STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2008-30434

Issue No.: 2007

Case No.: Load No.:

Hearing Date:

May 21, 2009

Wayne County DHS (82)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; MSA 16.409 and MCL 400.37; MSA 16.437 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 21, 2009. The Claimant was present and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On June 27, 2008, the claimant applied for MA.
- On July 15, 2008, the department provided the claimant with a verification checklist, due
 August 12, 2008 (Department exhibit 4).
- On August 19, 2008 the department sent the claimant an eligibility notice that her application had been denied. (Department exhibit 1).

4. On September 8, 2008, the Claimant's AR filed a request for a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In the instant case, the Claimant failed to provide any of the requested information. At the hearing the claimant's testified that she had sent in her bank statement and had done what a third party advisor, the "the control of the claimant's testified that she had sent in her bank statement and had done what a third party advisor, the "the control of the claimant's testified that she had sent in her bank statement and had done what a third party advisor, the "the control of the claimant's testified that she had sent in her bank statement and had done what a third party advisor, the "the control of the claimant's testified that she had sent in her bank statement and had done what a third party advisor, the "the control of the claimant" is the control of the cont

The record shows that the verification sent to the department was an unnamed bank statement and there is no record of the other requested documentation being supplied by the claimant.

Timeliness of Verifications

CDC, FIP, FAP

Allow the client 10 calendar days (**or** other time limit specified in policy) to provide the verification you request. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, extend the time limit at least once.

Verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. For electronically transmitted verifications (e.g., fax, email), the date of the transmission is the receipt date.

Verifications that are submitted after the close of regular business hours through the drop box or by delivery of a DHS representative are considered to be received the next business day.

Send a negative action notice when:

The client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or

The time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it.

Effective June 1, 2008

MA Only

Allow the client 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the verification you request. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, extend the time limit up to three times. (PAM 130, p. 4).

Here, the claimant did not provide the requested verifications. I find the department was correct in denying the application.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, AFFIRMS the Department's action in the instant case.

<u>/s/</u>

Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Ishmael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>06/26/09</u>

Date Mailed: 06/26/09

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MJB/jlg

2008-30434/MJB

ce: