## STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

# ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No:2007-30603Issue No:2009; 4031Case No:1000Load No:1000Hearing Date:1000February 6, 20081000Gladwin County DHS

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice Spodarek

### HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; MSA 16.409 and MCL 400.37; MSA 16.437 upon claimant's request for a hearing to protest

the denial of claimant's application for MA and SDA. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 6, 2008.

#### <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether claimant meets the disability criteria for Medical Assistance (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On 5/15/07, claimant applied for MA-P and SDA with the Michigan DHS.
- (2) Claimant did not apply for retro MA.

(3) On 9/11/07, MRT denied.

(4) On 9/12/07, the department issued notice.

(5) On 9/18/07, claimant filed a hearing request.

(6) On 1/8/08, SHRT denied claimant.

(7) At the conclusion of the hearing, the record was held open at claimant's request for the submission of additional medical records. Medical records were received and submitted to the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT), and on 5/21/08, SHRT once again denied claimant.

(8) The undersigned Administrative Law Judge was on a scheduled leave of absence from 8/1/08, returning full time 2/1/09. None of the ALJ's pending cases were reassigned while on leave; no protected time afforded before or after leave for issuing decisions.

(9) On 1/12/2010, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge received an explanation from the worker at the local office regarding an SOLQ faxed to the undersigned on 12/15/2009. The undersigned Administrative Law Judge was informed that claimant received a fully favorable SSI decision pursuant to an application date of 3/20/2006, subsequently closed due to excess income. The worker understood that it was due to RSDI income.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10,

2

*et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Because of the Social Security Administration determination, it is not necessary for the

Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability. BEM, Item 260; BEM, Item 261.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the claimant meets the definition of medically disabled under the MA and SDA programs as of the 5/15/07 application date, including any retro MA months, if otherwise eligible, and as permitted under policy and procedure.

<u>/s/</u>

Janice Spodarek Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 29, 2010

Date Mailed: February 1, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JS/cv

