STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 200814396

Issue No: 4060

Case No:

Hearing Date: July 6, 2011

Ingham County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is assigned to me pursuant to 7 CFR 273.18; 45 CFR 233.20(a)(13); MCL 400.9; MCL 400.37; MCL 400.43(a); MAC R 400.941 and MCL 24.201, et seq., upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an over issuance of benefits to Respondent. After due notice was mailed to Respondent, a hearing was held on July 6, 2011, at which Respondent did not appear. This matter having been initiated by the Department and due notice having been provided to Respondent, the hearing was held in accordance with Bridges Administrative Manual, Item 725.

ISSUE

Did the claimant receive an over issuance (OI) of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- I, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, find as material fact:
 - Prior to August 30, 2007, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of negative action. The notice indicated the Department was going to reduce the Claimant's benefits.
 - In approximately August of 2007, the Claimant submitted to the Department a timely hearing request. The hearing request requested a hearing and further requested the Department to continue issuing FAP benefits until a hearing was held.

- 3. Claimant received FAP benefits for August and September of 2007.
- 4. On August 30, 2007, an Administrative Law Judge issued a decision upholding the Department's decision to reduce Claimant's FAP benefits.
- 5. On January 31, 2008, the Department sent the Claimant a Notice of OI. The Notice of OI indicated the Claimant received an OI for the months of August and September of 2007 in the amount of Exhibit 11). (Department Exhibit 11).
- 6. On February 5, 2008, the Department received from the Claimant a Hearing Request regarding the January 31, 2008 Notice of OI. (Department Exhibit 1).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The FAP is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Departmental policy, states that when the client group receives more benefits than the group is entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the OI. Repayment of an OI is the responsibility of anyone who was an eligible, disqualified, or other adult in the program group at the time the OI occurred. Bridges will collect from all adults who were a member of the case. OIs on active programs are repaid by lump sum cash payments, monthly cash payments (when court ordered), and administrative recoupment (benefit reduction). OI balances on inactive cases must be repaid by lump sum or monthly cash payments unless collection is suspended. BAM 725.

OVERISSUANCE THRESHOLD

FIP, SDS, CDC and FAP Only

Department error OIs are not pursued if the estimated OI amount is less than \$500 per program.

Client error OIs are not established if the OI amount is less than \$125, unless:

- the client or provider is active for the OI program, or
- the OI is a result of a Quality Control (QC) audit finding. PAM 700, p. 7.

In this case, the Claimant requested the Agency continue to pay him benefits while a hearing on his FAP eligibility was pending. After the hearing, an Administrative Law Judge found the Claimant ineligible for FAP benefits and upheld the Agency's prior proposal to reduce the Claimant's benefits. Therefore, the Claimant now owes back the Agency for the benefits he received during a period in which he was found to have reduced benefits. Regardless of fault, the Department must attempt to recoup the OI.

I find the evidence presented by the Department shows the Respondent received more benefits than he was entitled to receive. Therefore, Respondent is responsible for repayment of the OI.

DECISION AND ORDER

I, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decide the Respondent received an OI of FAP benefits. The Department is entitled to recoup those OI benefits.

The Department is therefore entitled to recoup a FAP OI of from the Respondent.

The Department shall initiate collection procedures in accordance with Department policy.

_____<u>/s/</u>
Corey A. Arendt
Administrative Law Judge

for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: _July 8, 2011

Date Mailed: _July 11, 2011

<u>NOTICE</u>: The law provides that within 60 days from the mailing date of the above hearing Decision the Respondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she resides or has his or her principal place of business in this state, or in the circuit court for Ingham County. Administrative Hearings, on its own motion, or on request of a party within 60 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, may order a rehearing.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CAA/cr

cc: