## STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

# ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No:2008-23221Issue No:2009; 4031Case No:2009; 4031Load No:4000Hearing Date:4000October 2, 20084000Wayne County DHS

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, October 2, 2008. The claimant personally appeared and testified with his girlfriend as a witness.

## **ISSUE**

Did the department properly deny the claimant's application for Medical Assistance

(MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On January 14, 2008, the claimant applied for MA-P and SDA without filing an application for retroactive MA-P.

(2) On May 2, 2008, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied the claimant's application for MA-P stating that the claimant was capable of performing other work under Medical-Vocational Grid Rule 202.20 per 20 CFR 416.920(f) and for SDA that the claimant's physical and mental impairment does not prevent employment for 90 days or more.

(3) On May 13, 2008, the department caseworker sent the claimant a notice that his application was denied.

(4) On May 19, 2008, the department received a hearing request from the claimant, contesting the department's negative action.

(5) On July 10, 2008, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) considered the

submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of MA-P, retroactive MA-P,

and SDA eligibility for the claimant. The SHRT report reads in part:

The claimant's condition would limit his ability to perform heavy lifting, frequent stooping, and working around fumes and temperature extremes. A psychological report of **second** reported the claimant has no history of inpatient psychiatric treatment and no outpatient treatment as an adult. He reported a substantial substance abuse history. As noted on the current physical exam, he responded well to the examination, speech was normal, and he was oriented. The information in file suggests that the claimant could perform unskilled work. Medical opinion was considered of CFR 416.927. The evidence in file does not demonstrate any other impairment that would pose a significant limitation.

The claimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the claimant retains the capacity to perform a wide range of unskilled, light work. Therefore, based on the claimant's vocational profile (younger individual, high school equivalent education, and a skilled work history), MA-P is denied using Vocational Rule 202.21 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also denied. SDA is denied per PEM 261 because the nature and severity of the claimant's impairments would not preclude work activity at the above stated level for 90 days.

(6) During the hearing on October 2, 2008, the claimant requested permission to

submit additional medical information that needed to be reviewed by SHRT. Additional medical

information was received from the local office on March 11, 2009 and forwarded to SHRT for

review on March 20, 2009.

(7) On March 30, 2009, the SHRT considered the newly submitted objective medical

evidence in making its determination of MA-P, retroactive MA-P, and SDA. The SHRT report

reads in part:

The claimant was over 350 pounds in **Sector**. He has a history of sarcoidosis, but recent chest x-rays have been normal and breath sounds were normal. Pulmonary function testing in was well above the listing level. His exams have basically been unremarkable. The claimant's treating physician has given less than sedentary work restrictions based on the claimant's physical impairments. However, this Medical Source Opinion (MSO) is inconsistent of the great weight of the objective medical evidence and per 20 CFR 416.927(c)(2)(3)(4) and 20 CFR 416.927(d)(3)(4)(5), will not be given controlling weight. The collective objective medical evidence shows that the claimant is capable of performing at least sedentary, unskilled work.

The claimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the claimant retains the capacity to perform a wide range of unskilled work. Therefore, based on the claimant's vocational profile (younger individual, high school equivalent education, and an unskilled and semi-skilled work history), MA-P is denied using Vocational Rule 201.27 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also denied. SDA is denied per PEM 261 because the nature and severity of the claimant's impairments would not preclude work activity at the above stated level for 90 days.

(8) The claimant is a 32 year-old man whose date of birth is The

claimant is 6' 3" tall and weighs 350 pounds. The claimant has gained 80 pounds in the past year

as the result of his prednisone medication. The claimant has a GED and one year of college in social work. The claimant was special education in all his subjects in high school. The claimant can read and write, but can't do basic math. The claimant was last employed as a bouncer in 2007. The claimant was previously employed as a laborer.

(9) The claimant's alleged impairments are stage IV sarcoidosis, right knee nerve rods, immune system compromised, sleep apnea, dyslexia, type 2 diabetes, depression, and the side effects of the medication for the treatment of sarcoidosis.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

#### "Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

... [The record must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

...Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);

(4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) Laboratory findings are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (Xrays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

...Evidence that you submit or that we obtain may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of your impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what you can still do despite impairment(s), and your physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

...In deciding whether you are disabled, we will always consider the medical opinions in your case record together with the rest of the relevant evidence we receive. 20 CFR 416.927(b).

After we review all of the evidence relevant to your claim, including medical opinions, we make findings about what the evidence shows. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

...If all of the evidence we receive, including all medical opinion(s), is consistent, and there is sufficient evidence for us to decide whether you are disabled, we will make our determination or decision based on that evidence. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(1).

...If any of the evidence in your case record, including any medical opinion(s), is inconsistent with other evidence or is internally inconsistent, we will weigh all of the evidence and see whether we can decide whether you are disabled based on the evidence we have. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(2).

[As Judge]...We are responsible for making the determination or decision about whether you meet the statutory definition of disability. In so doing, we review all of the medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement that you are disabled.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...If you have an impairment(s) which meets the duration requirement and is listed in Appendix 1 or is equal to a listed impairment(s), we will find you disabled without considering your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

...If we cannot make a decision on your current work activities or medical facts alone and you have a severe impairment, we will then review your residual functional capacity and the physical and mental demands of the work you have done in the past. If you can still do this kind of work, we will find that you are not disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

If you cannot do any work you have done in the past because you have a severe impairment(s), we will consider your residual functional capacity and your age, education, and past work experience to see if you can do other work. If you cannot, we will find you disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(f)(1).

...Your residual functional capacity is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...This assessment of your remaining capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled, but is used as the basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment(s).... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...In determining whether you are disabled, we will consider all of your symptoms, including pain, and the extent to which your symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with objective medical evidence, and other evidence.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...In evaluating the intensity and persistence of your symptoms, including pain, we will consider all of the available evidence, including your medical history, the medical signs and laboratory findings and statements about how your symptoms affect you... We will then determine the extent to which your alleged functional limitations or restrictions due to pain or other symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the medical signs and laboratory findings and other evidence to decide how your symptoms affect your ability to work.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairments of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based upon all of the relevant evidence. This assessment of your capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled but is used as a basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment. 20 CFR 416.945.

...When we assess your physical abilities, we first assess the nature and extent of your physical limitations and then determine your residual functional capacity for work activity on a regular and continuing basis. A limited ability to perform certain physical demands of work activity, such as sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, pulling, or other physical functions (including manipulative or postural functions, such as reaching, handling, stooping or crouching), may reduce your ability to do past work and other work. 20 CFR 416.945(b).

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for

"disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social

Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of

fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the

impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work

experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). At Step 1, the claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since 2007. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Basic work activities means, the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir, 1988). As a result,

the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a "*de minimus* hurdle" in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

The objective medical evidence on the record further substantiates the following:

, the claimant's treating physician completed a Medical Examination On Report, DHS-49, for the claimant. The claimant was first examined on and examined on . The claimant's current diagnosis was sarcoidosis. The claimant had a normal blood pressure at 139/78 with a weight of 359 pounds. The treating physician felt that the claimant's status was deteriorating with limitations that were expected to last more than 90 days. The claimant could frequently lift up to 10 pounds, occasionally lift 11-20 pounds, but never 21-25 pounds. The claimant could stand less than 30 minutes a day, walk less than 5 minutes a day, and sit less than 2 hours per day. There were no assistive devices medically required or needed for ambulation. The claimant could use both hands/arms and feet/legs for repetitive actions. Mentally, the claimant was limited in his ability to follow directions, comprehension, and memory. The claimant often phones to ask directions for taking medications, appointment dates, or times. The claimant is to continue prednisone medication for sarcoidosis and referred to pain management clinic for chronic pain. The claimant can meet his needs in the home. (Department Exhibit 3-4)

On September 25, 2008, the claimant's treating physician at submitted a note on behalf of the claimant. The claimant was suffering from pulmonary sarcoidosis as well as obstructive sleep apnea per his sleep test in **section**. The treating specialist did order several tests, but they were not submitted or made a part of the record. The

treating specialist stated that based on the claimant's current level of dyspnea, his oxygen saturation levels with exertion, chest discomfort, lack of CPAP equipment in his home, and limited movement due to increased shortness of breath; the treating specialist would not like the claimant returned to the workplace until he could better control his condition. (Department Exhibit 1-2)

, the claimant was given an independent medical examination from On . The independent medical consultant determined that the claimant had pulmonary sarcoidosis, but the claimant is in treatment now. The claimant's hypertension was borderline with the present regime. Clinically, there was no evidence of cardiac failure. Fundi was normal as far as could be visualized through undilated pupils. The claimant has some functional limitation orthopedically. Depression and memory was fairly good. The claimant was in fair grooming and hygiene. He responded fairly well to the examination situation. The claimant had steroid-induced diabetes mellitus, but no evidence of diabetic neuropathy, retinopathy, or nephropathy. The claimant had marked exogenous obesity, but no limitation of mobility or activity from it except that the claimant had difficulty in squatting, walking tiptoe, tandem gait, and on the heel due to obesity, in addition to joint pain. The claimant's weight was 340 pounds at 6' 3" tall. His blood pressure was 140/90 with a pulse of 78. Respiration was 20 per minute and regular. Peripheral pulses are not well palpable in the lower extremities except ADP is 1+. There was no loss of lumbar lordosis. There was no tenderness or paraspinals muscle spasm. All movements of the lumbar spine were painful and restricted with a flexion to 60 degrees, extension 20 degrees, lateral bending 20 degrees, rotation 20 degrees. Straight leg raising test was 85 degrees on both sides and claimant complained of joint pain over the lower part of the back during this procedure. Flexion of the hip was restricted to 80 degrees, extension

20 degrees, flexion of the knee to 90 degrees, and all movements of the hip and knee joints were painful. There was no pain, swelling, limitation of movements, or crepitus in any other joints. Grip is good in both hands (5/5) tested manually. Muscle power was good in all extremities. There was no wasting of muscles around the joints. Gait and stance were normal. The claimant had difficulty walking tiptoe, tandem gait, and on the heel, and cannot squat more than 30 percent due to pain in the left knee joint. The claimant could get on and off the examination couch from the supine position. The claimant can dress, undress, and write legibly. The claimant was oriented to time, place, and person. Speech was normal and memory was fairly good. Deep tendon reflexes were sluggish all over. Plantars were flexor bilaterally. Romberg's sign was negative. (Department Exhibit 5-8)

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence in the record indicates that the claimant has established that he has a severe impairment. The claimant has sarcoidosis where he is currently in treatment. The claimant also has sleep apnea. The claimant is 6' 3" tall and weighs 350 pounds. The claimant had shortness of breath but his chest was clear to percussion and auscultation. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2. However, this Administrative Law Judge will proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine disability because Step 2 is a *de minimus* standard.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence

alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d). This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's impairments do not rise to the level necessary to be listed as disabling by law. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 3.

In the fourth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing past relevant work. 20 CFR 416.920(e). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings, that the claimant does not have a driver's license and does not drive as the result of an accident. The claimant does not cook because he gets overheated. The claimant does not grocery shop because when he goes he gets freaked out. The claimant does not clean his own home because he gets out of breath and overheated. The claimant doesn't do any outside work or have any hobbies. The claimant felt that his condition has worsened in the past year because he can't control his bowels, he sweats, and he has joint pain. The claimant stated that he has depression, where he is taking medication, but is not in therapy.

The claimant wakes up at 12:00 noon. He lies around and sweats. He can't get comfortable. He goes to bed after midnight.

The claimant stated that he could walk nine feet. The longest he felt he could stand was 5 minutes. The longest he felt he could sit was less than 5 minutes. The claimant did not think he could carry any weight and walk. The claimant stated that his level of pain on a scale of 1 to 10 without medication was a 10 that decreases to an 8 with medication.

The claimant stopped smoking in 2003 where before he smoked two packs a day. The claimant stopped drinking in 2007 where before he drank occasionally. The claimant stopped smoking marijuana in 2007.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant has established that he cannot perform any of his prior work. The claimant was previously employed as a bouncer in 2007. With the claimant's shortness of breath and getting overheated, he would not be able to perform the duties and responsibilities of a bouncer to keep the place he's protecting secure and the patrons within. The claimant was also employed as a laborer, which he would also have a difficult time performing because of his pulmonary sarcoidosis and shortness of breath where he gets overheated because laborers are required to lift, move, stand, stoop, and bend. However, the claimant should be able to perform light work. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4. However, the Administrative Law Judge will still proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

In the fifth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing other work. 20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the claimant's:

- (1) residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite you limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- (2) age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-.965; and
- (3) the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite his/her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the <u>Dictionary of Occupational Titles</u>, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work**. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like

docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

**Light work**. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

...To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, you must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. If someone can do light work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary work, unless there are additional limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. 20 CFR 416.967(b).

The claimant has submitted insufficient evidence that he lacks the residual functional

capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his previous employment or that he is

physically unable to do any tasks demanded of him. The claimant's testimony as to his limitation

indicates his limitations are exertional and non-exertional.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed

by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the

listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social

functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands

associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

In the instant case, the claimant stated that he has depression where he is taking medication, but not in therapy. The claimant was given an independent consultative exam on

where he was oriented to time, person, and place with normal speech and memory. The claimant's treating physician on the second state of the secon following directions, comprehension, and memory because he often phones to ask directions for taking medication, appointment dates, or times. As a result, there is insufficient medical evidence of a mental impairment that is so severe that it would prevent the claimant from working at any job.

At Step 5, the claimant should be able to meet the physical requirements of light work, based upon the claimant's physical abilities. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a younger individual, high school education, and an unskilled work history, who is limited to light work, is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Rule 202.20. The Medical-Vocational guidelines are not strictly applied with non-exertional impairments such as depression. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Section 200.00. Using the Medical-Vocational guidelines as a framework for making this decision and after giving full consideration to the claimant's physical and mental impairments, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant can still perform a wide range of light activities and that the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA program.

The department's Program Eligibility Manual provides the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the SDA program.

# DISABILITY – SDA

## **DEPARTMENT POLICY**

## SDA

To receive SDA, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person, or age 65 or older. **Note:** There is <u>no</u> disability requirement for AMP. PEM 261, p. 1.

# DISABILITY

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he:

- . receives other specified disability-related benefits or services, or
- resides in a qualified Special Living Arrangement facility, or
- . is certified as unable to work due to mental or physical disability for at least 90 days from the onset of the disability.
- . is diagnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS).

If the client's circumstances change so that the basis of his/her disability is no longer valid, determine if he/she meets any of the other disability criteria. Do NOT simply initiate case closure. PEM, Item 261, p. 1.

## **Other Benefits or Services**

Persons receiving one of the following benefits or services meet the SDA disability criteria:

- . Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI), due to disability or blindness.
- . Supplemental Security Income (SSI), due to disability or blindness.
- Medicaid (including spend-down) as blind or disabled if the disability/blindness is based on:
  - .. a DE/MRT/SRT determination, or
  - .. a hearing decision, or
  - .. having SSI based on blindness or disability recently terminated (within the past 12 months) for financial reasons.

Medicaid received by former SSI recipients based on policies in PEM 150 under "SSI TERMINATIONS," INCLUDING "MA While Appealing Disability Termination," does not qualify a person as disabled for SDA. Such persons must be certified as disabled or meet one of the other SDA qualifying criteria. See "Medical Certification of Disability" below.

- Michigan Rehabilitation Services (MRS). A person is receiving services if he has been determined eligible for MRS and has an active MRS case. Do not refer or advise applicants to apply for MRS for the purpose of qualifying for SDA.
- Special education services from the local intermediate school district. To qualify, the person may be:
- .. attending school under a special education plan approved by the local Individual Educational Planning Committee (IEPC); **or**
- .. not attending under an IEPC approved plan but has been certified as a special education student **and** is attending a school program leading to a high school diploma or its equivalent, **and** is under age 26. The program does not have to be designated as "special education" as long as the person has been certified as a special education student. Eligibility on this basis continues until the person completes the high school program or reaches age 26, whichever is earlier.
- Refugee or asylee who lost eligibility for Social Security Income (SSI) due to exceeding the maximum time limit PEM, Item 261, pp. 1-2.

Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA program and

because the evidence in the record does not establish that the claimant is unable to work for a

period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for SDA.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions

of law, decides that the department has appropriately established that it was acting in compliance

with department policy when it denied the claimant's application for MA-P, retroactive MA-P,

and SDA. The claimant should be able to perform any level of light work. The department has

established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

<u>/s/</u> Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 11, 2009

Date Mailed: June 11, 2009

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CGF/vmc

