required hospitalization that was resolved and the claimant was released. The claimant does have a heart murmur. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2. However, this Administrative Law Judge will proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine disability because Step 2 is a *de minimus* standard.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d). This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's impairments do not rise to the level necessary to be listed as disabling by law. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 3.

In the fourth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing past relevant work. 20 CFR 416.920(e). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings, that the claimant has a driver's

license and does drive. The claimant does cook once a day with no problem. The claimant grocery shops once a month where she has to sit down after and it takes awhile to shop. The claimant does clean her own home regularly, but she also takes time to rest and sits down longer. The claimant doesn't do any outside work. Her hobby is going to church. The claimant felt that her condition has worsened in the past year because she has had an increase in migraines and when walking her legs go numb and she has pain down her back. For the claimant's mental impairments, she testified that she is taking medication and in therapy.

The claimant wakes up between 7:00 to 8:00 a.m. She lies in bed or on the couch. She stated that she is sick with Crohn's. She watches TV and reads the Bible during the day. She goes to bed between 9:00 to 10:00 p.m.

The claimant felt that she could walk half one block. The longest she felt she could stand was 20-30 minutes. The longest she felt she could sit was 30 minutes. The heaviest weight she felt she could carry and walk was 7 pounds. The claimant stated that her level of pain on a scale of 1 to 10 without medication was a 10 and that she gets no relief after taking her medication because her pain is still at a 10.

The claimant stated that she smokes a ½ a pack of cigarettes a day. The claimant stopped drinking in 1983 where before she drank 1/2 to 1/5 a day. She stopped smoking marijuana in 2004. The claimant stated that she could work if she could alternate sitting and standing.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant has not established that she cannot perform any work. The claimant has no pertinent work history. Based on the claimant's medical information submitted, the claimant should be able to do simple, unskilled, light work. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4. However, the Administrative Law Judge will still proceed through the sequential evaluation process to

determine whether or not the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her prior jobs.

In the fifth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing other work.

20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the claimant's:

- (1) residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite your limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- (2) age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-.965; and
- (3) the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite his/her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

...To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, you must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. If someone can do light work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary work, unless there are additional limiting

factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Unskilled work. Unskilled work is work which needs little or no judgment to do simple duties that can be learned on the job in a short period of time. The job may or may not require considerable strength.... 20 CFR 416.968(a).

The claimant has submitted insufficient evidence that she lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her previous employment or that she is physically unable to do any tasks demanded of her. The claimant's testimony as to her limitation indicates her limitations are exertional and non-exertional.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

In the instant case, the claimant stated that she is taking medication and in therapy. See MA analysis, Step 2. The claimant has been participating in therapy and has shown some improvement. The claimant completed the 8th grade of school. As a result, there is sufficient medical evidence of a mental impairment that is so severe that it would prevent the claimant from performing skilled, detailed work, but the claimant should be able to perform simple, unskilled work.

At Step 5, the claimant should be able to meet the physical requirements of light work, based upon the claimant's physical abilities. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a closely approaching advanced individual with limited or less education and no pertinent work history, who is limited to light work, is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2,

Rule 202.10. The Medical-Vocational guidelines are not strictly applied with non-exertional impairments such as depression and post traumatic stress disorder. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Section 200.00. Using the Medical-Vocational guidelines as a framework for making this decision and after giving full consideration to the claimant's physical and mental impairments, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant can still perform a wide range of simple, unskilled, light activities and that the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department has appropriately established that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied the claimant's application for MA-P and retroactive MA-P. The claimant should be able to perform any level of simple, unskilled, light work. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

/s/ _____
Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 28, 2010

Date Mailed: June 28, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

2008-5704/CGF

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CGF/vmc

cc:

