STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2008-17062 Issue No: 2009; 4031

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: July 8, 2008

Lake County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, July 8, 2008. The claimant personally appeared and testified on his own behalf.

ISSUE

Did the department properly deny the claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On December 5, 2007, the claimant applied for MA-P and SDA without filing an application for retroactive MA-P.

- (2) On March 19, 2008, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied the claimant's application for MA-P stating that the claimant was capable of performing other work per 20 CFR 416.920(f) and for SDA that the claimant's physical and mental impairment does not prevent employment for 90 days or more.
- (3) On March 25, 2008, the department caseworker sent the claimant a notice that his application was denied.
- (4) On March 31, 2008, the department received a hearing request from the claimant, contesting the department's negative action.
- (5) On May 29, 2008, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) considered the submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of MA-P, retroactive MA-P, and SDA eligibility for the claimant. The SHRT report reads in part:

The claimant is 51 years old and alleges disability due to/or has received treatment for chronic low back pain and depression. The claimant has a high school education and a history of skilled work.

The claimant's physical condition would limit him to avoid heavy lifting and frequent stooping and crouching. He should be able to alternate sitting and standing as afforded by normal breaks and lunch. He also may have difficulty with skilled work. Medical opinion was considered in light of CFR 416.927. The evidence in file does not demonstrate any other impairment(s) that would pose a significant limitation.

The claimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the claimant retains the capacity to perform a wide range of unskilled, light work. Therefore, based on the claimant's vocational profile (closely approaching advanced age, high school equivalent education, and a history of skilled work), MA-P is denied using Vocational Rule 202.14 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also denied. SDA is denied per PEM 261 because the nature and severity of the claimant's impairments would not preclude work activity at the above stated level for 90 days.

- (6) During the hearing on July 8, 2008, the claimant requested permission to submit additional medical information that needed to be reviewed by SHRT. Additional medical information was received from the local office on July 8, 2008 and forwarded to SHRT for review on July 8, 2008.
- (7) On July 11, 2008, the SHRT considered the newly submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of MA-P, retroactive MA-P, and SDA. The SHRT report reads in part:

The claimant is alleging disability due to low back pain and depression. He is 52 years old and has a high school education with a history of unskilled work. The claimant did not meet applicable Social Security Listing 1.04, 12.04, and 12.06. The claimant is capable of performing other work that is light per 20 CFR 416.967(b) and unskilled work per 20 CFR 416.968(a) under Vocational Rule 202.13.

- (8) The claimant is a 53 year-old man whose date of birth is . The claimant is 6' 2" tall and weighs 230 pounds. The claimant has a high school diploma and two years of college. The claimant can read and write and do basic math. The claimant was last employed as a truck driver with a CDL license in February 2005, which is his pertinent work history for the past 30 years.
- (9) The claimant's alleged impairments are chronic low back pain, degenerative disc disease, and depression.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R

400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

... [The record must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

... Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.

(c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

...Evidence that you submit or that we obtain may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of your impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what you can still do despite impairment(s), and your physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

...In deciding whether you are disabled, we will always consider the medical opinions in your case record together with the rest of the relevant evidence we receive. 20 CFR 416.927(b). After we review all of the evidence relevant to your claim, including medical opinions, we make findings about what the evidence shows. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

...If all of the evidence we receive, including all medical opinion(s), is consistent, and there is sufficient evidence for us to decide whether you are disabled, we will make our determination or decision based on that evidence. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(1).

...If any of the evidence in your case record, including any medical opinion(s), is inconsistent with other evidence or is internally inconsistent, we will weigh all of the evidence and see whether we can decide whether you are disabled based on the evidence we have. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(2).

[As Judge]...We are responsible for making the determination or decision about whether you meet the statutory definition of disability. In so doing, we review all of the medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement that you are disabled.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...If you have an impairment(s) which meets the duration requirement and is listed in Appendix 1 or is equal to a listed impairment(s), we will find you disabled without considering your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

...If we cannot make a decision on your current work activities or medical facts alone and you have a severe impairment, we will then review your residual functional capacity and the physical and mental demands of the work you have done in the past. If you can still do this kind of work, we will find that you are not disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

If you cannot do any work you have done in the past because you have a severe impairment(s), we will consider your residual functional capacity and your age, education, and past work experience to see if you can do other work. If you cannot, we will find you disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(f)(1).

...Your residual functional capacity is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will

consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...This assessment of your remaining capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled, but is used as the basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment(s).... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...In determining whether you are disabled, we will consider all of your symptoms, including pain, and the extent to which your symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with objective medical evidence, and other evidence.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...In evaluating the intensity and persistence of your symptoms, including pain, we will consider all of the available evidence, including your medical history, the medical signs and laboratory findings and statements about how your symptoms affect you... We will then determine the extent to which your alleged functional limitations or restrictions due to pain or other symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the medical signs and laboratory findings and other evidence to decide how your symptoms affect your ability to work.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairments of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based upon all of the relevant evidence. This assessment of your capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled but is used as a basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment. 20 CFR 416.945.

...When we assess your physical abilities, we first assess the nature and extent of your physical limitations and then determine your residual functional capacity for work activity on a regular and continuing basis. A limited ability to perform certain physical demands of work activity, such as sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, pulling, or other physical functions (including manipulative or postural functions, such as reaching, handling, stooping or crouching), may reduce your ability to do past work and other work. 20 CFR 416.945(b).

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). At Step 1, the claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since February 2005. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Basic work activities means, the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions:
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6th Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a "*de minimus* hurdle" in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

The objective medical evidence on the record further substantiates the following:

On the claimant saw a treating physician. The claimant was going to undergo a series of injections and EMG for evaluation of his right lower extremity pain. The claimant might require a two-level right hemilaminectomy, discectomy, and possibly a fusion. The claimant stated he had achiness and numbness going down his right lower extremity and low back ache. The claimant had a previous surgery in the did not have any bowel or bladder dysfunction, but has to urinate more at night, which is not consistent with neurogenic issues. The claimant had a normal physical examination. His straight leg raising was mildly uncomfortable on the right, but not until about 80 degrees. The claimant's neurological reflexes were intact to

2/4 and equal in the bilateral upper and lower extremities. The claimant did have slight numbness, consistent with that of an L5 or even possibly a S1 radiculopathy. Musculoskeletal exam revealed slight EHL weakness on the right, but no pain with range of motion of the shoulder, elbow, wrist, hip, knee, or ankle. The claimant was able to raise the heels and toes, but seemed to be somewhat weak in the right with those. AP and lateral flexion/extension x-rays were ordered that showed slight disc space narrowing at the L4-5 and L5-1, but no dynamic instability. MRI was reviewed which showed a L4-5 disc protrusion on the right with possibly even L5-S1. Both could easily give a degree of L5 or S1 radiculopathy. The treating physician's impression was possible L5 and/or S1 radiculopathy on the left. The treating physician recommended physical with selective nerve root block of L5 and S1, separated by two weeks. (Department Exhibit 80-82)

the claimant was given a DHS functional assessment. The claimant was a pleasant, sharp, well-educated man. The claimant could function within the above parameters. The claimant did have some low back pain, but no neurological deficits at the time of examination that would indicate a need for neurosurgical correction, but if that was deemed corrective to his back pain, it could be helpful. The claimant had a normal physical examination. The claimant was in no acute distress, did give some inconsistent efforts during parts of the examination where his mental status appeared fine, but there was some underlying depression for which he is currently seeing a psychologist. The claimant was well-muscled without atrophy. The claimant's DTR's were 2+/4+ in the upper and lower extremities, except 1+ in each heel with accentuation. There was a very slight decrease in sensory aspect over the lateral L4-L5 root of the right leg. The claimant was able to heel, toe, and tandem walk without difficulty other than noting a bunched up socks sensation under the right foot since he had had his prior surgery. The

claimant was actually able to lift the 15 pound dumbbells from the floor, bring them easily to the waist, curl them, press them overhead, and place them gently back to the floor. The claimant was able to sit 15-20 minutes and possibly more, stand 30-45 minutes, takes the stairs without difficulty, and could lift 50 pounds from the floor to the knees, 40 pounds from the knees to the waist, and 30 pounds from the waist overhead without difficulty. The claimant could carry 40-50 pounds as noted. The claimant also had not done lumbosacral strengthening exercise, which may drastically improve his low back condition. (Department Exhibit 10-11)

the claimant was given an independent mental status exam by On licensed psychologist. The claimant was given a diagnosis of major depression, recurrent, moderate. He was given a GAF of 50. The claimant's prognosis was guarded where he was able to handle his benefit funds. Physically, the claimant presented him in a reasonably adequate manner for his age and sex. In general, this was a relatively verbal individual. Further the claimant tended to use language reasonable well to express himself, such that he appeared to possess potentially adequate intelligence. However, this was also a very depressed individual secondary to his physical/medical condition as well as his overall status of his life in general. The claimant arrived on time for his appointment. The claimant's gait tended to be very sluggish. The claimant had appropriate contact with reality. However, his feelings of self-esteem were very poor. His overall motor activities tended to be rather lethargic. The claimant seemed dependent on other people, where his motivation for change appeared to rather marginal. His self insight appeared to be rather poor. Nevertheless, the claimant did not appear to either exaggerate or minimize his symptoms. The claimant denied any symptoms of psychosis. The claimant did openly speak of experience and thoughts of suicide secondary to the overall status of his life in general in regards to his physical/medical problems. The claimant stated his

appetite was marginal. However, the claimant was a very large adult male. The claimant stated that he does not sleep well secondary to his physical/medical discomfort, but also his life in general. The claimant's affect was flat as well as somewhat blunted. His mood appeared to be depressed secondary to his physical/medical problems, but also the overall status of his life in general. The claimant was oriented within three spheres with appropriate memory.

(Department Exhibit P4-P8)

On the claimant had an MRI of the lumbar spine with and without contrast at the L4-L5 level. The radiologist's impression was that the L4-L5 level demonstrated previous right hemilaminectomy with scar tissue formation enveloping the region of the right L5 nerve root within its lateral recess and with the scar tissue producing mild mass effect upon the adjacent thecal sac. No herniated disc was seen. There were degenerative changes at this level producing mild to moderate acquired central canal stenosis. The claimant had degenerative disc disease at L5-S1 with degenerative encroachment on both neural foramina. Otherwise it was a negative lumbar MRI. (Department Exhibit 79)

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence in the record indicates that the claimant has established that he has a severe impairment. The claimant has degenerative disc disease resulting in chronic low back pain and radiculopathy down the right leg combined with depression. The claimant's DHS functional assessment on showed that the claimant did not have any neurological deficits at that time. On the claimant had the claimant might require a two-level right hemilaminectomy with discectomy and possible fusion. The claimant had previously had a hemilaminectomy in the claimant had a GAF of 50 with a diagnosis of major depression, recurrent, and moderate. Therefore, the claimant is not

disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2. However, this Administrative Law Judge will proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine disability because Step 2 is a *de minimus* standard.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d). This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's impairments do not rise to the level necessary to be listed as disabling by law. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 3.

In the fourth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing past relevant work. 20 CFR 416.920(e). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings, that the claimant does have a driver's license and does drive, but has no car. The claimant drives for 30-45 minutes after that his leg becomes numb. The claimant does not cook even if he is physically able because it's easier to prepare quick things. The claimant grocery shops once or twice a week when he gets a ride with his family with no problem. The claimant does clean his own home by wiping the counters and cleaning the sink. The claimant doesn't do any outside work or have any hobbies. The claimant felt that his condition has worsened in the past year because he has more numbness

in his right leg and now in the right arm. The claimant stated that he did have depression, where he was not taking medication or in therapy.

The claimant wakes up at 3:00 a.m. He sits outside with the dog. He watches the news. He listens to the radio. He goes to bed between 4:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m.

The claimant felt that he could walk 300-400 yards. The longest he felt he could stand was 15 minutes. The longest he felt he could sit was 15 minutes. The claimant didn't think he could carry any weight and walk. His level of pain on a scale of 1 to 10 without medication was a 7/8, but he is currently not taking medication for pain.

The claimant smokes 1-2 cigarettes a day. The claimant stopped drinking in 1998 where before he drank too much. The claimant stopped doing illegal or illicit drugs 14-15 years ago.

The claimant stated that there was no work that he thought he could do.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant has established that he cannot perform any of his prior work. The claimant was previously employed as a CDL licensed truck driver, which is his pertinent work history. The claimant would have a difficult time with his current back issues performing the roles and responsibilities of a truck driver. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4. However, the Administrative Law Judge will still proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

In the fifth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing other work.

20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the claimant's:

- (1) residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite you limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- (2) age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-.965; and
- (3) the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite his/her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the <u>Dictionary of Occupational Titles</u>, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

...To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, you must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. If someone can do light work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary work, unless there are additional limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Unskilled work. Unskilled work is work which needs little or no judgment to do simple duties that can be learned on the job in a short period of time. The job may or may not require considerable strength.... 20 CFR 416.968(a).

The claimant has submitted insufficient evidence that he lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his previous employment or that he is physically unable to do any tasks demanded of him. The claimant's testimony as to his limitation indicates his limitations are exertional and non-exertional.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

In the instant case, the claimant testified that he had depression, but was not taking medication, nor in therapy. The claimant had an independent medical mental status examination on where he was given a diagnosis of major depression, recurrent, moderate. The claimant was given a GAF of 50 which shows serious symptoms or any serious impairment in social, occupation, or school functioning. As a result, there is sufficient medical evidence of a mental impairment that is so severe that it would prevent the claimant from performing skilled, detailed work, but the claimant should be able to perform simple, unskilled work.

At Step 5, the claimant should be able to meet the physical requirements of light work, based upon the claimant's physical abilities. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a closely approaching advanced age individual with a high school education and more, and a skilled work history, who is limited to light work, is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Rule 202.14. The Medical-Vocational guidelines are not strictly applied with non-exertional impairments such as depression. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Section 200.00. Using the Medical-Vocational guidelines as a framework for making this decision and after

giving full consideration to the claimant's physical and mental impairments, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant can still perform a wide range of simple, unskilled, light activities and that the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA program.

The department's Program Eligibility Manual provides the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the SDA program.

DISABILITY - SDA

DEPARTMENT POLICY

SDA

To receive SDA, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person, or age 65 or older.

Note: There is <u>no</u> disability requirement for AMP. PEM 261, p. 1.

DISABILITY

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he:

- receives other specified disability-related benefits or services, or
- resides in a qualified Special Living Arrangement facility, or
- is certified as unable to work due to mental or physical disability for at least 90 days from the onset of the disability.
- . is diagnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS).

If the client's circumstances change so that the basis of his/her disability is no longer valid, determine if he/she meets any of the other disability criteria. Do NOT simply initiate case closure. PEM, Item 261, p. 1.

Other Benefits or Services

Persons receiving one of the following benefits or services meet the SDA disability criteria:

- . Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI), due to disability or blindness.
- Supplemental Security Income (SSI), due to disability or blindness.
- . Medicaid (including spend-down) as blind or disabled if the disability/blindness is based on:
 - .. a DE/MRT/SRT determination, or
 - .. a hearing decision, or
 - .. having SSI based on blindness or disability recently terminated (within the past 12 months) for financial reasons.

Medicaid received by former SSI recipients based on policies in PEM 150 under "SSI TERMINATIONS," INCLUDING "MA While Appealing Disability Termination," does not qualify a person as disabled for SDA. Such persons must be certified as disabled or meet one of the other SDA qualifying criteria. See "Medical Certification of Disability" below.

- . Michigan Rehabilitation Services (MRS). A person is receiving services if he has been determined eligible for MRS and has an active MRS case. Do not refer or advise applicants to apply for MRS for the purpose of qualifying for SDA.
- Special education services from the local intermediate school district. To qualify, the person may be:
 - .. attending school under a special education plan approved by the local Individual Educational Planning Committee (IEPC); **or**
 - not attending under an IEPC approved plan but has been certified as a special education student **and** is attending a school program leading to a high school diploma or its equivalent, **and** is under age 26. The program does not have to be designated as "special education" as long as the person has been certified as a special education student. Eligibility on this basis continues until the person completes the high school program or reaches age 26, whichever is earlier.

2008-17062/CGF

Refugee or asylee who lost eligibility for Social Security Income (SSI) due to exceeding the maximum time limit

PEM, Item 261, pp. 1-2.

Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA program and

because the evidence in the record does not establish that the claimant is unable to work for a

period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for SDA.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions

of law, decides that the department has appropriately established that it was acting in compliance

with department policy when it denied the claimant's application for MA-P, retroactive MA-P,

and SDA. The claimant should be able to perform any level of simple, unskilled, light work. The

department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Carmen G. Fahie

Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 1, 2010____

Date Mailed: February 2, 2010 ____

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

20

2008-17062/CGF

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CGF/vmc

