STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No:2008-25259Issue No:2009; 4031Case No:Image: Comparison of the second second

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Linda Steadley Schwarb

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9

and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on

September 8, 2008. Claimant appeared and testified. Claimant was represented by

. Following the hearing, the record was kept open for the receipt of

additional medical evidence. No additional documents were submitted.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS or department) properly determine that claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) programs?

2008-25259/lss

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) On December 10, 2007, an application was filed on claimant's behalf for MA-P and SDA benefits. The application requested MA-P retroactive to November of 2007.

(2) On April 8, 2008, the department denied claimant's application for benefits based upon the belief that claimant did not meet the requisite disability criteria.

(3) On July 1, 2008, a hearing request was filed to protest the department's determination.

(4) Claimant, age 52, has an 11^{th} grade education.

(5) Claimant last worked in 1998 as a meat packer. Claimant has also worked as a stock person, janitor, dishwasher, and performed floor stripping and waxing. Claimant's relevant work history consisted exclusively of unskilled work activities.

(6) Claimant has a history of polysubstance abuse, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, peptic ulcer disease, and GI bleed.

(7) Claimant was hospitalized for

pneumonia, peptic ulcer disease, alcohol dependence, and cocaine use.

(8) Claimant was hospitalized for nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain. Claimant's symptoms were thought to be secondary to an upper GI bleed.

(9) Claimant was hospitalized for an upper GI bleed.

(10) Claimant was hospitalized as a result of a GI

bleed and anemia. He was transfused two units of blood.

2008-25259/lss

(11) Claimant was hospitalized for gastrointestinal hemorrhage as well as iron deficiency anemia secondary to chronic blood loss.

(12) Claimant was rehospitalized , as a result of severe abdominal pain secondary to a large gastric ulcer. Claimant received blood transfusions and had a gastric jejunostomy performed. Claimant was found to have postoperative anemia with bleeding from the NG tube.

(13) Claimant suffers from chronic gastric ulcer with hemorrhage, chronic anemia, hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and polysubstance abuse. He experiences chronic abdominal and low back pain, frequent vomiting, and generalized weakness and fatigue.

(14) Claimant has severe limitations upon his ability to walk, stand, carry, lift, and handle items. Claimant's limitations have lasted for 12 months or more.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, claimant is not working. Therefore, claimant may not be disqualified from MA at this step in the sequential evaluation process.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Basic work activities mean the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;

- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6th Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a "*de minimus* hurdle" in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

In this case, claimant has presented the required medical data and evidence necessary to support a finding that claimant has significant physical limitations upon his ability to perform basic work activities such as walking, standing, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling. Medical evidence has clearly established that claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. Based upon claimant's frequent hospitalizations for GI bleed requiring transfusion, the undersigned finds that claimant's impairment meets or equals a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A, Section 7.02. Claimant suffers from chronic gastric ulcer with hemorrhage as well as chronic anemia. The record indicates that claimant has had numerous hospitalizations which required

transfusions. This supports a finding that claimant meets or equals a listing. Accordingly, the undersigned must find that claimant is disabled for purposes of the MA program.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

A person is considered disabled for purposes of SDA if the person has a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards for at least 90 days. Receipt of SSI or RSDI benefits based upon disability or blindness or the receipt of MA benefits based upon disability or blindness (MA-P) automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program. Other specific financial and non-financial eligibility criteria are found in PEM Item 261. Inasmuch as claimant has been found "disabled" for purposes of MA, he must also be found "disabled" for purposes of SDA benefits.

The Medical Social Work Consultant (MSWC), in conjunction with the Medical Review Team (MRT), is to consider the appropriateness of directing claimant to participate in appropriate substance abuse treatment as a condition of receipt of benefits. Unless the MSWC determines that claimant has good cause for failure to participate in mandatory treatment, claimant will lose eligibility for PEM, Item 260, page 5 and PEM, Item 261, pages 3 and 4.

Further, a referral is to be made to Adult Protective Services for an evaluation of possible financial management problems. Specifically, before SDA benefits may be paid to claimant, Adult Protective Services is to assess the appropriateness of a payee or conservatorship for claimant because of substance abuse or other problems which may prevent adequate

management or discharge of financial or other personal affairs. See Adult Services Manual, Item 383.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the claimant meets the definition of medically disabled under the Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance programs as of November of 2007.

Accordingly, the department is ORDERED to initiate a review of the December 10, 2007 application, if it has not already done so, to determine if all other nonmedical eligibility criteria are met. The department shall inform claimant and his authorized representative of its determination in writing. Assuming that claimant is otherwise eligible for program benefits, the department shall review claimant's continued eligibility for program benefits in January of 2010.

Medical Social Work Consultant, in conjunction wit the Medical Review Team, is to consider the appropriateness of ordering claimant to participate in mandatory substance abuse treatment as a condition of receipt of benefits. Further, the referral is to be made to Adult Protective Services consistent with this Order.

S/

Linda Steadley Schwarb Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 4, 2009

Date Mailed: March 9, 2009

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.



