

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],
Claimant

Reg. No: 2008-15268
Issue No: 2009/4031
Case No: [REDACTED]
Load No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date:
May 22, 2008
Macomb County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Marlene B. Magyar

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 22, 2008. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the department properly determine claimant is not disabled by Medicaid (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) eligibility standards?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant is a 42-year-old, two pack per week smoker separated from her husband and living with her adult children as of the May 22, 2008 hearing date.
- (2) Claimant stands approximately 5'2" tall and weighs approximately 167 pounds; she is right hand dominant (Department Exhibit #1, pg 7).

(3) Claimant is independent in self cares (bathing/dressing/grooming) and she has a valid driver's license and access to a roadworthy vehicle.

(4) Claimant has high blood pressure and high cholesterol, both adequately controlled with current prescription medications ([REDACTED])(Department Exhibit #1, pg 6).

(5) Claimant's remote accidents include a 1987 motor vehicle accident and a 2000 head injury (Department Exhibit #1, pg 25).

(6) Claimant's employment history includes being a travel consultant and doing office work; she was gainfully employed through February, 2006 (insurance agency customer sales and service); after that, claimant worked briefly in a seasonal, unskilled job at [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (10/07)(Department Exhibit #1, pg 6).

(7) Claimant has a high school diploma; she also earned a property insurance license and attended [REDACTED] for one semester (Department Exhibit #1, pg 6).

(8) On July 17, 2007, claimant applied for a disability-based cash grant (SDA) and Medical Assistance (MA).

(9) Claimant alleges back/neck/leg pain and depression cause her to be unable to perform any type of substantial gainful work activity.

(10) Claimant is not attending any mental health treatment or counseling and she has no history of psychiatric hospitalizations.

(11) Claimant's doctor has prescribed [REDACTED] for claimant's reported symptoms secondary to current occupational, social and economic situational stressors (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 11 and 20).

(12) Claimant reported at hearing she currently uses over-the-counter [REDACTED] for pain management; in the past, [REDACTED] ES has been prescribed (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 6 and 20).

(13) Claimant's June 11, 2007 cervical spine MRI scan shows a mild loss of disc height at C5-6 and a right paracentral disc protrusion without evidence of significant central canal stenosis; mild right neural foraminal narrowing is also seen, leading to a diagnosis of degenerative disc disease (arthritis) at that level only (Department Exhibit #1, pg 15).

(14) The remainder of claimant's cervical MRI scan is normal (Department Exhibit #1, pg 15).

(15) No additional medical test results are contained within the evidence submitted to date; however, the record shows the claimant had one cervical facet joint injection on June 25, 2007 (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 1-27 and 14).

(16) Claimant also has chronic dry eyes and eye drops have been prescribed; her ophthalmologist verified 20/40 vision bilaterally, per report dated June 20, 2007 (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 18 and 19).

(17) Claimant also reports symptoms consist gastroesophageal reflux disorder (GERD) and [REDACTED] have been prescribed (Department Exhibit #1, pg 6).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or

department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

The SDA program differs from the federal MA regulations in that the durational requirement is 90 days. This means that the person's impairments must meet the SSI disability standards for 90 days in order for that person to be eligible for SDA benefits.

The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged, 20 CFR 416.913. An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of themselves, sufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.908 and 20 CFR 416.929. By the same token, a conclusory statement by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind is not sufficient without supporting medical evidence to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.929.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that

you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

...Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Additionally, Social Security Ruling 96-4p (SSR 96-4p) states in relevant part:

A “symptom” is not a “medically determinable physical or mental impairment” and no symptom by itself can establish the existence of such an impairment. In the absence of a showing that there is a “medically determinable physical or mental impairment” an individual must be found not disabled at Step 2 of the sequential evaluation process. No symptom or combination of symptoms can be the basis for a finding of disability, no matter how genuine the individual’s complaints may appear to be, unless there are medical signs and laboratory findings demonstrating the existence of a medically determinable physical or mental impairment.

In addition, 20 CFR 404.1529 and 416.929 provide that an individual's symptoms, such as pain, fatigue, shortness of breath, weakness, or nervousness, will not be found to affect the individual's ability to do basic work activities...unless medical signs and laboratory findings show that there is a medically determinable physical or mental impairment(s) that could reasonably be expected to produce the symptom(s) alleged.

Claimant does not qualify for MA/SDA coverage she seeks because she has not presented any objective medical records to establish the presence of a physical impairment supportive of a reason for her constant, pervasive, debilitating pain complaints. In fact, claimant's medical record reveals nothing more than simple degenerative disc disease at one level in her cervical spine. As of the hearing date, she was taking no prescription pain medications and was independent in all basic daily living activities. Additionally, it must be noted the law does not require an applicant to be completely symptom free before a finding of lack of disability can be rendered. In fact, if an applicant's pain can be managed to the point where substantial gainful employment can be achieved, a finding of not disabled must be rendered. Claimant's current psychotropic medications can reasonably be expected to provide symptom control for the only mental impairment existing in this file (reported depression secondary to situational stressors). Consequently, this Administrative Law Judge concludes claimant is fully capable of working in a wide variety of unskilled jobs currently existing in the national economy, which is the standard to be applied in disability determination cases. As such, claimant's disputed application must remain denied.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the department properly determined claimant is not disabled by MA/SDA eligibility standards.

Accordingly, the department's action is AFFIRMED.

/s/

Marlene B. Magyar
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: [REDACTED]

Date Mailed: [REDACTED]

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MBM.db

cc: [REDACTED]