STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



2007-13782 4060
4000
ty DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge by authority of MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Respondent's request for a hearing was received on October 16, 2006. Notice of the hearing was sent to the Respondent's last known address, but the Respondent did not appear at the hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, January 26, 2011.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department of Human Services (Department) properly determined that the Respondent received an overissuance of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Respondent received FAP benefits from October 1, 2005, through July 31, 2006. Department Exhibits 22 23.
- 2. Respondent signed an application for assistance on July 17, 2006. He was aware of the responsibility to report all household employment and income to the Department and had no apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement. Department Exhibit 7.
- 3. The Respond was employed from June 7, 2006, through May 30, 2006. Department Exhibits 1 5.
- The Claimant received an overissuance of FAP benefits totaling Department Exhibits 31 – 50.

- 5. Notice of the hearing was sent to the Respondent's last known mailing address, and it was not returned as undeliverable.
- 6. The Department received the Respondent's request for a hearing on December 8, 2006, protesting the recoupment of FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Clients must report changes in circumstance that potentially affect eligibility or benefit amount within 10 days of receiving the first payment reflecting the change. BAM 105. Income reporting requirements are limited to the following:

Earned income:

- Starting or stopping employment.
- Changing employers.
- Change in rate of pay.
- Change in work hours of more than five hours per week that is expected to continue for more than one month.

Unearned income:

- Starting or stopping a source of unearned income.
- Change in gross monthly income of more than \$50 since the last reported change.

Other changes:

- Persons in the home.
- Marital status.
- Address and shelter cost changes that result from the move.
- Vehicles.
- Assets.
- Child support expenses paid.
- Health or hospital coverage and premiums.
- Day care needs or providers. BAM 105

An overissuance is the amount of benefits issued to the client group in excess of what they were eligible to receive. BAM 705. The amount of the overissuance is the amount of benefits the group actually received minus the amount the group was eligible to receive. BAM 720. When a client group receives more benefits than they are entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the overissuance. BAM 700.

Department errors are caused by incorrect actions by the Department. BAM 705. Department error overissuances are not pursued if the estimated overissuance is less than per program. BAM 700. Client errors occur when the customer gave incorrect or incomplete information to the Department. Client errors are not established if the overissuance is less than unless the client group is active for the overissuance program, or the overissuance is a result of a quality control audit finding. BAM 700.

In this case, the Respondent received FAP benefits from October 1, 2005, through July 31, 2006. The Respondent signed an application for FAP benefits on July 17, 2006. Respondent's signature on this document certifies an awareness that fraudulent participation in FAP could result in criminal or civil or administrative claims. The Respondent had no apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill the requirements of the FAP program.

The Respondent was employed from June 7, 2005, through May 30, 2006. This earned income was verified by his employer on the Department's Verification of Employment from on June 2, 2006. Due to Department error, the Department failed to consider this income when determining the Respondent's income. During this time period, the Respondent received FAP benefits totaling when he was entitled to receive totaling.

Based on the documents and testimony presented during the hearing, the Department has established that the Claimant received a Department error overissuance of FAP benefits totaling

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department of Human Services correctly determined that Claimant received a Food Assistance Program (FAP) overissuance totaling from October 1, 2005, through July 31, 2006.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are UPHELD.

_/s/____

Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: _2/1/11_____

Date Mailed: _2/1/11_____

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

