STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No: 2008-25446 Issue No: 2009; 4031

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: October 20, 2008

Keweenaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Rhonda P. Craig

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 20, 2008. Claimant was not represented.

ISSUE

Is claimant disabled for the purposes of the Medical Assistance and State Disability
Assistance programs?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant applied for Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits on February 25, 2008. Claimant requested Medical Assistance retroactive to November 2007.
- (2) Claimant's impairments have been medically diagnosed as hypertension, history of Guillian-Barre (resolved), severe degenerative changes in the lumbar spine, and heart murmur.

- (3) Claimant's physical symptoms are constant severe pain in the lower back radiating down the right leg and pain in the left wrist and knees. Claimant has pain despite medication.
- (4) Claimant's impairments will last or have lasted for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.
 - (5) Claimant is 52 years of age.
 - (6) Claimant has a GED but he is barely able to read and write.
 - (7) Claimant has employment experience as a factory worker and heavy laborer.
- (8) Claimant has significant limitations on physical activities involving sitting (no more than an hour at a time), standing (no more than an hour at a time), walking (no more than 2 blocks at a time), bending, lifting and stooping. Claimant has difficulty gripping and grasping things on occasion.
- (9) The department found that the claimant was not disabled and denied claimant's application on July 16, 2008.
- (10) Upon claimant's request for a hearing, evidence was submitted to the State Hearing Review Team. The State Hearing Review Team determined that claimant was not disabled for the programs.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative

Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Department of Human Services conforms to state statute in administering the State Disability Assistance program.

2000 PA 294, Sec. 604, of the statute states:

Sec. 604. (1) The department shall operate a state disability assistance program. Except as provided in subsection (3), persons eligible for this program shall include needy citizens of the United States or aliens exempted from the supplemental security income citizenship requirement who are at least 18 years of age or emancipated minors meeting one or more of the following requirements:

- (a) A recipient of supplemental security income, social security, or medical assistance due to disability or 65 years of age or older.
- (b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal supplemental security income disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

Here, claimant's impairment or combination of impairments is severe but does not meet nor is it the equivalent of a listed impairment. Claimant is also unable to do past work.

Therefore, the determination of disability will be based on claimant's residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

The medical evidence indicates that claimant may no longer be able to perform his previous work which was medium and heavy labor. Claimant now appears to have the residual functional capacity to perform work on no more than a sedentary level. Claimant has severe low back pain. In a radiology report, dated the residual, the radiologist reports that there is severe narrowing of the L5-S1 interspace with degenerative disc disease. There is moderate narrowing of the L3-4 and L4-5 interspaces. There is degenerative disc disease at L4-5. There is a large central to right paracentral disc extrusion containing both disc material and gas, causing significant effacement of the anterior thecal sac and along with hypertrophy of ligamentum flavum and central canal stenosis. He has broad based disc bulge with posterior hypertrophic changes at L5-S1, which causes mild effacement of the anterior thecal sac and mild to moderate

narrowing of both lateral neural foraman. He has broad based disc budge at L3-4 with left lateral disc component causes mild to moderate effacement of the anterior thecal sac. Claimant's treating physician noted that his low back pain did radiate down his lower extremities. The physician noted after reading the report that "Any sort of strenuous type activities like lifting anything greater than 10 to 15 pounds or any prolonged standing or other activity requiring frequent bending or twisting of the torso, would result in significant and reoccurring low back pain with concern over possible long-term damage to the nerves in his lower back. Therefore making working in his current occupation physically impossible based upon my medical opinion". It should further be noted that claimant also has a heart murmur. A medical report indicates that claimant has mild thickening of the mitral leaflets dated consistent with myxumatous change. There is prolapse of both the posterior anterior leaflets and the amount of mitral insufficiency appears to be 2+ or mild to moderate range. Considering the medical evidence and claimant's testimony it appears that claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform work on no more than a sedentary level. Claimant is an individual approaching advanced age. 20 CFR 416.963. Claimant has a high school education. 20 CFR 416.964. Claimant's previous work has been unskilled. Federal Rule 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2 contains specific profiles for determining disability based on residual functional capacity and vocational profiles. Under Table 1, Rule 201.12 claimant is disabled for the purposes of the Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance programs.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the claimant is medically disabled under the Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance programs as of November 1, 2007.

Therefore, the department is ordered to initiate a review of the application of February 25, 2008, if not done previously, to determine claimant's non-medical eligibility.

The department shall inform the claimant of the determination in writing. The case shall be reviewed in November 2009.

/s/

Rhonda P. Craig
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 5/6/09

Date Mailed: <u>5/7/09</u>

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

RC/sd

