STATE OF MICHIGAN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION LABOR RELATIONS DIVISION

In the Matter of:		
AFSCME COUNCIL 25, Labor Organization - Respondent		Case No. CU09 H-029
-and-		
THIRD CIRCUIT COURT, Public Employer - Charging Party	y. /	
APPEARANCES:		
Miller Cohen, P.L.C., by Bruce A. Miller	, Esq., and Richard Mack, Esq.,	for Respondent
Bruce Campbell, Esq., Assistant Corporate	tion Counsel for Charging Party	
<u>D1</u>	ECISION AND ORDER	
On August 28, 2009, Administrat Recommended Order in the above matter the Public Employment Relations Act, 19 Commission dismiss the charges and com-	finding that Respondent did not 265 PA 379, as amended, and rec	violate Section 10 of
The Decision and Recommended the interested parties in accord with Section	Order of the Administrative La on 16 of the Act.	w Judge was served on
The parties have had an opportun a period of at least 20 days from the date the parties.		
	<u>ORDER</u>	
Pursuant to Section 16 of the Act Administrative Law Judge as its final ord		ommended order of the
MICHIG	GAN EMPLOYMENT RELATION	ONS COMMISSION
Ō	Christine A. Derdarian, Commis	sion Chair
Ī	Nino E. Green, Commission Me	mber
Dated:	Eugene Lumberg, Commission N	Member

STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THIRD CIRCUIT COURT.

Respondent/Counter Charging Party-Public Employer,

CORRECTED CASE NO: CU09 H-029

-and-

Case No. C08 J-230

AFSCME COUNCIL 25,

Charging Party/Counter Respondent-Labor Organization.

Bruce A. Campbell, for Respondent/Counter Charging Party-Public Employer

Bruce A. Miller and Richard Mack, for Charging Party/Counter Respondent-Labor Organization

<u>DECISION AND RECOMMENDED ORDER OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE</u> ON SUMMARY DISPOSITION

Pursuant to Sections 10 and 16 of the Public Employment Relations Act (PERA), 1965 PA 379, as amended, MCL 423.210 and 423.216, this case was assigned to Doyle O'Connor, of the State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (SOAHR), acting on behalf of the Michigan Employment Relations Commission (MERC).

This matter involves charges and counter-charges by, and against, the Employer Third Circuit Court and the Union of certain of the employees of the Court, AFSCME Council 25. The Employer sought and was granted an expedited hearing on its motion for summary disposition under MERC Rule 423.165 on the assertion that there were no legitimate disputes of material fact as to the Employer counter-charges against the Union. The Employer charges asserted that the Union's conduct, in securing and seeking to enforce several subpoenas and in unilaterally securing a private transcript of an otherwise public MERC conducted fact-finding hearing, violated the Union's duty to bargain in good faith, as mandated by PERA.

Following oral argument by counsel for the parties, on August 28, 2009, and for the reasons more fully set forth on the record, I found that there are no legitimate disputes of material fact related to the three counter-charges brought by the Employer. Under Commission Rule R 423.165 (1), where there is a charge and no genuine issue of material fact, an administrative law judge acting for the Commission has the authority and obligation to issue a ruling on the merits of the dispute on summary disposition. *Detroit Public Schools*, 22 MPER 19 (2009); see also, *Oakland County and Oakland County Sheriff v Oakland County Deputy Sheriffs Assoc*, 282 Mich App 266 (2009).

Further, and based on the conclusions of law more fully set forth on the record, I found that summary disposition was appropriate as each of the three counter-charges brought by the Employer as each of those counter-charges failed to assert claims cognizable under PERA.

RECOMMENDED ORDER

The Employer's three unfair labor practice counter charges are dismissed in their entirety.

MICHIGAN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

Doyle O'Connor Administrative Law Judge State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules

Dated: August 28, 2009