STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: Issue No:

2008-25106 2009; 4031

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: March 5, 2009

Mecosta County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice Spodarek

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on March 5, 2009. Claimant was represented at the hearing by

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) properly deny claimant's Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On 3/5/08, claimant applied for MA-P and SDA with the Michigan DHS.
- (2) Claimant did not apply for retro MA.
- (3) On 4/23/08, the MRT deferred. On 6/3/08, MRT denied.

- (4) On 6/9/08, the DHS issued notice.
- (5) On 7/8/08, claimant filed a hearing request.
- (6) Claimant has an SSI application pending with the Social Security Administration (SSA).
- (7) On 7/28/08, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant. Pursuant to claimant's request to hold the record open for the submission of new and additional medical documentation, on 11/10/09 SHRT once again denied claimant.
- (8) As of the date of application, claimant was a 48-year-old male standing 6' 2" tall and weighing 160 pounds. Claimant is now 50. Claimant has a 12th grade education. Claimant was in Special Education.
- (9) Claimant has a recent alcohol/drug abuse problem. Claimant credibly testified that he uses alcohol for self-medication due to pain. Claimant does not smoke.
- (10) Claimant has a driver's license and credibly testified that he drives limited distances--up to 3 miles.
- (11) Claimant is not currently working. Claimant last worked in March, 2007. Claimant was a material handler, moving 900-pound cores on a material cart from ovens in a welding area. Claimant lifted approximately 65 pounds, six times a day. Claimant's work history is unskilled. Claimant has also worked in plastic molding and in health care.
- (12) Claimant alleges disability on the basis of a combination of impairments including hyperglycemia, hyperosmolar, non-keytotic syndrome, Type II diabetes mellitus, gout, polycythemia, arthritis, hypertension, one kidney, depression.
- (13) From 6/29/07 to 7/2/07, claimant was admitted due to hyperglycemic, hyperosmolar, non-keytotic syndrome with new diagnosis Type II diabetes mellitus. Claimant has primary polycythemia and undergoes periodic phlebotomy. Exhibit 309.

- (14) Claimant has been diagnosed with major depressive disorder, recurrent, severe, without psychotic features, anxiety disorder.
- (15) In 11/08, claimant had an esophagogastroduodenoscopy with biopsy due to weight loss. Results indicated gastritis, esophagitis and normal colonoscopy.
- (16) On 1/09, claimant was 169 pounds. Claimant has had a 34-pound weight loss in one year.
- (17) Mental health evaluation of 3/09 indicates poor concentration, memory deficits, irritability and pain. Claimant's testimony at the administrative hearing corroborated these findings.
- (18) A 5/09 radiology report of lumbar spine concluded mild to moderate degenerative disc and joint disease with mild diffuse disc bulging at L5-S1.
- (19) A 6/09 evaluation indicates inguinal and testicular pain, mild effusion of the left knee, hypertension, dyslipidemia, polycythemia, depression, pulmonary nodule, chronic kidney disease.
 - (20) Claimant cannot lift over 10 pounds.
 - (21) Claimant has urinary problems.
- (22) Claimant has multiple medical problems as evidenced by over 350 medical exhibits meeting the federal and state statutory disability definitions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Statutory authority for the SDA program states in part:

(b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disability or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in Title XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Michigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order:

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that

you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step considers the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical

medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

... Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analysis herein, claimant is not ineligible at the first step as claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de minimus* standard. Ruling any ambiguities in claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analysis continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ability of the applicant to return to past relevant work. This step examines the physical and mental demands of the work done by claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

In this case, this ALJ finds that claimant cannot return to past relevant work on the basis of the medical evidence. The analysis continues.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applies the biographical data of the applicant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g). After a careful review of the credible and substantial evidence on the whole record, this Administrative Law Judge finds claimant could not do a full range of sedentary work pursuant to his multiple impairments. Statutory disability is shown for the reasons set forth below.

In reaching this conclusion, it is noted that the multiple impairments section found under 20 CFR 416.922(b) plays a significant role:

Concurrent impairments. If you have two or more concurrent impairments which, when considered in combination, are severe, we must also determine whether the combined effect of your impairments can be expected to continue to be severe for 12 months. If one or more of your impairments improve or is expected to improve within 12 months, so that the combined effect of your remaining impairments is no longer severe, we will find that you do not meet the 12-month duration test. 20 CFR 416.922(b).

In determining whether your physical or mental impairment or impairments are of a sufficient medical severity that such impairment or impairments could be the basis of eligibility under the law, we will consider the combined effect of all of your impairments without regard to whether any such impairment, if considered separately, would be of sufficient severity. If we do find a medically severe combination of impairments, the combined impact of the impairments will be considered throughout the disability determination process. If we do not find that you have a medically severe combination of impairments, we will determine that you are not disabled. 20 CFR 416.923.

Claimant's testimony was corroborated by the over 350 pages of medical evidence as required by 20 CFR 416.913 and 20 CFR 416.927.

The footnote 201.00(h) is substantiated by:

After careful review of claimant's extensive medical record and the Administrative Law Judge's personal interaction with claimant at the hearing, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant's exertional and non-exertional impairments render claimant unable to engage in a

2008-25106/JS

full range of even sedentary work activities on a regular and continuing basis. 20 CFR 404,

Subpart P. Appendix 11, Section 201.00(h). See Social Security Ruling 83-10; Wilson v Heckler,

743 F2d 216 (1986). The department has failed to provide vocational evidence which establishes

that claimant has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity and that, given

claimant's age, education, and work experience, there are significant numbers of jobs in the

national economy which the claimant could perform despite claimant's limitations. Accordingly,

this Administrative Law Judge concludes that claimant is disabled for purposes of the MA

program.

For these reasons, and for the reasons set forth above, statutory disability is shown.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions

of law, decides that the department's actions were incorrect.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is REVERSED.

The department is ORDERED to make a determination if claimant meets the non-medical

criteria for MA and SDA. If so, the department is ORDERED to open an MA and SDA case

from the month of application of March, 2005 and continuing, if claimant is otherwise eligible.

It is recommended that the department review this case in one year from the month of this

Decision and Order.

Janice Spodarek Administrative Law Judge

for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 10, 2009

Date Mailed: December 14, 2009_

9

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.





