STATE OF MICHIGAN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION LABOR RELATIONS DIVISION

In the Matter of:			
AFSCME COUNCIL 25, Labor Organization-Responden	ıt,	Case No. CU08 H-038	
-and-			
GREGORY KALLIO, Individual-Charging Party.			
APPEARANCES:			
Miller Cohen P.L.C., by Bruce A. Mille	er, Esq., for Respondent		
Gregory Kallio, In Propria Persona			
<u>]</u>	DECISION AND ORDER		
On October 10, 2008, Administ and Recommended Order in the above of the Public Employment Relations Ac Commission dismiss the charges and co	et, 1965 PA 379, as amended, and a	not violate Section 10	
The Decision and Recommende the interested parties in accord with Sec	ed Order of the Administrative Lavetion 16 of the Act.	w Judge was served on	
The parties have had an opportua period of at least 20 days from the dat the parties.	unity to review the Decision and R e of service and no exceptions hav		
	<u>ORDER</u>		
Pursuant to Section 16 of the A Administrative Law Judge as its final or	ct, the Commission adopts the recorder.	ommended order of the	
MICH	IGAN EMPLOYMENT RELATIO	ONS COMMISSION	
	Christine A. Derdarian, Commiss	sion Chair	
	Nino E. Green, Commission Mer	mber	
	Eugene Lumberg, Commission N	Memher	

Dated: _____

STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of:	
AFSCME Council 25, Respondent-Labor Organization,	Case No. CU08 H-038
-and-	
Gregory Kallio, Individual Charging Party.	
Individual Charging Party.	

APPEARANCES:

Miller Cohen, PLC, by Bruce A. Miller, Attorney for Respondent-Labor Organization

Gregory Kallio, Individual Charging Party appearing personally

DECISION AND RECOMMENDED ORDER OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Pursuant to the Public Employment Relations Act (PERA), 1965 PA 379, as amended, MCL 423.201 *et seq*, this case was assigned to Doyle O'Connor, of the State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules (SOAHR), acting on behalf of the Michigan Employment Relations Commission (MERC). This matter is being decided pursuant to an order to show cause why the charge should not be dismissed for failure to state a claim.

The Unfair Labor Practice Charge:

On August 19, 2008, a Charge was filed in this matter by Gregory Kallio asserting that the Michigan AFSCME Council #25 (the Union) had violated the Act, by allegedly failing to timely resolve a dispute over the proper rate or timing of dues deductions. Such an allegation failed to state a claim under the Public Employment Relations Act, and, therefore, pursuant to R 423.165(2)(d), the Charging Party was ordered to show cause why the Charge should not be dismissed. Charging Party Gregory Kallio did not file a response to the order.

Discussion and Conclusions of Law:

Where a charge fails to state a claim under the Act, it is subject to dismissal pursuant to an order to show cause issued under R 423.165. The failure to respond to such an order may, in itself, warrant dismissal. *Detroit Federation of Teachers*, 21 MPER

3 (2008). Regardless, the fact that a member expresses dissatisfaction with their union's efforts or ultimate decision on a disputed matter is insufficient to constitute a proper charge of a breach of the duty of fair representation. *Eaton Rapids Ed Assoc*, 2001 MERC Lab Op 131; *Wayne County DPW*, 1994 MERC Lab Op 855. Because there is no allegation in the Charge supporting a claim that the Union violated its statutory duties, and because no response was filed to the order to show cause, the charge against the Union must be dismissed as it fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

RECOMMENDED ORDER

The unfair labor practice charge is dismissed in its entirety.

MICHIGAN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS COMMISSION

	Doyle O'Connor
	Administrative Law Judge
	State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules
Dated:	